Insights on Lateral Gravity Wave Propagation in the Extratropical Stratosphere from 44 Years of ERA5 Data

Aman Gupta¹, Aditi Sheshadri¹, M. Joan Alexander², and Thomas Birner^{3,4}

¹Doerr School of Sustainability, Stanford University, Stanford, California, USA ²NorthWest Research Associates, Boulder, Colorado, USA ³Meteorological Institute, Ludwig Maximilians Universität, Munich, Germany ⁴Institut für Physik der Atmosphäre, Deutsches Zentrum für Luft und Raumfahrt (DLR), Oberpfaffenhofen, Germany

Key Points:

1

2

3

4

5

6 7 8

9

10

11	•	Climatology of lateral fluxes from ERA5 shows substantial lateral propagation of
12		gravity waves in both hemispheres
13	•	Climatological contribution of lateral GW fluxes towards zonal mean forcing is the
14		same order of magnitude as that from vertical fluxes
15	•	Abrupt changes in GW forcing in the upper stratosphere around sudden strato-
16		spheric warmings can last up to 20 days following the event

 $Corresponding \ author: \ Aman \ Gupta, \ {\tt ag4680@stanford.edu}$

17 Abstract

The study presents (a) a 44-year wintertime climatology of resolved gravity wave (GW) 18 fluxes and associated zonal forcing in the extratropical stratosphere using ERA5, and 19 (b) their composite evolution around gradual (final warming) and abrupt (sudden warm-20 ing) transitions in the wintertime circulation. The connection between transformed Eu-21 lerian mean (TEM) equations and the linear GW pseudomomentum is leveraged to pro-22 vide a glimpse of the importance of GW lateral propagation toward driving the winter-23 time stratospheric circulation by analyzing the relative contribution of the vertical vs. 24 meridional flux convergence. The relative contribution from lateral propagation is found 25 to be notable, especially in the Austral winter stratosphere where lateral (vertical) mo-26 mentum flux convergence provides a peak climatological forcing of up to -0.5 (-3.5) m/s/day 27 around 60° S at 40-45 km altitude. Prominent lateral propagation in the wintertime mid-28 latitudes also contributes to the formation of a belt of GW activity in both hemispheres. 29

³⁰ Plain Language Summary

Internal gravity waves (GWs) exhibit both vertical and horizontal (lateral) prop-31 agation in the atmosphere, influenced by the background shear of the flow that supports 32 them. GW model parameterizations, however, represent them in climate models assum-33 ing strict vertical propagation. This modeling assumption can have implications for mod-34 eled large-scale stratospheric circulation and variability. This study uses ERA5 reanal-35 ysis to produce the climatological distribution of resolved GW momentum fluxes and forc-36 ing in the stratosphere, and their composite evolution around prominent patterns of ex-37 tratropical stratospheric variability like sudden stratospheric warmings (SSWs) and spring-38 time final warmings (FWs). The climatology reveals that lateral propagation leads to 39 the formation of a belt of rich GW activity in the upper winter stratosphere, which is 40 otherwise localized over orographic hotspots in the lower stratosphere. The resolved forc-41 ing due to lateral GW propagation is found to be roughly the same order of magnitude 42 as resolved forcing due to vertical fluxes, underlining the importance of lateral propa-43 gation for future GW parameterizations. Strikingly different GW forcing profiles are ev-44 ident before vs. after SSWs and FWs, highlighting the strong two-way connection be-45 tween GWs and the stratospheric mean flow. 46

47 **1** Introduction

Gravity waves (GWs) dynamically couple the different layers of the atmosphere and 48 are among the key drivers of the meridional overturning circulation in the middle atmo-49 sphere (Fritts & Alexander, 2003; Achatz et al., 2023). They provide a zeroth-order con-50 tribution towards driving the pole-to-pole mesospheric circulation (Holton, 1982; Fritts 51 & Alexander, 2003; Becker, 2012). In the stratosphere, they influence the quasi-biennial 52 oscillation (QBO) of tropical winds (Giorgetta et al., 2002), and the springtime break-53 down of the Antarctic polar vortex (Gupta et al., 2021). GWs can also contribute to rapid 54 breakdowns of the wintertime polar vortex, i.e., sudden stratospheric warmings (Albers 55 & Birner, 2014; Song et al., 2020), eventually influencing tropospheric storm tracks (Kidston 56 et al., 2015; Domeisen & Butler, 2020). 57

Atmospheric GWs are generated by a myriad of sources (e.g., convection, orogra-58 phy, jets, and fronts) and manifest over spatial scales ranging from $\mathcal{O}(10)$ km to $\mathcal{O}(1000)$ 59 km, and evolve over temporal scales ranging from ~ 5 minutes to over a day (Fritts & 60 Alexander, 2003). The true impact of GWs on the stratospheric circulation, and its evo-61 lution under a changing climate, is not fully understood because of limited global ob-62 servations, inadequately parameterized representation in stratosphere-resolving climate 63 models, and computationally prohibitive costs of running GW-resolving high-resolution 64 models (Kim et al., 2003; Alexander et al., 2010; Geller et al., 2013; Plougonven et al., 65 2020).66

Current GW parameterizations assume strict vertical propagation and therefore, 67 only approximate their vertical momentum transport, i.e., they ignore their lateral (zonal 68 and meridional) propagation. In this approximation, the net forcing due to dissipating 69 GWs is typically estimated using the covariances $(\overline{u'\omega'}, \overline{v'\omega'})$ and their absolute magni-70 tude $\sqrt{\overline{u'\omega'}^2 + \overline{v'\omega'}^2}$ (e.g., Wei et al. (2022)). Here u, v, and ω are the zonal, meridional, 71 and pressure velocities, and the primes denote their deviation from the background flow. 72 The covariances are approximated from GW-resolving models and observations, and the 73 estimates are frequently used to tune subgrid-scale GW parameterizations for coarser 74 climate models. 75

Recent analyses (Kruse et al., 2022; Procházková et al., 2023) quantified the con-76 tribution from lateral fluxes (in addition to the usual vertical fluxes) using a suite of mesoscale-77 resolving numerical weather prediction models over the Drake Passage. The studies found 78 notable forcing over a 10-day period from lateral flux terms. The importance of these 79 terms is further corroborated by Sun et al. (2023) who extracted and compared horizon-80 tal GW fluxes using three different techniques. Yet, these lateral fluxes are universally 81 ignored by model parameterizations of GWs. Representing lateral propagation in param-82 eterizations would be expected to ensure a more accurate representation of GWs in cli-83 mate models (Sato et al., 2009; Alexander & Grimsdell, 2013; Sato et al., 2012; Plougonven 84 et al., 2020; Polichtchouk & Scott, 2020; Pahlavan et al., 2023; Gupta et al., 2024). 85

This study presents a multidecadal climatology of both the vertical and lateral GW 86 fluxes and provides a glimpse into their contribution to the stratospheric circulation, us-87 ing ERA5. The contribution of the lateral fluxes towards forcing the zonal winds in the 88 stratosphere is evaluated against the forcing provided solely by the vertical flux, $\overline{u'\omega'}$. 89 This is done by (a) producing a 44-year (1979-2022) DJF and JJA climatology of the 90 vertical and horizontal transport of GW pseudomomentum during peak winters, and (b) 91 producing a composite evolution of these terms around sudden stratospheric warmings 92 (SSWs) in the Northern Hemisphere and the springtime final warmings (FWs) in the South-93 ern Hemisphere. 94

95 **2** Background

For non-dissipating gravity waves, the vertical flux of zonal pseudomomentum can
 be related to the Reynolds fluxes, in pressure coordinates, as (Fritts & Alexander, 2003;
 Gill, 1982):

$$F_{zx} = \frac{-1}{g} c_{gz} \frac{E}{\hat{\omega}} k = \frac{-1}{g} \left(1 - \frac{f^2}{\hat{\omega}^2} \right) \overline{u'\omega'} \tag{1}$$

⁹⁹ where c_{gz} is the vertical group velocity, $\hat{\omega}$ is the intrinsic frequency, k is the zonal wavenum-¹⁰⁰ ber, E is the kinetic + potential GW energy density, f is the Coriolis parameter, u is ¹⁰¹ the zonal wind, ω is the vertical velocity in pressure coordinates, and overbar denotes ¹⁰² averaging over single/multiple wave cycles (even a zonal mean).

Likewise, the meridional flux of zonal pseudomomentum relates to the Reynolds fluxes as:

$$F_{yx} = c_{gy} \frac{E}{\hat{\omega}} k = \overline{u'v'} \tag{2}$$

where c_{gy} is the meridional group velocity of the GW.

Now, the zonal mean zonal wind evolution, in Transformed Eulerian Mean (TEM) form, is expressed as (Andrews et al., 1987):

$$\overline{u}_t = \left(f - \frac{1}{R\cos\phi}(\overline{u}\cos\phi)_\phi\right)\overline{v}^* - \overline{u}_p\overline{\omega}^* + \underbrace{\frac{1}{R\cos\phi}\overrightarrow{\nabla}\cdot\overrightarrow{F}}_{\text{EPFD}} + \overline{X}$$
(3)

where ϕ is latitude, p is pressure, t is time, the overbar denotes zonal mean along constant pressure surfaces, subscripts denote partial derivatives, \overline{u} is the zonal mean zonal wind, \overline{v}^* and $\overline{\omega}^*$ are respectively the residual meridional and vertical velocities, \overline{X} is the zonal mean parameterized GW forcing, R is the radius of the earth, and \vec{F} is the Eliassen-Palm (EP)-flux vector:

$$\vec{F} = \left(F^{(\phi)}, F^{(p)}\right) = R\cos\phi\left(-\overline{u'v'} + \overline{u}_p \frac{\overline{v'\theta'}}{\overline{\theta}_p}, \left(f - \frac{1}{R\cos\phi}(\overline{u}\cos\phi)_\phi\right)\frac{\overline{v'\theta'}}{\overline{\theta}_p} - \overline{u'\omega'}\right)$$
(4)

113 where θ is the potential temperature.

The r.h.s. covariances in Eqn 4, when computed for large-scale (small-scale) per-114 turbations, represent the total meridional and vertical momentum flux due to planetary 115 waves (gravity waves). The total vertical EP-Flux in Eqn 4 equals the total vertical flux 116 of zonal pseudomomentum in Eqn 1. Likewise, the total meridional EP-Flux in Eqn 4 117 equals the total meridional flux of zonal pseudomomentum in Eqn 2. Thus, the EP-Flux 118 vector, computed for small-scale perturbations, fully estimates the net meridional and 119 vertical GW momentum flux. The meridional component, which climate model param-120 eterizations ignore, quantifies the lateral propagation of momentum by GWs, and as shown 121 later, can provide notable contributions to mean flow forcing. 122

The divergence of the wave-momentum fluxes, represented by the divergence of the EP-Flux vector, then, represents the total forcing applied by the dissipating planetary waves (gravity waves) on the background flow. The EP-Flux divergence (EPFD) can be expressed as:

$$\frac{1}{R\cos\phi}\vec{\nabla}\cdot\vec{F} = \frac{1}{R\cos\phi}\left(\frac{1}{R\cos\phi}\left(F^{(\phi)}\cos\phi\right)_{\phi} + F_{p}^{(p)}\right)$$
(5)

¹²⁷ The total EPFD comprises contributions from four terms:

i. meridional convergence of momentum: $\frac{-1}{R\cos^2\phi} \left(\overline{u'v'}\cos^2\phi\right)_{\phi}$

ii. meridional heat convergence:
$$\frac{1}{R\cos^2\phi} \left(\overline{u}_p \frac{\overline{v'\theta'}}{\overline{\theta}_p} \cos^2\phi \right)_{\phi}$$

130 iii. vertical heat convergence:
$$\left(\left[f - \frac{(\overline{u}\cos\phi)_{\phi}}{R\cos\phi}\right]\frac{\overline{v'\theta'}}{\overline{\theta}_p}\right)_p$$

iv. vertical convergence of momentum: $-\overline{u'\omega'}_p$

This means both vertical and meridional transport of GW pseudomomentum contribute to the acceleration/deceleration of the zonal mean zonal wind. In the following sections, we refer to these four forcing terms as the $\overline{u'v'}_{\phi}$, $\overline{v'\theta'}_{\phi}$, $\overline{v'\theta'}_{p}$, and the $\overline{u'\omega'}_{p}$ terms respectively.

¹³⁶ 3 Computing the Resolved GW Forcing in ERA5

The GW fluxes and forcing were computed using the hourly reanalysis, ERA5 (Hersbach 137 et al., 2020), from the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasting (ECMWF) 138 on pressure levels over 1979-2022. The data is publicly available at a $0.25^{\circ} \times 0.25^{\circ}$ hor-139 izontal resolution and 37 vertical (pressure) levels from 1 hPa to 1000 hPa. The small-140 scale perturbations of the fields were computed by removing the first 21 total wavenum-141 bers from the full fields $(u, v, \omega, \text{ and } T)$, and then tapering the wavenumbers 21 to 42 142 (scales 500-1000 km in the midlatitudes) using a Gaussian tapering in spectral space with 143 a half-width of ~ 5.5 . This means the spectral coefficients were almost completely damped 144 for wavenumber 22, damped by a factor of ~ 2 for wavenumber 35, almost fully retained 145 for wavenumber 40, and fully retained for wavenumbers 42 and above. The gradual ta-146 pering leads to a smoother separation between the large- and small-scales. The filtered 147 variables were then multiplied to compute the covariances. 148

Accounting for grid-scale hyperdiffusion and other numerical effects, ERA5 still resolves GWs with wavelengths 200 km and longer. Stratospheric and mesospheric sponges are applied at pressures less than 10 hPa and 1hPa respectively, to numerically "absorb"
 vertically propagating GWs.

3.1 Defining Sudden Stratospheric Warmings (SSWs)

An SSW is broadly defined as an extreme, abrupt deceleration of the wintertime stratospheric polar vortex within a short period of 5-7 days. Major SSWs are SSW events where the deceleration of the vortex is so strong that it leads to a total, albeit short-term, westerly-to-easterly reversal of the polar night jet (Butler et al., 2017; Baldwin et al., 2021). To create composites around SSWs, we identify a major SSW as the date when the abrupt wind reversal first occurs at 60°N and 10 hPa. Over the 1979-2023 DJF period, 30 such SSW events have been identified in the Northern Hemisphere (Table S1).

161

153

3.2 Defining Final Warmings (FWs)

FWs in the Austral stratosphere are defined as the springtime westerly-to-easterly transition of the zonal mean zonal wind. In this study, we identify the FW date as the first day following Austral winters when the zonal mean zonal wind at 60°S and 10 hPa turns easterly. All FW composites are produced around this date (Table S1).

¹⁶⁶ 4 44-year Climatology of GW Forcing in the Extratropical Stratosphere

The climatology of zonal mean GW forcing is shown in Figure 1. In both hemispheres, 167 the $\overline{u'\omega'}_p$ term provides the strongest contribution, providing an average resolved forc-168 ing of up to -2 m/s/day in the Northern Hemisphere (DJF) and up to -4 m/s/day in 169 the Southern Hemisphere (JJA) (Figure 1a). Most GW dissipation occurs above 10 hPa, 170 and spans the midlatitudes in both hemispheres. Downward protrusions in the JJA forc-171 ing pattern, between 3-10 hPa, at 45° S and 75° S respectively show contributions from 172 GWs excited over the Andes and Antarctic peninsula. The direction of flux propagation, 173 shown by the small-scale EP-Flux vectors (Figure 1a), shows upward and poleward prop-174 agation of GWs, and focusing of momentum towards the polar night jet. 175

The $\overline{u'v'}_{\phi}$ term provides the second strongest zonal mean forcing (Figure 1b) in the 176 upper stratosphere. The forcing is strongest in the Southern Hemisphere midlatitudes, 177 with a net zonal acceleration between $40-50^{\circ}$ S, and a net zonal deceleration of up to – 178 0.5 m/s/day poleward of 50°S. Between 10-30 hPa, the JJA forcing from the $\overline{u'\omega'}_{p}$ and 179 $\overline{u'v'_{\phi}}$ terms are, in fact, equally strong. The Northern Hemispheric forcing is weaker, on 180 average, due to a weaker vortex perturbed with frequent warming events. For strong vor-181 tex days, the DJF deceleration is at least double the climatological average, and there-182 fore, similar in strength to the JJA forcing (Figure S1). The vertical momentum con-183 vergence provides a bulk of the forcing, but the notable contribution from lateral flux convergence highlights the prominence of lateral propagation of GWs in the upper strato-185 sphere. 186

The vertical heat flux convergence provides strong forcing between 30°-50° latitudes, but an order of magnitude weaker forcing in the upper stratosphere (Figure 1c vs. 1a). This indicates strong contributions from resolved inertio-gravity waves likely due to geostrophic adjustment around the midlatitude jet core (Plougonven & Zhang, 2014).

The horizontal maps of the DJF and JJA mean $u'\omega'$ and u'v' are illustrated in Figure 2. In the lower stratosphere, $u'\omega'$ is mostly localized near orographic hotspots including the Rocky Mountains, Himalayas, Scandinavian Mountains, and European Alps (Figure 2a,b; green). In the middle stratosphere, the flux increasingly spreads horizontally beyond the mountain ranges (Figure 2a,b; blue) to the extent that in the upper stratosphere, the fluxes form almost a global belt of GW activity spanning at least half the latitudinal circle (Figure 2a,b; color). The belt spans from ~60°W to ~180°E.



Figure 1. 44-year (1979-2022) JJA and DJF climatology of the four forcing terms (m/s/day) in Eqn 5 forming the total resolved small-scale forcing. The black curves and black arrows show the zonal mean zonal wind (m/s) and the small-scale EP-Flux respectively.

198 199 The hotspots in both hemispheres identified in ERA5 match well with those identified from AIRS temperature data (Hindley et al., 2020). The hotspots contributing most to the belt in the Northern Hemisphere include Newfoundland and Long-Range mountains in Canada, southeastern Greenland, the British Isles, Scandinavian mountain ridge,
the Italian Alps, the Ural mountains in Eurasia, Altay-Sayan and the Greater Khingan mountains in Central and East Asia (Figure 2a). Interestingly, the strong fluxes over the Rocky Mountains, the Himalayas, and the Japanese islands do not contribute to the upper stratospheric belt as they dissipate in the lower stratosphere.

Similarly, in the Southern Hemisphere, the most notable contributions to the GW activity belt in the upper stratosphere are found over the Andes, the Antarctic peninsula, and the Southern Ocean with some contributions from New Zealand (Figure 2b; color). In the lower stratosphere, most of the GW activity is localized over these two mountain ranges (Figure 2b; green). As the GWs propagate vertically (and laterally), the GW activity in the middle stratosphere steadily spreads wider to regions downstream of the Andes, including most parts of the Southern Ocean (Figure 2b; blue curve).



Figure 2. The map of the 44-year averaged (a)-(b) vertical flux $(u'\omega')$ and (c)-(d) lateral flux (u'v'), at 2 hPa altitude. Superimposed green and blue curves show the 10th-percentile envelope of the respective flux in the lower stratosphere (100 hPa) and the middle stratosphere (20 hPa) respectively. The values for the solid (positive) and dashed (negative) blue and green curves are specified in the respective figures, with units as specified in the subplot titles.

Lateral propagation is evident in both hemispheres, more so around prominent mountain ranges. The horizontal flux, $\overline{u'v'}$, in the Northern Hemisphere maximizes over the Canadian Rockies, Appalachian Mountains, the Scandinavian mountains, and the European Alps, and indicates a predominantly poleward transport of zonal momentum (Figure 2c). Strong meridional convergence over these spots contributes the most to the zonal mean forcing provided by lateral fluxes.

In the Southern Hemisphere (Figure 2d), strongly negative $\overline{u'v'}$ indicates strong 219 poleward propagation of momentum by GWs. Negative (poleward) fluxes over and down-220 stream of the Andes and positive (equatorward) fluxes around the Antarctic peninsula 221 indicate momentum convergence over the Drake Passage. Though the fluxes maximize 222 around these topographies, a streak of lateral fluxes spans the whole latitudinal circle. 223 Using the 7-km GEOS Nature run, Holt et al. (2017) identified midlatitude-to-subtropical 224 convection near 100 hPa as a primary source of GWs in the Southern Hemisphere. These 225 strong but interspersed sources could form key contributions to the upper stratospheric 226 streak. 227

5 Composite Evolution of Vertical and Lateral GW Fluxes

5.1 Evolution around SSWs

229

To analyze the GW forcing evolution during abrupt dynamical changes in the stratosphere, we assess the composite evolution of the resolved forcing around 30 major SSWs over 1979-2023 (Figure 3). On average, the vortex decelerates by 35-40 m/s over 20 days leading to the SSWs, the deceleration being much stronger for the 7 days prior to wind reversal (Figure 3a).



Figure 3. (a) Composite evolution of zonal mean zonal wind (m/s) at 60°N and 10 hPa around 30 major SSWs over 1979-2023 and (b) composite evolution of resolved GW forcing (m/s/day) due to vertical flux convergence, i.e. the $\overline{u'\omega'}_p$ term, at 60°N. (c) The latitudepressure profile of the $\overline{u'\omega'}_p$ term before SSWs averaged over lead times -20 to 0 (to the left of violet bar in (b)), (d) the $\overline{u'\omega'}_p$ term shortly after SSWs averaged over lead times 0 to 5 (enclosed by violet and green bars in (b)). (e) The map of $-u'\omega'_p$ in the upper stratosphere (10 hPa) before SSWs, i.e., averaged over lead times -20 to 0. (f-h) Same as figures (c-e) respectively, but for the $\overline{u'v'}_{\phi}$ term. Black curves in (b) show the zonal mean zonal wind at 60°N. Red curves and black arrows in (c)-(d) and (f)-(h) respectively show the zonal mean zonal wind and small-scale EP-Flux.

A gradual reduction in $-\overline{u'\omega'}_p$ in the upper stratosphere is noticed 7-20 days before the event, followed by a dramatic reduction in the upper atmosphere GW forcing within 7 days of the warming (Figure 3b). Upon wind reversal, the deceleration happens at slightly lower altitudes (10-20 hPa). The reversal is also accompanied by a reversal in the GW forcing in the upper stratosphere, i.e., GW dissipation provides a net acceleration to the zonal mean flow, likely due to predominantly westward propagating GWs experiencing critical levels lower within the stratosphere.

The zonal wind below 10 hPa remains weak even 2-3 weeks after the SSW. Despite 242 the zonal wind recovering to its original strength above 10 hPa, the GW forcing in the 243 upper stratosphere remains weak relative to pre-warming strength. In the lower strato-244 sphere, the GW forcing remains largely unaffected before and after the SSWs at all lat-245 itudes, contrasting the dramatic decrease in forcing in the upper stratosphere (Figure 246 3c vs. 3d). The decrease is accompanied by (a) an equatorward shift in the westward 247 drag dissipation with wave focusing towards the new jet maximum at 30-35°N, and (b) 248 GWs providing a net acceleration poleward of 60°N (Figure 3c vs. 3d). 249

The composite map of $-\overline{u'\omega'}_p$ before SSWs exhibits a wave-1 structure likely associated with wind anomalies around SSWs (Figure 3e); computing anomalies from the DJF climatologies reveal strengthening of the westward GW dissipation over the Central and East Asian mountains (Supplementary Figure S2). This seems consistent with the findings from the topography-removal experiments of White et al. (2018) that found these mountain ranges to strongly influence the Northern Hemisphere SSW frequency.

Changes in the $\overline{u'\omega'}_p$ term are accompanied by changes in the $\overline{u'v'}_{\phi}$ term. Before 256 SSWs, lateral flux dissipation provides net deceleration in the jet-center region (Figure 257 3f; purple). Following SSWs, the equatorward shift in the jet leads to an equatorward 258 shift in the lateral flux dissipation. Moreover, the dissipation provides a net acceleration 259 in the region with polar easterly winds (Figure 3g). The map of $u'v'_{\phi}$ (Figure 3h) shows 260 that most of the contribution to the midlatitude convergence (deceleration) noted in the 261 zonal mean (in Figure 3f) occurs over Northern Atlantic, mainland Europe, and North-262 ern Asia. Likewise, the divergence (acceleration) between 35-45°N occurs mostly over 263 continental Asia, Middle East, and Southern Europe. 264

265

5.2 Evolution around Antarctic Final Warmings

We extend the analysis of Gupta et al. (2021) to assess lateral flux evolution around FWs.

Approaching the FW, strong westerlies in the extratropical winter stratosphere grad-268 ually weaken with an average deceleration of -1.2 m/s/day (Figure 4a). Composite evolution of the $\overline{u'\omega'}_p$ term around 60°S during this period shows a forcing of up to -3.5 m/s/day 270 in the upper stratosphere. The GW deceleration in the upper stratosphere rapidly weak-271 ens 30-35 days before the FWs (Figure 4b color). The weakening is accompanied by a 272 steady downward migration of the zero wind line and GW dissipation to lower altitudes. 273 During this period, GWs from over a broad range of latitudes propagate upward and pole-274 ward and, on average, provide a peak resolved forcing of -1 m/s/day centered around 275 $60-65^{\circ}$ S (Figure 4c). Following the FW, the reversal in the mean winds leads to the fil-276 tering of all stationary and westward GWs in the lower-to-middle stratosphere. The east-277 ward GWs propagating into the upper stratosphere and mesosphere provide a weak ac-278 celeration of the easterly winds (Figure 4d, red). A majority of the contribution to the 279 zonal forcing by the $\overline{u'\omega'}_p$ term is due to waves excited over the Andes and the penin-280 281 sula (Figure 4f). The fluxes from these waves, along with non-orographic waves from storm tracks (Hendricks et al., 2014; Holt et al., 2017) converge over the Southern Ocean around 282 60° S, providing a spiral belt of GW forcing. Near the Andes, the belt is centered around 283 55°S but around the Ross Sea (120°E) the belt center shifts to $\sim 65^{\circ}$ S. 284



Figure 4. (a) Composite evolution of zonal mean zonal wind (m/s) at 60°S and 10 hPa around 44 FWs over 1979-2023, and (b) composite evolution of resolved GW forcing (m/s/day) from the $\overline{u'\omega'}_p$ term, at 60°S. (c) The latitude-pressure profile of the resolved forcing before FWs averaged over lead times -50 to 0 (left of the violet bar in (b)). (d) resolved GW forcing shortly after FWs averaged over lead times 0 to 5 (right of the violet bar in (b)). (e) The latitudepressure profile of the forcing from lateral flux convergence, i.e. the $-\overline{u'v'}_{\phi}$ term averaged over lead times -50 to 0. (f)-(g) Map of the GW forcing from the $-\overline{u'\omega'}_p$ and $-\overline{u'v'}_{\phi}$ terms respectively averaged over lead times -50 to 0. Black curves in (b) show the zonal mean zonal wind at 60°S. Red curves and black arrows in (c)-(e) respectively show the zonal mean zonal wind and small-scale EP-Flux. (c), (d) and (f) share the same colorscale, so do (e) and (g).

Contrary to the JJA mean, the zonal mean forcing from the $\overline{u'v'}_{\phi}$ term around FWs is one-to-two orders of magnitude weaker than that from the $\overline{u'\omega'}_p$ term (Figure 4e vs 4c). This is because strong deceleration from this term is localized around the Andes and in the zonal mean is balanced by the acceleration provided by lateral fluxes over other sources around Southern Africa and Oceania (Figure 4g). Nevertheless, strong local deceleration from this term can be important for an accurate representation of mesoscale variability around the Drake Passage and over the Southern Ocean.

²⁹² 6 Conclusions and Discussion

We produce a 44-year DJF and JJA climatology of resolved zonal GW forcing in the extratropical stratosphere using ERA5 and assess its composite evolution around Boreal SSWs and Austral FWs. We analyze both the vertical and the meridional flux of GW pseudomomentum to quantify the impact of lateral propagation towards the zonal flow forcing. Model parameterizations of GWs typically ignore lateral effects and only focus on vertical propagation when approximating subgrid-scale fluxes. Relative forcing contribution from these terms demonstrates that lateral propagation effects are prominent in the midlatitudes, especially near orography, and could be important for the middleto-upper stratospheric circulation.

The analysis complements other efforts to (i) produce GW climatology in the stratosphere using observations (Geller et al., 2013; Ern et al., 2018; Hindley et al., 2020; Wei et al., 2022, for instance), (ii) analyze GW contributions towards stratospheric circulation and variability (Polichtchouk et al., 2018; Sato & Hirano, 2019; Eichinger et al., 2020; Gupta et al., 2021; Cullens & Thurairajah, 2021; Pahlavan et al., 2021), and (iii) assess the complete GW forcing (Kruse et al., 2022; Procházková et al., 2023; Sun et al., 2023).

Following the Transformed Eulerian Mean framework, we estimate the mean GW 308 pseudomomentum flux by estimating the vertical flux of zonal momentum $(u'\omega')$, merid-309 ional flux of zonal momentum (u'v'), and meridional heat flux $(v'\theta')$. The vertical con-310 vergence of $u'\omega'$ dominates the total GW forcing in both the DJF and JJA stratospheric 311 midlatitudes, with the peak resolved JJA forcing at 40-45 km height (-4 m/s/day) be-312 ing more than double in magnitude than the respective climatological DJF forcing (-1.5)313 m/s/day). Still, meridional convergence of lateral fluxes forms a considerable fraction 314 of the forcing around orography and over the Southern Ocean, providing a zonal mean 315 resolved forcing of -0.5 m/s/day at those altitudes. 316

The lateral effects in the Southern Hemisphere are stronger during peak winter than during springtime. Lateral propagation of GWs, together with local GW sources, leads to the formation of belts of GW activity in both hemispheres' upper stratosphere. Momentum flux hotspots appear over orography but appear to spread over a much broader region in the upper stratosphere due to lateral propagation.

The composite evolution of GW forcing around major SSWs and FWs demonstrates the sensitivity of GW forcing to changes in the stratospheric mean state, suggesting possible changes in stratospheric GW forcing in a changing climate. Abrupt changes to the polar vortex are associated with abrupt changes in the upper stratospheric GW forcing due to changes in GW propagation conditions. Even after the vortex recovers to pre-SSW strength in the upper stratosphere, persisting wind anomalies in the middle stratosphere prevent tropospheric GWs from propagating into the upper stratosphere.

The analysis only provides a glimpse into the true GW climatology, as ERA5 and 329 even high-resolution models underestimate the resolved GW forcing in the stratosphere 330 on account of prescribed dissipation or limited grid resolution (Holt et al., 2016; Wicker 331 et al., 2023; Gupta et al., 2024). These unresolved GWs, with wavelength $\in (10, 100)$ 332 km, can account for a major chunk of extratropical GW forcing (Polichtchouk et al., 2022, 333 2023). The stratospheric sponge in ERA5 between 1-10 hPa could also attenuate the re-334 solved GWs. Further, computing vertical convergence on 37 pressure levels, as opposed 335 to 137 model levels, likely underestimates the forcing. Lastly, Gaussian tapering of com-336 plex coefficients dampens the contributions from spatial scales 500-1000 km to some de-337 gree (Figure S3), resulting in weaker GW forcing profiles than those in previous stud-338 ies which employ a fixed-wavenumber cutoff (Geller et al., 2013; Wicker et al., 2023; Gupta 339 et al., 2021). 340

The findings affirm the importance of lateral propagation, suggesting its importance 341 for GW parameterization development. Neglecting lateral propagation is believed to be 342 a prime reason for "missing drag" around 60°S, causing temperature biases and delayed 343 Antarctic vortex breakdown in climate models (Sato et al., 2012). In fact, in addition 344 to GWs (Plougonven et al., 2020; Eichinger et al., 2023; Voelker et al., 2023), model rep-345 resentation of a multitude of mesoscale processes including tropical (slantwise) convec-346 tion (Chen et al., 2018), planetary boundary layers (Xie et al., 2012), radiative trans-347 fer (Jakub & Mayer, 2017), and convective boundary layer (Sorbjan, 2009), could stand 348 to benefit from a nonlocal (three-dimensional) parameterized representation. 349

350 Acknowledgments

- This research was made possible by Schmidt Futures, a philanthropic initiative founded
- by Eric and Wendy Schmidt, as part of the Virtual Earth System Research Institute (VESRI).
- AS acknowledges support from the National Science Foundation through grant OAC-
- ³⁵⁴ 2004492, and MJA acknowledges support from NSF grant OAC/CLD-2004512.

355 **References**

Achatz, U., Alexander, M. J., Becker, E., Chun, H.-Y., Dörnbrack, A., Holt, L., 356 ... Wright, C. J. (2023, November). Atmospheric Gravity Waves: Processes 357 and Parameterization. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, -1 (aop). 358 doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-23-0210.1 359 Albers, J. R., & Birner, T. (2014, November). Vortex Preconditioning due to Plan-360 etary and Gravity Waves prior to Sudden Stratospheric Warmings. J. Atmos. 361 Sci., 71(11), 4028–4054. doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-14-0026.1 362 Alexander, M. J., Geller, M., McLandress, C., Polavarapu, S., Preusse, P., Sassi, 363 F., ... Watanabe, S. (2010). Recent developments in gravity-wave effects in 364 climate models and the global distribution of gravity-wave momentum flux 365 from observations and models. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological 366 Society, 136(650), 1103-1124. doi: 10.1002/qj.637 367 Alexander, M. J., & Grimsdell, A. W. (2013). Seasonal cycle of orographic gravity 368 wave occurrence above small islands in the Southern Hemisphere: Implica-369 tions for effects on the general circulation. Journal of Geophysical Research: 370 Atmospheres, 118(20), 11,589–11,599. doi: 10.1002/2013JD020526 371 Andrews, D., Leovy, Conway, & Holton, James. (1987). Middle Atmosphere Dynam-372 ics, Volume 40 - 1st Edition. 373 Baldwin, M. P., Ayarzagüena, B., Birner, T., Butchart, N., Butler, A. H., Charlton-374 Perez, A. J., ... Pedatella, N. M. (2021).Sudden Stratospheric Warmings. 375 *Reviews of Geophysics*, 59(1), e2020RG000708. doi: 10.1029/2020RG000708 376 Becker, E. (2012, June). Dynamical Control of the Middle Atmosphere. Space Sci 377 Rev, 168(1), 283-314. doi: 10.1007/s11214-011-9841-5 378 Butler, A. H., Sjoberg, J. P., Seidel, D. J., & Rosenlof, K. H. (2017, February). A 379 sudden stratospheric warming compendium. Earth System Science Data, 9(1), 380 63-76. doi: 10.5194/essd-9-63-2017 381 Chen, T.-C., Yau, M. K., & Kirshbaum, D. J. (2018, July). Assessment of Condi-382 tional Symmetric Instability from Global Reanalysis Data. Journal of the At-383 mospheric Sciences, 75(7), 2425–2443. doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-17-0221.1 384 Cullens, C. Y., & Thurairajah, B. (2021, August). Gravity wave variations and 385 contributions to stratospheric sudden warming using long-term ERA5 model 386 output. Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics, 219, 105632. 387 doi: 10.1016/j.jastp.2021.105632 388 Domeisen, D. I. V., & Butler, A. H. (2020, December). Stratospheric drivers of ex-389 treme events at the Earth's surface. Commun Earth Environ, 1(1), 1–8. doi: 390 10.1038/s43247-020-00060-z 391 Eichinger, R., Garny, H., Šácha, P., Danker, J., Dietmüller, S., & Oberländer-392 (2020, March). Effects of missing gravity waves on strato-Hayn, S. 393 spheric dynamics; part 1: Climatology. Clim Dyn, 54(5), 3165-3183. doi: 394 10.1007/s00382-020-05166-w 395 Eichinger, R., Rhode, S., Garny, H., Preusse, P., Pisoft, P., Kuchař, A., ... Kern, 396 В. (2023, October). Emulating lateral gravity wave propagation in a 397 global chemistry-climate model (EMAC v2.55.2) through horizontal flux re-398 Geoscientific Model Development, 16(19), 5561–5583. distribution. doi: 399 10.5194/gmd-16-5561-2023 400 Ern, M., Trinh, Q. T., Preusse, P., Gille, J. C., Mlynczak, M. G., Russell III, J. M., 401 GRACILE: A comprehensive climatology of at-& Riese, M. (2018, April). 402

403	mospheric gravity wave parameters based on satellite limb soundings. Earth
404	System Science Data, $10(2)$, 857–892. doi: 10.5194/essd-10-857-2018
405	Fritts, D. C., & Alexander, M. J. (2003). Gravity wave dynamics and ef-
406	fects in the middle atmosphere. Reviews of Geophysics, $41(1)$. doi:
407	10.1029/2001RG000106
408	Geller, M. A., Alexander, M. J., Love, P. T., Bacmeister, J., Ern, M., Hertzog, A.,
409	Zhou, T. (2013, September). A Comparison between Gravity Wave Mo-
410	mentum Fluxes in Observations and Climate Models. Journal of Climate,
411	26(17), 6383–6405. doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00545.1
412	Gill, A. (1982). Atmosphere-Ocean Dynamics, Volume 30 - 1st Edition.
413	https://shop.elsevier.com/books/atmosphere-ocean-dynamics/gill/978-0-12-
414	283522-3.
415	Giorgetta, M. A., Manzini, E., & Roeckner, E. (2002). Forcing of the quasi-biennial
416	oscillation from a broad spectrum of atmospheric waves. Geophysical Research
417	Letters, 29(8), 86-1-86-4. doi: 10.1029/2002GL014756
418	Gupta, A., Birner, T., Dörnbrack, A., & Polichtchouk, I. (2021). Importance of
419	Gravity Wave Forcing for Springtime Southern Polar Vortex Breakdown as
420	Revealed by ERA5. Geophysical Research Letters, 48(10), e2021GL092762.
421	doi: $10.1029/2021GL092762$
422	Gupta, A., Reichert, R., Dornbrack, A., Garny, H., Eichinger, R., Polichtchouk, I.,
423	Birner, I. (2024, January). Estimates of Southern Hemispheric Gravity
424	Wave Momentum Fluxes Across Observations, Reanalyses, and Kilometer-scale
425	Numerical Weather Prediction Model. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences,
426	-1 (aop). doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-25-0095.1
427	(2014 May) What Is the Source of the Strategnheric Cravity Wave Belt in
428	(2014, May). What is the source of the Stratospheric Gravity wave bert in Austral Winter? Lowrnal of Atmospheric Sciences $71(5)$ 1583–1502 doi:
429	Musual Winter: 50 a matof Musopheric Detences, $M(5)$, 1955 1952. (6).
420	10 1175/JAS-D-13-0332 1
430	10.1175/JAS-D-13-0332.1 Hersbach H Bell B Berrisford P Hirahara S Horányi A Muñoz-Sabater J
430 431 432	10.1175/JAS-D-13-0332.1 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Thépaut, JN. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis. <i>Quarterly Journal of</i>
430 431 432 433	 10.1175/JAS-D-13-0332.1 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., … Thépaut, JN. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 146(730), 1999–2049. doi: 10.1002/gj.3803
430 431 432 433 434	 10.1175/JAS-D-13-0332.1 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., … Thépaut, JN. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis. <i>Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society</i>, 146 (730), 1999–2049. doi: 10.1002/qj.3803 Hindley, N. P., Wright, C. J., Hoffmann, L., Moffat-Griffin, T., & Mitchell, N. J.
430 431 432 433 434 435	 10.1175/JAS-D-13-0332.1 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., … Thépaut, JN. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis. <i>Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society</i>, 146(730), 1999–2049. doi: 10.1002/qj.3803 Hindley, N. P., Wright, C. J., Hoffmann, L., Moffat-Griffin, T., & Mitchell, N. J. (2020). An 18-Year Climatology of Directional Stratospheric Gravity Wave
430 431 432 433 434 435 436	 10.1175/JAS-D-13-0332.1 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., … Thépaut, JN. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 146(730), 1999–2049. doi: 10.1002/qj.3803 Hindley, N. P., Wright, C. J., Hoffmann, L., Moffat-Griffin, T., & Mitchell, N. J. (2020). An 18-Year Climatology of Directional Stratospheric Gravity Wave Momentum Flux From 3-D Satellite Observations. Geophysical Research Let-
430 431 432 433 434 435 436 437	 10.1175/JAS-D-13-0332.1 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Thépaut, JN. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 146(730), 1999–2049. doi: 10.1002/qj.3803 Hindley, N. P., Wright, C. J., Hoffmann, L., Moffat-Griffin, T., & Mitchell, N. J. (2020). An 18-Year Climatology of Directional Stratospheric Gravity Wave Momentum Flux From 3-D Satellite Observations. Geophysical Research Let- ters, 47(22), e2020GL089557. doi: 10.1029/2020GL089557
430 431 432 433 434 435 436 436 437 438	 10.1175/JAS-D-13-0332.1 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Thépaut, JN. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 146(730), 1999–2049. doi: 10.1002/qj.3803 Hindley, N. P., Wright, C. J., Hoffmann, L., Moffat-Griffin, T., & Mitchell, N. J. (2020). An 18-Year Climatology of Directional Stratospheric Gravity Wave Momentum Flux From 3-D Satellite Observations. Geophysical Research Let- ters, 47(22), e2020GL089557. doi: 10.1029/2020GL089557 Holt, L. A., Alexander, M. J., Coy, L., Liu, C., Molod, A., Putman, W., & Pawson,
430 431 432 433 434 435 436 437 438 439	 10.1175/JAS-D-13-0332.1 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Thépaut, JN. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 146(730), 1999–2049. doi: 10.1002/qj.3803 Hindley, N. P., Wright, C. J., Hoffmann, L., Moffat-Griffin, T., & Mitchell, N. J. (2020). An 18-Year Climatology of Directional Stratospheric Gravity Wave Momentum Flux From 3-D Satellite Observations. Geophysical Research Let- ters, 47(22), e2020GL089557. doi: 10.1029/2020GL089557 Holt, L. A., Alexander, M. J., Coy, L., Liu, C., Molod, A., Putman, W., & Pawson, S. (2017, July). An evaluation of gravity waves and gravity wave sources in
430 431 432 433 434 435 436 437 438 439 440	 10.1175/JAS-D-13-0332.1 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Thépaut, JN. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 146(730), 1999–2049. doi: 10.1002/qj.3803 Hindley, N. P., Wright, C. J., Hoffmann, L., Moffat-Griffin, T., & Mitchell, N. J. (2020). An 18-Year Climatology of Directional Stratospheric Gravity Wave Momentum Flux From 3-D Satellite Observations. Geophysical Research Let- ters, 47(22), e2020GL089557. doi: 10.1029/2020GL089557 Holt, L. A., Alexander, M. J., Coy, L., Liu, C., Molod, A., Putman, W., & Pawson, S. (2017, July). An evaluation of gravity waves and gravity wave sources in the Southern Hemisphere in a 7 km global climate simulation. Q J R Meteorol
430 431 432 433 434 435 436 437 438 439 440 441	 10.1175/JAS-D-13-0332.1 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Thépaut, JN. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 146(730), 1999–2049. doi: 10.1002/qj.3803 Hindley, N. P., Wright, C. J., Hoffmann, L., Moffat-Griffin, T., & Mitchell, N. J. (2020). An 18-Year Climatology of Directional Stratospheric Gravity Wave Momentum Flux From 3-D Satellite Observations. Geophysical Research Let- ters, 47(22), e2020GL089557. doi: 10.1029/2020GL089557 Holt, L. A., Alexander, M. J., Coy, L., Liu, C., Molod, A., Putman, W., & Pawson, S. (2017, July). An evaluation of gravity waves and gravity wave sources in the Southern Hemisphere in a 7 km global climate simulation. Q J R Meteorol Soc, 143(707), 2481–2495. doi: 10.1002/qj.3101
430 431 432 433 434 435 436 437 438 439 440 441	 10.1175/JAS-D-13-0332.1 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Thépaut, JN. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 146(730), 1999–2049. doi: 10.1002/qj.3803 Hindley, N. P., Wright, C. J., Hoffmann, L., Moffat-Griffin, T., & Mitchell, N. J. (2020). An 18-Year Climatology of Directional Stratospheric Gravity Wave Momentum Flux From 3-D Satellite Observations. Geophysical Research Let- ters, 47(22), e2020GL089557. doi: 10.1029/2020GL089557 Holt, L. A., Alexander, M. J., Coy, L., Liu, C., Molod, A., Putman, W., & Pawson, S. (2017, July). An evaluation of gravity waves and gravity wave sources in the Southern Hemisphere in a 7 km global climate simulation. Q J R Meteorol Soc, 143(707), 2481–2495. doi: 10.1002/qj.3101 Holt, L. A., Alexander, M. J., Coy, L., Molod, A., Putman, W., & Pawson, S. (2016,
430 431 432 433 434 435 436 437 438 439 440 441 442 443	 10.1175/JAS-D-13-0332.1 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Thépaut, JN. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 146(730), 1999–2049. doi: 10.1002/qj.3803 Hindley, N. P., Wright, C. J., Hoffmann, L., Moffat-Griffin, T., & Mitchell, N. J. (2020). An 18-Year Climatology of Directional Stratospheric Gravity Wave Momentum Flux From 3-D Satellite Observations. Geophysical Research Let- ters, 47(22), e2020GL089557. doi: 10.1029/2020GL089557 Holt, L. A., Alexander, M. J., Coy, L., Liu, C., Molod, A., Putman, W., & Pawson, S. (2017, July). An evaluation of gravity waves and gravity wave sources in the Southern Hemisphere in a 7 km global climate simulation. Q J R Meteorol Soc, 143(707), 2481–2495. doi: 10.1002/qj.3101 Holt, L. A., Alexander, M. J., Coy, L., Molod, A., Putman, W., & Pawson, S. (2016, September). Tropical Waves and the Quasi-Biennial Oscillation in a 7-km
430 431 432 433 434 435 436 437 438 439 440 441 442 443	 10.1175/JAS-D-13-0332.1 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Thépaut, JN. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 146(730), 1999–2049. doi: 10.1002/qj.3803 Hindley, N. P., Wright, C. J., Hoffmann, L., Moffat-Griffin, T., & Mitchell, N. J. (2020). An 18-Year Climatology of Directional Stratospheric Gravity Wave Momentum Flux From 3-D Satellite Observations. Geophysical Research Let- ters, 47(22), e2020GL089557. doi: 10.1029/2020GL089557 Holt, L. A., Alexander, M. J., Coy, L., Liu, C., Molod, A., Putman, W., & Pawson, S. (2017, July). An evaluation of gravity waves and gravity wave sources in the Southern Hemisphere in a 7 km global climate simulation. Q J R Meteorol Soc, 143(707), 2481–2495. doi: 10.1002/qj.3101 Holt, L. A., Alexander, M. J., Coy, L., Molod, A., Putman, W., & Pawson, S. (2016, September). Tropical Waves and the Quasi-Biennial Oscillation in a 7-km Global Climate Simulation. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 73(9),
430 431 432 433 434 435 436 437 438 439 440 441 442 444 445	 10.1175/JAS-D-13-0332.1 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Thépaut, JN. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 146(730), 1999–2049. doi: 10.1002/qj.3803 Hindley, N. P., Wright, C. J., Hoffmann, L., Moffat-Griffin, T., & Mitchell, N. J. (2020). An 18-Year Climatology of Directional Stratospheric Gravity Wave Momentum Flux From 3-D Satellite Observations. Geophysical Research Let- ters, 47(22), e2020GL089557. doi: 10.1029/2020GL089557 Holt, L. A., Alexander, M. J., Coy, L., Liu, C., Molod, A., Putman, W., & Pawson, S. (2017, July). An evaluation of gravity waves and gravity wave sources in the Southern Hemisphere in a 7 km global climate simulation. Q J R Meteorol Soc, 143(707), 2481–2495. doi: 10.1002/qj.3101 Holt, L. A., Alexander, M. J., Coy, L., Molod, A., Putman, W., & Pawson, S. (2016, September). Tropical Waves and the Quasi-Biennial Oscillation in a 7-km Global Climate Simulation. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 73(9), 3771–3783. doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-15-0350.1
430 431 432 433 434 435 436 437 438 439 440 441 442 443 444 445 446	 10.1175/JAS-D-13-0332.1 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Thépaut, JN. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 146(730), 1999–2049. doi: 10.1002/qj.3803 Hindley, N. P., Wright, C. J., Hoffmann, L., Moffat-Griffin, T., & Mitchell, N. J. (2020). An 18-Year Climatology of Directional Stratospheric Gravity Wave Momentum Flux From 3-D Satellite Observations. Geophysical Research Let- ters, 47(22), e2020GL089557. doi: 10.1029/2020GL089557 Holt, L. A., Alexander, M. J., Coy, L., Liu, C., Molod, A., Putman, W., & Pawson, S. (2017, July). An evaluation of gravity waves and gravity wave sources in the Southern Hemisphere in a 7 km global climate simulation. Q J R Meteorol Soc, 143(707), 2481–2495. doi: 10.1002/qj.3101 Holt, L. A., Alexander, M. J., Coy, L., Molod, A., Putman, W., & Pawson, S. (2016, September). Tropical Waves and the Quasi-Biennial Oscillation in a 7-km Global Climate Simulation. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 73(9), 3771–3783. doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-15-0350.1 Holton, J. R. (1982, April). The Role of Gravity Wave Induced Drag and Diffu-
430 431 432 433 434 435 436 437 438 439 440 441 442 443 444 445 446 447	 10.1175/JAS-D-13-0332.1 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Thépaut, JN. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis. <i>Quarterly Journal of</i> the Royal Meteorological Society, 146 (730), 1999–2049. doi: 10.1002/qj.3803 Hindley, N. P., Wright, C. J., Hoffmann, L., Moffat-Griffin, T., & Mitchell, N. J. (2020). An 18-Year Climatology of Directional Stratospheric Gravity Wave Momentum Flux From 3-D Satellite Observations. <i>Geophysical Research Let</i> ters, 47(22), e2020GL089557. doi: 10.1029/2020GL089557 Holt, L. A., Alexander, M. J., Coy, L., Liu, C., Molod, A., Putman, W., & Pawson, S. (2017, July). An evaluation of gravity waves and gravity wave sources in the Southern Hemisphere in a 7 km global climate simulation. <i>Q J R Meteorol</i> Soc, 143(707), 2481–2495. doi: 10.1002/qj.3101 Holt, L. A., Alexander, M. J., Coy, L., Molod, A., Putman, W., & Pawson, S. (2016, September). Tropical Waves and the Quasi-Biennial Oscillation in a 7-km Global Climate Simulation. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 73(9), 3771–3783. doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-15-0350.1 Holton, J. R. (1982, April). The Role of Gravity Wave Induced Drag and Diffu- sion in the Momentum Budget of the Mesosphere. Journal of the Atmospheric
430 431 432 433 434 435 436 437 438 440 441 442 443 444 445 446 447 448	 10.1175/JAS-D-13-0332.1 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Thépaut, JN. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 146 (730), 1999–2049. doi: 10.1002/qj.3803 Hindley, N. P., Wright, C. J., Hoffmann, L., Moffat-Griffin, T., & Mitchell, N. J. (2020). An 18-Year Climatology of Directional Stratospheric Gravity Wave Momentum Flux From 3-D Satellite Observations. Geophysical Research Let- ters, 47(22), e2020GL089557. doi: 10.1029/2020GL089557 Holt, L. A., Alexander, M. J., Coy, L., Liu, C., Molod, A., Putman, W., & Pawson, S. (2017, July). An evaluation of gravity waves and gravity wave sources in the Southern Hemisphere in a 7 km global climate simulation. Q J R Meteorol Soc, 143(707), 2481–2495. doi: 10.1002/qj.3101 Holt, L. A., Alexander, M. J., Coy, L., Molod, A., Putman, W., & Pawson, S. (2016, September). Tropical Waves and the Quasi-Biennial Oscillation in a 7-km Global Climate Simulation. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 73(9), 3771–3783. doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-15-0350.1 Holton, J. R. (1982, April). The Role of Gravity Wave Induced Drag and Diffu- sion in the Momentum Budget of the Mesosphere. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 39(4), 791–799. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1982)039(0791:TROGWI)2.0
430 431 432 433 434 435 436 437 438 440 441 442 443 444 445 444 445 446 447 448	 10.1175/JAS-D-13-0332.1 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Thépaut, JN. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 146(730), 1999–2049. doi: 10.1002/qj.3803 Hindley, N. P., Wright, C. J., Hoffmann, L., Moffat-Griffin, T., & Mitchell, N. J. (2020). An 18-Year Climatology of Directional Stratospheric Gravity Wave Momentum Flux From 3-D Satellite Observations. Geophysical Research Let- ters, 47(22), e2020GL089557. doi: 10.1029/2020GL089557 Holt, L. A., Alexander, M. J., Coy, L., Liu, C., Molod, A., Putman, W., & Pawson, S. (2017, July). An evaluation of gravity waves and gravity wave sources in the Southern Hemisphere in a 7 km global climate simulation. Q J R Meteorol Soc, 143(707), 2481–2495. doi: 10.1002/qj.3101 Holt, L. A., Alexander, M. J., Coy, L., Molod, A., Putman, W., & Pawson, S. (2016, September). Tropical Waves and the Quasi-Biennial Oscillation in a 7-km Global Climate Simulation. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 73(9), 3771–3783. doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-15-0350.1 Holton, J. R. (1982, April). The Role of Gravity Wave Induced Drag and Diffu- sion in the Momentum Budget of the Mesosphere. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 39(4), 791–799. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1982)039(0791:TROGWI)2.0 .CO;2
430 431 432 433 434 435 436 437 438 439 440 441 442 443 444 445 446 447 448 449	 10.1175/JAS-D-13-0332.1 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Thépaut, JN. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 146(730), 1999–2049. doi: 10.1002/qj.3803 Hindley, N. P., Wright, C. J., Hoffmann, L., Moffat-Griffin, T., & Mitchell, N. J. (2020). An 18-Year Climatology of Directional Stratospheric Gravity Wave Momentum Flux From 3-D Satellite Observations. Geophysical Research Let- ters, 47(22), e2020GL089557. doi: 10.1029/2020GL089557 Holt, L. A., Alexander, M. J., Coy, L., Liu, C., Molod, A., Putman, W., & Pawson, S. (2017, July). An evaluation of gravity waves and gravity wave sources in the Southern Hemisphere in a 7 km global climate simulation. Q J R Meteorol Soc, 143(707), 2481–2495. doi: 10.1002/qj.3101 Holt, L. A., Alexander, M. J., Coy, L., Molod, A., Putman, W., & Pawson, S. (2016, September). Tropical Waves and the Quasi-Biennial Oscillation in a 7-km Global Climate Simulation. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 73(9), 3771–3783. doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-15-0350.1 Holton, J. R. (1982, April). The Role of Gravity Wave Induced Drag and Diffu- sion in the Momentum Budget of the Mesosphere. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 39(4), 791–799. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1982)039(0791:TROGWI)2.0 .CO;2 Jakub, F., & Mayer, B. (2017, November). The role of 1-D and 3-D radiative heat-
 430 431 432 433 434 435 436 437 438 439 440 441 445 445 446 447 448 449 450 451 	 10.1175/JAS-D-13-0332.1 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Thépaut, JN. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 146 (730), 1999–2049. doi: 10.1002/qj.3803 Hindley, N. P., Wright, C. J., Hoffmann, L., Moffat-Griffin, T., & Mitchell, N. J. (2020). An 18-Year Climatology of Directional Stratospheric Gravity Wave Momentum Flux From 3-D Satellite Observations. Geophysical Research Let- ters, 47(22), e2020GL089557. doi: 10.1029/2020GL089557 Holt, L. A., Alexander, M. J., Coy, L., Liu, C., Molod, A., Putman, W., & Pawson, S. (2017, July). An evaluation of gravity waves and gravity wave sources in the Southern Hemisphere in a 7 km global climate simulation. Q J R Meteorol Soc, 143(707), 2481–2495. doi: 10.1002/qj.3101 Holt, L. A., Alexander, M. J., Coy, L., Molod, A., Putman, W., & Pawson, S. (2016, September). Tropical Waves and the Quasi-Biennial Oscillation in a 7-km Global Climate Simulation. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 73(9), 3771–3783. doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-15-0350.1 Holton, J. R. (1982, April). The Role of Gravity Wave Induced Drag and Diffu- sion in the Momentum Budget of the Mesosphere. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 39(4), 791–799. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1982)039(0791:TROGWI)2.0 .CO;2 Jakub, F., & Mayer, B. (2017, November). The role of 1-D and 3-D radiative heat- ing in the organization of shallow cumulus convection and the formation of
 430 431 432 433 434 435 436 437 438 440 441 445 446 447 448 449 450 451 452 	 10.1175/JAS-D-13-0332.1 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Thépaut, JN. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 146 (730), 1999–2049. doi: 10.1002/qj.3803 Hindley, N. P., Wright, C. J., Hoffmann, L., Moffat-Griffin, T., & Mitchell, N. J. (2020). An 18-Year Climatology of Directional Stratospheric Gravity Wave Momentum Flux From 3-D Satellite Observations. Geophysical Research Let- ters, 47(22), e2020GL089557. doi: 10.1029/2020GL089557 Holt, L. A., Alexander, M. J., Coy, L., Liu, C., Molod, A., Putman, W., & Pawson, S. (2017, July). An evaluation of gravity waves and gravity wave sources in the Southern Hemisphere in a 7 km global climate simulation. Q J R Meteorol Soc, 143(707), 2481–2495. doi: 10.1002/qj.3101 Holt, L. A., Alexander, M. J., Coy, L., Molod, A., Putman, W., & Pawson, S. (2016, September). Tropical Waves and the Quasi-Biennial Oscillation in a 7-km Global Climate Simulation. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 73(9), 3771–3783. doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-15-0350.1 Holton, J. R. (1982, April). The Role of Gravity Wave Induced Drag and Diffu- sion in the Momentum Budget of the Mesosphere. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 39(4), 791–799. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1982)039(0791:TROGWI)2.0 .CO;2 Jakub, F., & Mayer, B. (2017, November). The role of 1-D and 3-D radiative heat- ing in the organization of shallow cumulus convection and the formation of cloud streets. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 17(21), 13317–13327. doi:
 430 431 432 433 434 435 436 437 438 439 440 441 442 443 444 445 446 447 448 449 450 451 452 453 	 10.1175/JAS-D-13-0332.1 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Thépaut, JN. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 146 (730), 1999–2049. doi: 10.1002/qj.3803 Hindley, N. P., Wright, C. J., Hoffmann, L., Moffat-Griffin, T., & Mitchell, N. J. (2020). An 18-Year Climatology of Directional Stratospheric Gravity Wave Momentum Flux From 3-D Satellite Observations. Geophysical Research Let- ters, 47 (22), e2020GL089557. doi: 10.1029/2020GL089557 Holt, L. A., Alexander, M. J., Coy, L., Liu, C., Molod, A., Putman, W., & Pawson, S. (2017, July). An evaluation of gravity waves and gravity wave sources in the Southern Hemisphere in a 7 km global climate simulation. Q J R Meteorol Soc, 143 (707), 2481–2495. doi: 10.1002/qj.3101 Holt, L. A., Alexander, M. J., Coy, L., Molod, A., Putman, W., & Pawson, S. (2016, September). Tropical Waves and the Quasi-Biennial Oscillation in a 7-km Global Climate Simulation. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 73(9), 3771–3783. doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-15-0350.1 Holton, J. R. (1982, April). The Role of Gravity Wave Induced Drag and Diffu- sion in the Momentum Budget of the Mesosphere. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 39(4), 791–799. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1982)039(0791:TROGWI)2.0 .CO;2 Jakub, F., & Mayer, B. (2017, November). The role of 1-D and 3-D radiative heat- ing in the organization of shallow cumulus convection and the formation of cloud streets. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 17(21), 13317–13327. doi: 10.5194/acp-17-13317-2017
 430 431 432 433 434 435 436 437 438 439 440 441 442 445 446 447 448 449 450 451 452 453 454 	 10.1175/JAS-D-13-0332.1 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Thépaut, JN. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 146 (730), 1999–2049. doi: 10.1002/qj.3803 Hindley, N. P., Wright, C. J., Hoffmann, L., Moffat-Griffin, T., & Mitchell, N. J. (2020). An 18-Year Climatology of Directional Stratospheric Gravity Wave Momentum Flux From 3-D Satellite Observations. Geophysical Research Let- ters, 47(22), e2020GL089557. doi: 10.1029/2020GL089557 Holt, L. A., Alexander, M. J., Coy, L., Liu, C., Molod, A., Putman, W., & Pawson, S. (2017, July). An evaluation of gravity waves and gravity wave sources in the Southern Hemisphere in a 7 km global climate simulation. Q J R Meteorol Soc, 143(707), 2481–2495. doi: 10.1002/qj.3101 Holt, L. A., Alexander, M. J., Coy, L., Molod, A., Putman, W., & Pawson, S. (2016, September). Tropical Waves and the Quasi-Biennial Oscillation in a 7-km Global Climate Simulation. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 73(9), 3771–3783. doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-15-0350.1 Holton, J. R. (1982, April). The Role of Gravity Wave Induced Drag and Diffu- sion in the Momentum Budget of the Mesosphere. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 39(4), 791–799. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1982)039(0791:TROGWI)2.0 .CO;2 Jakub, F., & Mayer, B. (2017, November). The role of 1-D and 3-D radiative heat- ing in the organization of shallow cumulus convection and the formation of cloud streets. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 17(21), 13317–13327. doi: 10.5194/acp-17-13317-2017 Kidston, J., Scaife, A. A., Hardiman, S. C., Mitchell, D. M., Butchart, N., Baldwin,
 430 431 432 433 434 435 436 437 438 439 440 441 445 445 446 447 448 449 450 451 454 455 	 10.1175/JAS-D-13-0332.1 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Thépaut, JN. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 146(730), 1999–2049. doi: 10.1002/qj.3803 Hindley, N. P., Wright, C. J., Hoffmann, L., Moffat-Griffin, T., & Mitchell, N. J. (2020). An 18-Year Climatology of Directional Stratospheric Gravity Wave Momentum Flux From 3-D Satellite Observations. Geophysical Research Letters, 47(22), e2020GL089557. doi: 10.1029/2020GL089557 Holt, L. A., Alexander, M. J., Coy, L., Liu, C., Molod, A., Putman, W., & Pawson, S. (2017, July). An evaluation of gravity waves and gravity wave sources in the Southern Hemisphere in a 7 km global climate simulation. Q J R Meteorol Soc, 143(707), 2481–2495. doi: 10.1002/qj.3101 Holt, L. A., Alexander, M. J., Coy, L., Molod, A., Putman, W., & Pawson, S. (2016, September). Tropical Waves and the Quasi-Biennial Oscillation in a 7-km Global Climate Simulation. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 73(9), 3771–3783. doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-15-0350.1 Holton, J. R. (1982, April). The Role of Gravity Wave Induced Drag and Diffusion in the Momentum Budget of the Mesosphere. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 39(4), 791–799. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1982)039(0791:TROGWI)2.0 .CO;2 Jakub, F., & Mayer, B. (2017, November). The role of 1-D and 3-D radiative heating in the organization of shallow cumulus convection and the formation of cloud streets. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 17(21), 13317–13327. doi: 10.5194/acp-17-13317-2017 Kidston, J., Scaife, A. A., Hardiman, S. C., Mitchell, D. M., Butchart, N., Baldwin, M. P., & Gray, L. J. (2015, June). Stratospheric influence on tropospheric jet ot progender and provide and
430 431 432 433 434 435 436 437 438 439 440 441 442 443 444 445 444 445 445 446 447 448 449 450 451 452 455	 10.1175/JAS-D-13-0332.1 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Thépaut, JN. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 146(730), 1999–2049. doi: 10.1002/qj.3803 Hindley, N. P., Wright, C. J., Hoffmann, L., Moffat-Griffin, T., & Mitchell, N. J. (2020). An 18-Year Climatology of Directional Stratospheric Gravity Wave Momentum Flux From 3-D Satellite Observations. Geophysical Research Let- ters, 47(22), e2020GL089557. doi: 10.1029/2020GL089557 Holt, L. A., Alexander, M. J., Coy, L., Liu, C., Molod, A., Putman, W., & Pawson, S. (2017, July). An evaluation of gravity waves and gravity wave sources in the Southern Hemisphere in a 7 km global climate simulation. Q J R Meteorol Soc, 143(707), 2481–2495. doi: 10.1002/qj.3101 Holt, L. A., Alexander, M. J., Coy, L., Molod, A., Putman, W., & Pawson, S. (2016, September). Tropical Waves and the Quasi-Biennial Oscillation in a 7-km Global Climate Simulation. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 73(9), 3771–3783. doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-15-0350.1 Holton, J. R. (1982, April). The Role of Gravity Wave Induced Drag and Diffu- sion in the Momentum Budget of the Mesosphere. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 39(4), 791–799. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1982)039(0791:TROGWI)2.0 .CO;2 Jakub, F., & Mayer, B. (2017, November). The role of 1-D and 3-D radiative heat- ing in the organization of shallow cumulus convection and the formation of cloud streets. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 17(21), 13317–13327. doi: 10.5194/acp-17-13317-2017 Kidston, J., Scaife, A. A., Hardiman, S. C., Mitchell, D. M., Butchart, N., Baldwin, M. P., & Gray, L. J. (2015, June). Stratospheric influence on tropospheric jet streams, storm tracks and surface weather. Nature Geosci, 8(6), 433–440. doi: 10.1028/ncg2424

458	Kim, YJ., Eckermann, S. D., & Chun, HY. (2003, March). An overview of the
459	past, present and future of gravity-wave drag parametrization for numerical
460	climate and weather prediction models. Atmosphere-Ocean, $41(1)$, 65–98. doi:
461	10.3137/ao.410105
462	Kruse, C. G., Alexander, M. J., Hoffmann, L., van Niekerk, A., Polichtchouk,
463	I., Bacmeister, J. T., Stein, O. (2022, April). Observed and Mod-
464	eled Mountain Wayes from the Surface to the Mesosphere near the Drake
465	Passage Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences $79(4)$ 909–932 doi:
465	10 1175/JAS-D-21-0252 1
400	Debleven H A Eu O Wellace I M & Kiledia C N (2021 March) Proviniting
467	the Owegi Pienniel Ogeillation of Scan in EPA5 Davit I. Decevintion and Mo
468	the Quasi-Dieminal Oscillation as Seen in ErrA). Fart I. Description and Mo-
469	mentum budget. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, $75(5)$, $075-091$. doi: 10.1175/IAC D 20.0248.1
470	10.11/5/JAS-D-20-0248.1
471	Pahlavan, H. A., Wallace, J. M., & Fu, Q. (2023, February). Characteristics of Trop-
472	ical Convective Gravity Waves Resolved by ERA5 Reanalysis. Journal of the
473	Atmospheric Sciences, 80(3), 777–795. doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-22-0057.1
474	Plougonven, R., de la Cámara, A., Hertzog, A., & Lott, F. (2020). How does
475	knowledge of atmospheric gravity waves guide their parameterizations? Quar-
476	terly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, $146(728)$, $1529-1543$. doi:
477	$10.1002/ ext{qj}$.3732
478	Plougonven, R., & Zhang, F. (2014). Internal gravity waves from atmospheric jets
479	and fronts. Reviews of Geophysics, $52(1)$, $33-76$. doi: $10.1002/2012$ RG000419
480	Polichtchouk, I., & Scott, R. K. (2020). Spontaneous inertia-gravity wave emission
481	from a nonlinear critical layer in the stratosphere. Quarterly Journal of the
482	Royal Meteorological Society, 146(728), 1516–1528. doi: 10.1002/qj.3750
483	Polichtchouk, I., Shepherd, T. G., Hogan, R. J., & Bechtold, P. (2018, May). Sen-
484	sitivity of the Brewer–Dobson Circulation and Polar Vortex Variability to
485	Parameterized Nonorographic Gravity Wave Drag in a High-Resolution At-
486	mospheric Model. Journal of Atmospheric Sciences, 75(5), 1525–1543. doi:
487	10.1175/JAS-D-17-0304.1
488	Polichtchouk, I., van Niekerk, A., & Wedi, N. (2023, January). Resolved Gravity
489	Waves in the Extratropical Stratosphere: Effect of Horizontal Resolution In-
490	crease from $O(10)$ to $O(1)$ km. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, $80(2)$,
491	473–486. doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-22-0138.1
492	Polichtchouk, I., Wedi, N., & Kim, YH. (2022). Resolved gravity waves in the
493	tropical stratosphere: Impact of horizontal resolution and deep convection
494	parametrization. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society,
495	148(742), 233–251. doi: 10.1002/qj.4202
496	Procházková, Z., Kruse, C. G., Alexander, M. J., Hoffmann, L., Bacmeister, J. T.,
497	Holt, L., Šácha, P. (2023, June). Sensitivity of Mountain Wave Drag Es-
498	timates on Separation Methods and Proposed Improvements. Journal of the
499	Atmospheric Sciences, 80(7), 1661–1680. doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-22-0151.1
500	Sato, K., & Hirano, S. (2019, April). The climatology of the Brewer–Dobson cir-
501	culation and the contribution of gravity waves. Atmospheric Chemistry and
502	<i>Physics</i> , 19(7), 4517–4539, doi: 10.5194/acp-19-4517-2019
503	Sato K Tateno S Watanabe S & Kawatani Y (2012 April) Gravity Wave
504	Characteristics in the Southern Hemisphere Revealed by a High-Resolution
504	Middle-Atmosphere General Circulation Model Journal of Atmospheric Sci-
506	ences, 69(4), 1378–1396, doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-11-0101.1
500	Sato K Watanahe S Kawatani Y Tomikawa V Miyazaki K & Takahashi
502	M (2009) On the origins of mesospheric gravity waves <i>Conducted Recearch</i>
500	Letters 36(19) doi: 10.1029/2009GL039908
505	Song B-G Chun H-V & Song L-S (2020 Sentember) Role of Crowity Wowes
510	in a Vortey-Split Sudden Stratospheric Warming in January 2000 Lowenal of
512	the Atmospheric Sciences 77(10) 3321–3342 doi: 10.1175/IAS-D-20-0030.1
312	

513	Sorbjan, Z. (2009, January). Improving Non-local Parameterization of the Convec-
514	tive Boundary Layer. Boundary-Layer Meteorol, 130(1), 57–69. doi: 10.1007/
515	s10546-008-9331-9
516	Sun, Y. Q., Hassanzadeh, P., Alexander, M. J., & Kruse, C. G. (2023). Quantifying
517	3D Gravity Wave Drag in a Library of Tropical Convection-Permitting Simu-
518	lations for Data-Driven Parameterizations. Journal of Advances in Modeling
519	Earth Systems, 15(5), e2022MS003585. doi: 10.1029/2022MS003585
520	Voelker, G. S., Bölöni, G., Kim, YH., Zängl, G., & Achatz, U. (2023, Septem-
521	ber). MS-GWaM: A 3-dimensional transient gravity wave parametrization for
522	atmospheric models (No. arXiv:2309.11257). arXiv.
523	Wei, J., Zhang, F., Richter, J. H., Alexander, M. J., & Sun, Y. Q. (2022, October).
524	Global Distributions of Tropospheric and Stratospheric Gravity Wave Mo-
525	mentum Fluxes Resolved by the 9-km ECMWF Experiments. Journal of the
526	Atmospheric Sciences, $79(10)$, 2621–2644. doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-21-0173.1
527	White, R. H., Battisti, D. S., & Sheshadri, A. (2018). Orography and the Boreal
528	Winter Stratosphere: The Importance of the Mongolian Mountains. Geophysi-
529	cal Research Letters, 45(4), 2088–2096. doi: 10.1002/2018GL077098
530	Wicker, W., Polichtchouk, I., & Domeisen, D. I. V. (2023, January). Increased ver-
531	tical resolution in the stratosphere reveals role of gravity waves after sudden
532	stratospheric warmings. Weather and Climate Dynamics, $4(1)$, 81–93. doi:
533	10.5194/wcd-4-81-2023
534	Xie, B., Fung, J. C. H., Chan, A., & Lau, A. (2012). Evaluation of nonlocal and lo-
535	cal planetary boundary layer schemes in the WRF model. Journal of Geophys-

ical Research: Atmospheres, 117(D12). doi: 10.1029/2011JD017080

535 536

-15-