A Global View of Stratospheric Gravity Wave

² Hotspots Located with Atmospheric Infrared

Sounder Observations

L. Hoffmann

⁴ Forschungszentrum Jülich, Jülich Supercomputing Centre, Jülich, Germany

X. Xue

- 5 1) CAS Key Laboratory of Geospace Environment, Department of
- 6 Geophysics & Planetary Sciences, University of Science & Technology of
- 7 China, Hefei, Anhui, China;
- ⁸ 2) Mengcheng National Geophysical Observatory, School of Earth & Space
- ⁹ Sciences, University of Science & Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui, China

M. J. Alexander

¹⁰ NorthWest Research Associates, Inc., Colorado Research Associates

¹¹ Division, Boulder, Colorado, USA

L. Hoffmann, Forschungszentrum Jülich, Jülich Supercomputing Centre, Wilhelm-Johnen-Straße, 52425 Jülich, Germany. (l.hoffmann@fz-juelich.de)

X. Xue, CAS Key Laboratory of Geospace Environment, Department of Geophysics & Planetary Sciences, University of Science & Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, China. (xuexh@ustc.edu.cn)

DRAFT

Х - 2 HOFFMANN ET AL.: HOTSPOTS OF STRATOSPHERIC GRAVITY WAVES Abstract. The main aim of this study is to find and classify hotspots of 12 stratospheric gravity waves on a global scale. The analysis is based on a nine-13 year record (2003 to 2011) of radiance measurements by the Atmospheric 14 Infrared Sounder (AIRS) aboard the National Aeronautics and Space Ad-15 ministration's Aqua satellite. We detect gravity waves based on $4.3 \,\mu m$ bright-16 ness temperature variances. Our method focuses on peak events, i.e., strong 17 gravity wave events for which the local variance considerably exceeds back-18 ground levels. We estimate the occurrence frequencies of these peak events 19 for different seasons and time of day and use the results to find local max-20 ima or 'hotspots'. In addition, we use AIRS radiances at $8.1 \,\mu\text{m}$ to simul-21 taneously detect convective events, including deep convection in the trop-22 ics and mesoscale convective systems at mid latitudes. We classify the grav-23 ity wave sources based on seasonal occurrence frequencies for convection, but also by means of time series analyses and topographic data. Our study re-25 produces well-known hotspots of gravity waves, e.g., the Andes and the Antarc-26 tic Peninsula. However, the high horizontal resolution of the AIRS observa-27 tions also allows us to locate numerous mesoscale hotspots, which are partly 28 unknown or poorly studied so far. Most of these mesoscale hotspots are found 29 near orographic features like mountain ranges, coasts, lakes, deserts, or iso-30

M. J. Alexander, NorthWest Research Associates, Inc., Colorado Research Associates Division, 3380 Mitchell Lane, Boulder, CO 80301, USA. (alexand@cora.nwra.com)

- ³¹ lated islands. This study will help to select promising regions and seasons
- 32 for future case studies of gravity waves.

1. Introduction

Gravity waves transport energy and momentum, contribute to turbulence and mix-33 ing, and influence the mean circulation and thermal structure of the middle atmosphere 34 [Lindzen, 1981; Holton, 1982, 1983; Becker and McLandress, 2009]. The most promi-35 nent sources of gravity waves are orographic generation [Smith, 1985; Durran and Klemp, 36 1987; Nastrom and Fritts, 1992; Dörnbrack et al., 1999] and convection [Pfister et al., 37 1986; Tsuda et al., 1994; Alexander and Pfister, 1995; Vincent and Alexander, 2000]. 38 Other sources that are important, at least for certain meteorological conditions, are wind 39 shear, adjustment of unbalanced flows near jet streams and frontal systems, body forcing 40 accompanying localized wave dissipation, and wave-wave interaction [Fritts and Alexan-41 der, 2003; Vadas et al., 2003; Wu and Zhang, 2004]. A large number of observational and 42 theoretical studies advanced understanding of different aspects of gravity waves, includ-43 ing the characteristics of the wave sources and the evolution of the wave spectrum with 44 altitude-dependent wind and stability variations, but further research is still necessary. 45 Satellite instruments offer an excellent opportunity for global studies of the characteristics of gravity waves. The main advantage of limb and occultation experiments is 47 good vertical resolution and sensitivity to gravity waves with short vertical wavelengths. 48

⁴⁹ However, a disadvantage of current limb sounders is the limited horizontal resolution and
⁵⁰ reduced sensitivity to short horizontal wavelengths [*Preusse et al.*, 2008, 2009]. In con⁵¹ trast, nadir instruments are typically limited to observations of gravity waves with longer
⁵² vertical wavelengths, but they provide better horizontal resolution.

DRAFT

This study focuses on nadir observations made by the Atmospheric Infrared Sounder 53 (AIRS) aboard the National Aeronautics and Space Administration's Aqua satellite. We 54 analyze radiance measurements, because these are provided at high horizontal resolution 55 for each satellite footprint. AIRS operational temperature retrievals have degraded hori-56 zontal resolution as 3×3 footprints are combined within a cloud-clearing procedure. AIRS 57 observations were successfully exploited for gravity wave research by Wu et al. [2006], 58 Alexander and Teitelbaum [2007], Eckermann et al. [2007], Alexander et al. [2009a], Hecht 59 et al. [2009], Hoffmann and Alexander [2009], Kim et al. [2009], Grimsdell et al. [2010], 60 Hoffmann and Alexander [2010], Alexander and Teitelbaum [2011], and Gong et al. [2012]. 61 Radiance measurements from infrared nadir sounders can also be used to detect the pres-62 ence of high cold clouds related to deep convection. Aumann et al. [2006, 2007, 2008, 2011] 63 use AIRS observations for detailed statistical analyses of deep convective clouds in the 64 tropics. For gravity wave research it is potentially promising that AIRS data can be used 65 to simultaneously detect stratospheric gravity waves and convection in the same footprint. 66 Hoffmann and Alexander [2010] use AIRS data to locate mesoscale convective systems 67 during the North American thunderstorm season and relate simultaneous observations of 68 stratospheric gravity waves to these events. 69

The potential of AIRS data that became evident in the study of *Hoffmann and Alexander* [2010] motivated us to assess the distributions of gravity waves on a global scale. We were particularly interested in the occurrence of gravity wave peak events, i. e., strong gravity wave events for which the local brightness temperature variances significantly exceed background levels. We wanted to know where and how often these peak events occur and if the observed waves could be related to different source mechanisms like orography

DRAFT

X - 6 HOFFMANN ET AL.: HOTSPOTS OF STRATOSPHERIC GRAVITY WAVES

⁷⁶ and convection. For this study we had to optimize our detection methods for gravity ⁷⁷ waves and convection to be applicable on a global scale. We applied the optimized detec-⁷⁸ tion methods to estimate seasonal peak event frequencies of stratospheric gravity waves ⁷⁹ and occurrence frequencies of convection during day- and nighttime.

Despite being limited in vertical resolution, the AIRS data are well suited to detect 80 stratospheric gravity waves. Major advantages of the AIRS data are the good horizontal 81 resolution and the long time series of observations. The measurements allowed us to 82 locate the hotspots of gravity wave peak events with unprecedented horizontal resolution 83 $(0.5^{\circ} \times 0.5^{\circ})$. Substantial progress is related to the amount of observational data that was 84 analyzed in this study. The analysis covers nine years (2003 to 2011) of AIRS observations, 85 i.e., nearly 9.6×10^9 individual satellite footprints, on a global scale. The long time series 86 allowed us to calculate statistics that cover interannual atmospheric variability, e.g., the 87 variability due to the quasi-biennial oscillation.

In section 2 of this paper we provide a brief description of the AIRS instrument, in-89 cluding its measurement geometry, data coverage, and some examples of radiance mea-90 surements. In section 3 we describe the detection method for stratospheric gravity waves. 91 We present seasonal means of gravity wave peak event frequencies during both day- and 92 nighttime. Section 4 introduces the detection method for convection. We discuss seasonal 93 means of occurrence frequencies of convection and analyze correlations between gravity 94 waves and convection based on local, daily time series. Section 5 presents the gravity wave 95 hotspots for different seasons and time of day. This includes references to previous case 96 studies related to the individual hotspots, as far as these were found. Finally, conclusions 97 and outlook are presented in section 6. 98

DRAFT

2. The AIRS instrument

2.1. Measurement geometry and data coverage

⁹⁹ On 4 May 2002 the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) launched ¹⁰⁰ the Aqua satellite [*Parkinson*, 2003] aboard a Delta II rocket from Vandenberg Air Force ¹⁰¹ Base, California, United States. The Aqua mission is part of NASA's Earth Observing ¹⁰² System (EOS). Aqua is the first satellite in the 'A-Train' constellation of satellites. Aqua ¹⁰³ operates at 705 km altitude in a Sun-synchronous polar orbit with 98° inclination and ¹⁰⁴ 99 min period. Global coverage is achieved during 14.5 orbits per day. Six instruments ¹⁰⁵ aboard Aqua monitor the global state of the Earth's atmosphere.

The Atmospheric Infrared Sounder (AIRS) [Aumann et al., 2003] measures the thermal 106 emissions of atmospheric constituents in the nadir and sub-limb observation geometry. 107 AIRS applies a rotating mirror to carry out sub-limb scans in the across-track direction. 108 A scan consists of 90 individual footprints and covers an across-track distance of 1765 km 109 on the ground or $\pm 48.95^{\circ}$ in scan angle. A scan is measured in 2.667 s. The along-track 110 distance between two scans is 18 km. The AIRS measurements are gathered in 'granules'. 111 Each granule covers six minutes of measurement time, i.e., 135 scans or 12150 footprints. 112 The along-track size of a granule is $2430 \,\mathrm{km}$. The AIRS aperture is 1.1° , corresponding 113 to a spatial resolution of $13.5 \,\mathrm{km}$ at nadir and $41 \,\mathrm{km} \times 21.4 \,\mathrm{km}$ at the scan extremes. 114

AIRS has provided nearly continuous measurement coverage since September 2002. During the years 2003 to 2011, i. e., during the time period analyzed in this paper, measurement dropouts of more than a day occur only from 29 October to 18 November 2003 and from 9 to 26 January 2011. During one day AIRS measures about 2.9 million radiance spectra globally. For some of the statistical analyses presented in this study the

DRAFT

October 30, 2012, 9:00am

X - 8 HOFFMANN ET AL.: HOTSPOTS OF STRATOSPHERIC GRAVITY WAVES

AIRS data are binned into a $0.5^{\circ} \times 0.5^{\circ}$ longitude-latitude grid. Fig. 1a presents the data coverage for these grid boxes as a function of latitude. In the latitude range from 82°S to 82°N there are typically 10 to 16 footprints in each grid box per day.

We separate day- and nighttime observations based on the local time of the AIRS 123 footprints. If the local time of a footprint is in between 6 am and 6 pm, it is considered 124 to be a daytime observation, otherwise it is considered a nighttime observation. Fig. 1b 125 presents the local time for the nadir and outermost measurement tracks as a function of 126 latitude. It illustrates that a clear separation of day- and nightime data is only possible 127 at low and mid-latitudes. For the nadir track the equatorial crossing occurs at 1:30 pm 128 local time (ascending orbit) and 1:30 am local time (descending orbit). Within each scan 129 the local time varies by ± 30 min at the equator. The variation stays within ± 70 min up to 130 $\pm 60^{\circ}$ latitude. A quick transition between day- and night me occurs at higher latitudes. 131

2.2. Radiance measurements

The AIRS radiance measurements cover the wavelength ranges 3.74 to $4.61 \,\mu m$, 6.20 132 to $8.22\,\mu\mathrm{m}$, and 8.8 to $15.4\,\mu\mathrm{m}$. A diffraction grating disperses the scene radiance on 133 17 linear arrays of HgCdTe-detectors, providing a total of 2378 radiance channels. The 134 nominal resolving power of the hyperspectral infrared radiometer is $\lambda/\Delta\lambda = 1200$. The 135 radiances have an absolute accuracy of 3% (at 190 to 330 K scene radiance) and a relative 136 accuracy of 0.2 K (at 250 K). Aumann et al. [2000] and Aumann et al. [2003] describe 137 the processing of instrument raw data into calibrated radiance spectra (Level-1B data). 138 The analyses presented in this paper are based on consolidated Level-1B data products 139 (version 5.x) made freely available by NASA. 140

DRAFT

As an example, Fig. 2 shows two radiance spectra measured at tropical latitudes. One 141 spectrum was measured in the presence of deep convective clouds while the other one was 142 measured in a cloud-free scene. A substantial difference of 115 K in brightness temperature 143 is evident at 8.1 μ m. In the case of the deep convective cloud the brightness temperature 144 is low because cold temperatures ($\sim 185 \,\mathrm{K}$) at the cloud top are sensed. In the cloud-145 free scene much warmer surface temperatures ($\sim 300 \,\mathrm{K}$) are measured. This differences 146 allows us to use the AIRS radiance measurements at $8.1\,\mu\mathrm{m}$ to detect the presence of 147 convection. At $4.3 \,\mu m$ the atmosphere becomes optically thick in the stratosphere due 148 to strong absorption bands of CO_2 . Tropospheric emissions from clouds or interfering 149 species, e.g., water vapor, do not influence these measurements. The observed variability 150 in radiance is primarily due to changes in stratospheric temperature. AIRS radiance 151 measurements at $4.3 \,\mu \text{m}$ are used to detect gravity waves. 152

3. Gravity wave peak events

3.1. Detection of stratospheric gravity waves

Previous studies showed that stratospheric gravity waves can be detected directly 153 in AIRS radiance measurements [e.g. Alexander and Teitelbaum, 2007; Hoffmann and 154 Alexander, 2010; Gong et al., 2012]. Our analysis is based on average brightness tempera-155 tures from 42 AIRS channels in the $4.3 \,\mu \text{m CO}_2$ fundamental band (2322.6 to $2345.9 \,\text{cm}^{-1}$ 156 and 2352.5 to $2366.9 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$, AIRS channel numbers 2040 to 2065 and 2072 to 2087). This 157 channel selection is the same as that used by Hoffmann and Alexander [2010]. We se-158 lected the channels based on an analysis of temperature weighting functions. All the 159 chosen channels become optically thick at stratospheric height levels. They have similar 160 weighting functions in terms of peak height, which is in between 30 and 40 km, and full 161

DRAFT

October 30, 2012, 9:00am

X - 10 HOFFMANN ET AL.: HOTSPOTS OF STRATOSPHERIC GRAVITY WAVES

width at half maximum (FWHM), which is about 25 km. Below 18 to 20 km the sensitivity of the weighting functions drops below 1% of the maximum, i.e. these channels are not affected by surface emissions, tropospheric clouds, or reflected sunlight.

Information on stratospheric gravity waves can also be deduced from AIRS channels 165 covering the $15 \,\mu m \, \text{CO}_2$ fundamental band. However, most of these channels are sensitive 166 to lower altitudes. The number of channels at $15 \,\mu \text{m}$ which are sensitive to temperature 167 perturbations in the middle and upper stratosphere is rather limited compared with the 168 $4.3 \,\mu\mathrm{m}$ band [Hoffmann and Alexander, 2009]. Using the $4.3 \,\mu\mathrm{m}$ band allows us to com-169 bine a large number of channels and reduce the measurement noise. This improves the 170 sensitivity to gravity waves with short vertical wavelengths. Although the weighting func-171 tions at 4.3 μ m are rather broad (~25 km FWHM), the detection method presented here 172 is sensitive to vertical wavelengths as short as $\sim 15 \,\mathrm{km}$ in many cases. This is illustrated 173 in more detail in section 3.2. 174

We calculate brightness temperature perturbations as differences from a 4th-order poly-175 nomial fit for each scan. First, this removes the increase in radiance with increasing scan 176 angle related to elongated atmospheric paths in the sublimb direction. Second, it re-177 moves slowly varying atmospheric background signals, e.g., due to planetary waves. The 178 same method for background estimation was previously used by Alexander and Barnet 179 [2007] and Hoffmann and Alexander [2010]. A similar approach of background estimation 180 was developed by Wu [2004] for analysis of the Advanced Microwave Sounding Unit-A 181 (AMSU-A) radiances. In contrast to our approach, Wu [2004] uses 3rd-order polynomial 182 fits for each half of the AMSU-A scan. These fits are constrained by data from 15 foot-183 prints each. The 4th-order fit applied here is constrained by 90 footprints for the full scan. 184

DRAFT

A visual inspection of the fit results did not show any problems with spurious oscillations. The fit results are also identical to the background removal method applied by *Eckermann et al.* [2006] for the short horizontal wavelength waves of interest.

We identify stratospheric gravity waves based on local variances that are calculated 188 from the brightness temperature perturbations. Following the approach of Hoffmann and 189 Alexander [2010], we compute the local variances for each footprint based on data of 190 the surrounding footprints within 100 km radius. In general, the observed variances (σ^2) 191 have two main components, $\sigma^2 = \sigma_{GW}^2 + \sigma_N^2$, the contributions due to gravity waves 192 (σ_{GW}^2) and instrument noise (σ_N^2) . A variance filter is a direct and simple way to distin-193 guish gravity wave disturbances from instrument noise. Hoffmann and Alexander [2010] 194 used to detect large amplitude gravity waves in mid-latitude summer conditions when 195 σ^2 exceeded a variance threshold $\sigma_T^2 = 0.05 \,\mathrm{K}^2$, which is about a factor 50 higher than 196 $\sigma_N^2 = (0.2 \,\mathrm{K}/\sqrt{42})^2 \approx 0.001 \,\mathrm{K}^2$. However, to investigate gravity waves on a global scale for 197 different atmospheric conditions and to focus on peak events, the selection of thresholds 198 had to be revisited. 199

Fig. 3 shows brightness temperature variance versus latitude for three scan angles of 200 ascending and descending orbits in September (Fig. 3a,c) and December (Fig. 3b,d) 201 for the year 2003. Each point represents the zonal mean of the brightness temperature 202 variance on a single day in 0.5° latitudinal bins. The variances in each scan angle show 203 similar characteristics with respect to their latitudinal and seasonal variations. At equinox 204 the brightness temperature variances are small at low latitudes and large at high latitudes 205 (Fig. 3a,c). The largest variability is found in the spring hemisphere. At solstice the 206 largest brightness temperature variances appear in the winter hemisphere in the polar 207

DRAFT

X - 12 HOFFMANN ET AL.: HOTSPOTS OF STRATOSPHERIC GRAVITY WAVES

regions (Fig. 3b,d). The lowest values are found in the summer hemisphere. At lower
latitudes a secondary maximum is observed in the summer hemisphere.

These general patterns can be explained by stratospheric wind filtering and the visibility 210 of gravity waves to the instrument. Fig. 4 shows zonal mean zonal winds at 10 hPa 211 for different months from reanalysis of the National Center for Atmospheric Research 212 (NCAR) and National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) [Kalnay et al., 1996]. 213 Considering that the gravity wave vertical wavelength is in a broad sense proportional to 214 the wind, this plot illustrates at which latitudes gravity waves with long wavelengths 215 preferably propagate into the stratosphere. As the AIRS observations are limited to 216 long vertical wavelengths, Fig. 4 provides a simple proxy for visibility. The latitudinal 217 characteristics found in the zonal mean zonal wind correlate with the observed brightness 218 temperature variances in Fig. 3. 219

Fig. 3 shows strong variations of the brightness temperature variances with latitude 220 and season, which we have to consider in the selection of detection thresholds, in order 221 to focus on peak events. Concerning day- and nighttime differences, it is found that the 222 variances of the descending orbits are larger than those of the ascending orbits. The mean 223 difference is about 0.01 K². Therefore, we separate day- and nighttime observations in the 224 analysis. Fig. 3 also suggests that the variances for nadir are slightly higher than those 225 for the outermost scan angles. This can be explained by the fact that the calculation of 226 the variances for the outer tracks is based on fewer footprints than for the inner tracks. 227 The individual variances are based on 50 to 130 AIRS footprints each, with the maximum 228 number being used in the nadir direction and the minimum number being used for the scan 229 extremes. However, this difference in scan angle is not taken into account any further in 230

DRAFT

this study. Overall, we consider detection thresholds which vary with respect to latitude,
month, and time of day.

To determine the thresholds we first collected all brightness temperature variance data from AIRS scan angles with footprints located in a longitudinal slice between 160°W and 180°W. This region over the central Pacific is primarily ocean and convective activity is less frequent. It is a relatively quiet area in terms of gravity wave activity. Nearly 2.5 million brightness temperature variance values were gathered for this region every month. We sorted the data into 10° latitudinal bins, $\theta = 0, \pm 10^\circ, ..., \pm 90^\circ$ and $\theta_i \in [\theta - 5^\circ, \theta + 5^\circ]$. Next, an initial variance threshold was calculated for each latitude band,

$$\sigma_T^2(\theta) = \sigma^2(\theta) + 5 \times \sqrt{\frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \left[\sigma^2(\theta_i) - \sigma^2(\theta)\right]^2},\tag{1}$$

where the mean variance is defined by

$$\sigma^2(\theta) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \sigma^2(\theta_i) \tag{2}$$

and N refers to the number of variance values per latitude band. Brightness temperature variances larger than this initial threshold were then excluded from the data set. The final variance thresholds were calculated by applying Eq. (1) and (2) again.

The examples presented so far use data from only one year, 2003. Our final thresholds are computed using the maxima from five years of data, 2003 to 2007. Although interannual variability is not strong, the use of multiple years does raise the thresholds slightly (as can be seen by comparing the red triangles to the black stars in Fig. 3). Fig. 5 shows the final detection thresholds for March, June, September, and December for ascending and descending orbits. We find the same latitudinal variations for the ascending and descending orbits, but with different absolute values of the variance thresholds. Changes in

DRAFT

the thresholds in the equatorial region throughout the year are relatively small. However, much larger differences exist at high latitudes. Maximum thresholds appear in winter, while minima are found in summer. Thresholds at the March equinox are larger than those at the September equinox.

3.2. Seasonal means

By applying the detection method outlined in the previous section, we calculated sea-247 sonal peak event frequencies of stratospheric gravity waves based on a nine-year record 248 (2003 to 2011) of AIRS observations. The large amount of data gathered during that time 249 period allows us to estimate the peak event frequencies with high accuracy, even for a hor-250 izontal grid with fine sampling. Data are binned into an $0.5^{\circ} \times 0.5^{\circ}$ longitude-latitude grid. 251 As a first step, we calculated monthly peak event frequencies for the whole measurement 252 period. Taking into account the AIRS data coverage (section 2.1), it can be concluded 253 that the frequencies have a sampling error (reciprocal number of total counts) better than 254 0.7%. Next we averaged the monthly means for different seasons, giving each month equal 255 weight to homogenize the results. We carried out the analysis for four different seasons, 256 i.e., for November to February (NDJF), March and April (MA), May to August (MJJA), 257 and September and October (SO). The sampling error for the nine-year seasonal aver-258 ages is better than 0.02% (NDJF and MJJA) and 0.04% (MA and SO), respectively. As 259 discussed above, the analysis was carried out separately for day- and nighttime. 260

Figs. 6 and 7 show the results. The maps reveal numerous hotspots of gravity wave peak events rather than a homogeneous distribution over the globe. Please note that the longitudinal variability in each map is based on a fixed detection threshold. However, differences between day- and nighttime, from latitude to latitude, or from season to season

DRAFT

are not only due to atmospheric variability, but also caused by differences in the detection 265 thresholds. Hoffmann and Alexander [2010] give an example of how different thresholds 266 restrict the gravity wave detection in terms of horizontal and vertical wavelengths. Follow-267 ing linear wave theory and considering a saturation limit, they show that for a wave with 268 5 K amplitude at 30 km altitude a threshold of 0.01 K^2 restricts the detection to vertical 269 wavelengths larger than 13 km and horizontal wavelengths smaller than 1150 km. For a 270 threshold of $0.1 \,\mathrm{K}^2$ the wavelength limits are at $17 \,\mathrm{km}$ (vertically) and $1000 \,\mathrm{km}$ (horizon-271 tally). For $1 \,\mathrm{K}^2$ the limits are at $30 \,\mathrm{km}$ and $800 \,\mathrm{km}$. The different thresholds should be 272 taken into account when comparing peak event frequencies for different latitudes, seasons, 273 or time of day. 274

We discuss the individual gravity wave hotspots in detail in section 5, but here we 275 discuss a few of the most prominent features. During the season from November to 276 February (NDJF) the largest hotspot of gravity wave peak events is found over Brazil in 277 South America (Fig. 6a and 7a). For the season from May to August (MJJA) large areas 278 with peak events are found near Patagonia and the Antarctic Peninsula, over the North 279 American Great Plains, and south of the Himalayas (Fig. 6c and 7c). During March and 280 April (MA) as well as September and October (SO) the hotspots near Patagonia and the 281 Antarctic Peninsula are again most prominent (Fig. 6b,d and 7b,d). 282

An outstanding feature of the maps presented here is the large number of mesoscale hotspots, mostly found close to prominent orographic features like mountain ranges, coasts, lakes, deserts, or isolated islands. These mesoscale hotspots are made visible by means of the high spatial resolution and the large amount of AIRS observations as well as the careful selection of the detection thresholds. However, it should be taken into

DRAFT

account that the method for gravity wave detection used here considers only local measurements. Gravity waves that are generated by sources with fixed geographic locations are more likely found to be related to a hotspot compared with gravity waves that are caused by moving or more randomly-located wave sources.

4. Correlations with convection

4.1. Detection of convection

The detection of deep convective clouds in AIRS observations is discussed in detail by 292 Aumann et al. [2006, 2007, 2008, 2011]. Basically, the detection is based on the analysis 293 of radiances in spectral window regions. Low brightness temperatures indicate high cold 294 clouds whereas high brightness temperatures are sensed for low clouds or surface emissions 295 for clear air. Aumann et al. [2006] used a brightness temperature threshold of 210 K at 296 $8.1 \,\mu\mathrm{m}$ (1231.3 cm⁻¹, AIRS channel number 1291) to detect deep convective clouds at 297 tropical latitudes. Aumann et al. [2011] detect high cold clouds, i.e., a mix of deep 298 convective clouds and cold anvil clouds, if the brightness temperature at $8.1 \,\mu m$ drops 299 below 225 K. Aumann et al. [2006, 2007, 2008] identified about 6000 large thunderstorms 300 in AIRS data each day, almost exclusively within $\pm 30^{\circ}$ of the equator. 301

In the study of *Hoffmann and Alexander* [2010] it was found that a threshold of 210 K is too low to detect most of the strong convective events at mid latitudes. A visual inspection of the detection results indicated that a substantial number of large thunderstorms and mesoscale convective systems was missing. This is related to the tropopause temperatures, which are higher at mid-latitudes (about 215 to 220 K) than in the tropics (about 195 to 200 K). See Fig. 8 for zonal means at different months. Significant overshooting would be

DRAFT

was raised to 220 K in that study.

308

In this study we found that detection methods with constant thresholds do not work on a global scale as they tend to cause large numbers of ambiguous detections at different latitudes or seasons. Hence, we introduced latitudinally and monthly varying detection thresholds. These are based on a monthly mean zonal mean tropopause temperature climatology derived from NCAR/NCEP reanalysis (see Fig. 8). *Romps and Kuang* [2009] use the same approach to detect overshooting convection in tropical cyclones.

Another problem which had to be addressed occurs when the surface temperatures are 316 cold, such as at high latitudes or for elevated terrain (like the Plateau of Tibet). In 317 this case a discrimination between cloud-free and cloudy scenes is sometimes not possible 318 because of a lack of substantial difference between the surface temperature and the mean 319 tropopause temperature. To identify this kind of situation we calculated monthly mean 320 $8.1 \,\mu \text{m}$ brightness temperatures (see Fig. 9 for an example). Based on a comparison with 321 the detection results we concluded that the method does not work if the monthly mean 322 brightness temperatures drop below 250 K at mid or high latitudes. The criterion is not 323 applied at tropical latitudes (within $\pm 25^{\circ}$ latitude) as deep convection can be prevalent. 324 Even mean brightness temperatures can drop below 250 K in certain places in the tropics. 325 An example of this situation is found near Eastern Indonesia and Papua New Guinea in 326 Fig. 9. However, at tropical latitudes the direct detection of deep convection based on 327 $8.1\,\mu\mathrm{m}$ brightness temperatures is always unambiguous. 328

4.2. Seasonal means

10 and 11 show seasonal means of the occurrence frequencies for convection, Fig. 329 identified using the detection method described in the previous section. The statistical 330 analysis is based on the same approach as outlined for the gravity waves in section 3.2. In 331 this case day- and nighttime data are directly comparable because the same thresholds are 332 applied for detection. However, detection thresholds do vary with latitude and month. In 333 this section we present briefly the regions and seasons with prominent convective activity. 334 In NDJF significant convective activity (exceeding the 0.5% level) is found near Patag-335 onia, the Antarctic Peninsula, southern Brazil, the Atlantic Ocean close to the United 336 States, northern Australia, the Coral Sea, and the Bering Sea (Fig. 10a and 11a). Con-337 vective activity is also observed in smaller areas, e.g., near the Canadian Rocky Moun-338 tains, Iceland, and central Africa. In MJJA strong activity is found near Patagonia, South 339 Brazil, central America, the North American Great Plains, central Africa, and Southeast 340 Asia (Fig. 10c and 11c). The intermediate seasons MA and SO show similar patterns of 341 convective activity, but additional areas with increased activity are found, e.g., over the 342 South Atlantic (Fig. 11d) and central Asia (Fig. 11b). 343

Our analysis shows prevalent activity due to deep convection in the tropics and due to mesoscale convective systems and large thunderstorms at mid and high latitudes. Deep convection at the intertropical convergence zone is best visible in MJJA and SO. During all seasons the observed occurrence frequencies are only slightly larger during the nighttime. *Sassen et al.* [2009] pointed out that according to the model usually applied for the diurnal cycle of tropical thunderstorms the deep convection maxima occur about six hour prior to both the Aqua day or night overpasses. The absolute values of the occurrence frequencies

DRAFT

³⁵¹ presented here also need to be considered carefully. A parameter study showed that ³⁵² the absolute values critically depend on the detection thresholds. However, the spatial ³⁵³ patterns remain similar if the thresholds are varied.

4.3. Temporal correlations

A direct comparison of the peak event frequencies for gravity waves (Fig. 6 and 7) 354 with the occurrence frequencies for convection (Fig. 10 and 11) gives the first indication 355 which gravity wave hotspots are potentially related to convective sources. However, such 356 a comparison does not take into account the large intraseasonal variability of the processes 357 we are looking at. Hence, we also analyzed temporal correlations of time series of gravity 358 wave and convective events to improve the source classification. For this purpose we 359 calculated daily means from the AIRS detections of gravity waves and convection on a 360 horizontal grid with $5^{\circ} \times 5^{\circ}$ sampling. A coarser grid was chosen here to improve the 361 sampling error (about 0.2% for the daily means). Furthermore, a coarse grid accounts 362 better for horizontal propagation of the gravity waves, i.e., for displacements between the 363 location of a tropospheric source and a stratospheric detection. 364

As an example, Fig. 12 presents time series for two regions with significant gravity wave 365 peak event frequencies. The first region (Fig. 12a) is located over the North American 366 Great Plains and shows gravity waves which are mainly due to convection during the 367 summer thunderstorm season [Hoffmann and Alexander, 2010]. The second region (Fig. 368 12b) is located near Patagonia and shows gravity waves which are mainly triggered by 369 orographic generation at the Andes mountains. Looking at the occurrence frequencies 370 for convection only, one might conclude that convection is a significant source mechanism 371 for both regions. However, the correlation analysis shows that time series for the North 372

DRAFT

American Great Plains are statistically associated whereas the data for the Andes region
 are not.

In order to quantitatively infer the degree of temporal correlation, we calculated the 375 Spearman rank-order correlation coefficients [e.g. Press et al., 2002] of the time series. 376 Compared with the standard Pearson correlation coefficient, the rank-order correlation 377 coefficient is sensitive not only to linear correlation, but to almost any kind of statistical 378 association and it is more robust against outliers. For the correlation analysis we combine 379 the time series data for day- and nighttime and for all seasons. Although the individual 380 occurrence frequencies are based on variable detection thresholds, the Spearman correla-381 tion coefficient is not significantly affected because it depends only on the rank-order and 382 not on the absolute values of the time series data. 383

Fig. 13 presents the results of the correlation analysis. The correlation coefficients are 384 in the range from zero (no correlation) to 0.5 (a medium degree of statistical association). 385 As expected, anti-correlations between gravity waves and convection are not found. Cor-386 relations are observed for gravity wave hotspots over the North American Great Plains, 387 South Brazil, and South East Asia. Correlations are generally low in the tropics because 388 of the wind filtering and visibility effects described in section 3.1, which makes it difficult 389 to observe gravity waves in AIRS data in the tropics. Please note that low correlations 390 are found for well-known mountain wave hotspots, e.g., the Andes or the Scandinavian 391 mountain ranges. The correlation analysis provides an important piece of information for 392 the classification of the source mechanisms of the gravity wave hotspots. 393

5. Convective and orographic hotspots

5.1. Identification and classification

This section of the paper presents the gravity wave hotspots located with the AIRS ob-394 servations and the detection methods presented in sections 3 and 4. Results for different 395 seasons are shown on global maps in Fig. 14 and 15 for day- and nighttime, respectively. 396 Red dots indicate the locations of the hotspots. The gray contour surface shows the zonal 397 terrain slope, based on the global relief model data of Amante and Eakins [2009]. In addi-398 tion, Tables 1 to 8 list the center location and surface area of each hotspot, the maximum 399 peak event frequency for gravity waves $(f_{GW,max})$, the maximum occurrence frequency for 400 convection $(f_{DC,max})$, the rank-order correlation coefficient between the gravity wave and 401 convection time series (ρ_{DC}) , and the maximum zonal terrain slope (dz_{max}) . In order to 402 limit the presentation to statistically significant results, ρ_{DC} is only reported if $f_{DC,max}$ 403 exceeds a minimum value of 0.01%. 404

We define a particular region on the globe to be a gravity wave hotspot if the peak 405 event frequency exceeds a threshold of 5%. This value is an ad-hoc choice, based on 406 visual inspection of the results. Parameter studies show that minor variations of the 407 threshold (i.e., values from 4 to 6%) lead to similar spatial and temporal distributions of 408 hotspots. A simple clustering method is applied to find the neighbouring grid points for 409 each hotspot. A running index is assigned to each hotspot, which we refer to in the text 410 below and which allows the reader to identify the hotspots in Fig. 14 and 15 and Tables 411 1 to 8. Within each table the hotspots are sorted according to their surface area. 412

⁴¹³ A classification of hotspots in terms of source mechanisms is also presented in Tables ⁴¹⁴ 1 to 8. We classify a hotspot as convective (label 'c') if $f_{DC,max}$ exceeds a threshold of

DRAFT

October 30, 2012, 9:00am

⁴¹⁵ 0.2% and ρ_{DC} exceeds a threshold of 0.1. If it is not classified as convective, we classify ⁴¹⁶ a hotspot as orographic (label 'o') if dz_{max} exceeds a threshold of 20 m/km. Otherwise ⁴¹⁷ the hotspot remains unclassified. The thresholds for classification were tuned in order ⁴¹⁸ to provide reasonable results for well-known hotspots. However, since we selected rather ⁴¹⁹ simple criteria for classification, the results presented here offer only a first guideline and ⁴²⁰ may be overruled by expert judgement and more detailed studies at a later stage. Table ⁴²¹ 9 presents a global summary of the hotspot detection and classification.

5.2. North America

The largest hotspot (index 60) of gravity wave peak event frequencies in North America 422 is found over the Great Plains during boreal summer nighttime. A maximum peak event 423 frequency of 16% is observed. There is a clear overlap with a pattern of convection (4.8%) 424 maximum occurrence frequency). A rank-order correlation coefficient of 0.38 also indicates 425 that convection is the major source for gravity waves in this region. The hotspot is present 426 in the daytime as well (index 49). The mean occurrence frequencies of convective waves 427 during the North American thunderstorm season were studied in detail based on AIRS 428 measurements by *Hoffmann and Alexander* [2010]. Mesospheric wave observations by an 429 OH airglow imager in this region (near Fort Collins, Colorado) were presented by Yue 430 et al. [2009] and related to multiple convective storms by Vadas et al. [2012]. 431

In boreal summer nighttime we find another hotspot (index 68) of gravity wave peak event frequencies about 300 km southeast of the coast of Carolina over the Atlantic Ocean. For this hotspot a rank-order correlation of 0.12 is observed. Hurricanes are an important source of gravity waves in this region [e. g., *Kuester et al.*, 2008].

DRAFT

In boreal spring and autumn daytime a strong hotspot (index 29 and 77) of gravity 436 wave peak event frequencies (up to 17%) is found over the Baja California Peninsula 437 and the Gulf of California. Low occurrence frequencies for convection and a rank-order 438 correlation coefficient near zero indicate that convection is not relevant as a source for 439 this hotspot. Frey et al. [2000] present OH airglow imager measurements for this region 440 (from Mt. Laguna observatory, California, in March 1998), but did not relate the observed 441 waves to a source mechanism. In autumn daytime, a minor orographic hotspot (index 86) 442 is found in Arizona. Bruintjes et al. [1994] present a modelling case study for this area. 443 The boreal winter season is mostly quiet, but the southern tip of Greenland is a persis-444 tent hotspot (index 6 and 43) of gravity wave peak event frequencies at day- and night-445 time. Doyle and Shapiro [1999] and Limpasuvan et al. [2007] discuss the flow response 446 to large-scale topography for the Greenland tip jet and its implications for gravity wave 447 generation. Minor hotspots (index 21 and 23) at nighttime are found near Newfoundland and the northern Appalachians. 449

In this study the Rocky Mountains do not show up prominently in the boreal winter 450 season. Maximum peak event frequencies are about 2% (Figs. 6a and 7a). A long-451 term climatology (1981–2010) of NCAR/NCEP reanalysis shows that the zonal winds 452 at 10 hPa are about 0 to 20 m/s for the area of the Rocky Mountains. However, the 453 zonal wind in this region is not strong enough to allow gravity waves with long vertical 454 wavelengths to propagate into the stratosphere; and the AIRS observations are limited to 455 those long vertical wavelengths. In contrast, zonal winds up to 30 to $50 \,\mathrm{m/s}$ are observed 456 for an area extending from 60°W to 120°E and 40°N to 70°N. This area includes nearly 457 all the hotspots found at northern mid and high latitudes in boreal winter. 458

DRAFT

5.3. South America

The largest hotspot of gravity wave peak event frequencies in South America is found 459 over the southern Andes. This hotspot persists from austral autumn to spring during 460 day- and nighttime (index 26, 44, 48, 61, 71, 87). Maximum peak event frequencies vary 461 from 20 to 31%. Occurrence frequencies for convection are large (up to 3.6%), but the 462 rank-order correlation coefficients indicate only weak correlation with gravity wave peak 463 event frequencies. This indicates that the observed waves are mainly mountain waves. 464 This hotspot is one of the largest and strongest globally and was readily identified from 465 early satellite-based studies on small-scale temperature fluctuations in the stratosphere 466 [Eckermann and Preusse, 1999; McLandress et al., 2000; Jiang et al., 2002]. Global esti-467 mates of gravity wave momentum flux show that this region is an important source for 468 waves contributing to southern hemisphere wind drag [Ern et al., 2004; Alexander et al., 469 2008; Hertzog et al., 2008; Ern et al., 2011]. 470

From austral autumn to spring up to three gravity wave hotspots are found over the 471 central and northern Andes and the Pacific coast. These hotspots are observed only during 472 daytime. Convective activity is not observed. The hotspot near Piura, Peru persists from 473 March through October (index 38, 57, 79) with peak event frequencies up to 10%. The 474 hotspot near the Cordillera Occidental Mountains (Peru and northern Chile) and the 475 Atacama desert is only observed in the equinox seasons. In autumn we identify two 476 separate hotspots (index 31 and 35) with maximum peak event frequencies of 21% and 477 17%, respectively. In austral spring we identify this region as one large hotspot (index 478 74) with a maximum peak event frequency of 32%. This is the global maximum found in 479

DRAFT

this study. Studies of gravity waves in the Andes Cordillera region based on GPS radio occultation data are presented by *de la Torre et al.* [2006] and *Llamedo et al.* [2009].

Finally, in austral summer a large hotspot (index 1 and 11) is observed near south Brazil, Paraguay, and northeast Argentina. We find maximum peak event frequencies for gravity waves of 6.8 and 8.3% at day- and nighttime, respectively. An occurrence frequency for convection of 2.4% and a rank-order correlation coefficient of 0.28 at nighttime show that convection is the major source mechanism. Based on OH airglow observations near Brasilia, Brazil, *Vadas et al.* [2009] showed that convection is likely the source of mesospheric gravity waves in this region.

5.4. Europe

Gravity wave hotspots over Europe are observed only during the boreal winter season. 489 Maximum peak event frequencies are typically in the range from 5 to 10%. Persistent 490 hotspots are found over the United Kingdom (index 2 and 12), southern Norway and 491 Sweden (index 4 and 13), and the Urals (index 5 and 16). During nighttime we found four 492 more hotspots near the Massif Central, France (index 19), the Jura and Vosges mountains, 493 France, the Swiss Alps, and the Black Forest, Germany (index 15), the western Carpathian 494 Mountains and Transylvanian Alps, Romania (index 24), and the Pindus mountains, 495 Greece (index 17). These are all orographic features, i.e., correlations with convection 496 were not found. The nighttime features are also present in the daytime, but the maximum 497 peak event frequencies are around 4% and they are not identified as hotspots. The day 498 and night difference cannot be explained by differences in the detection thresholds. The 499 northern mid-latitude NDFJ nighttime thresholds exceed the daytime thresholds by a 500 factor ~ 2 , i.e., we would expect a larger number of detections at daytime. 501

DRAFT

X - 26 HOFFMANN ET AL.: HOTSPOTS OF STRATOSPHERIC GRAVITY WAVES

Mountain waves at high latitudes, in particular near Scandinavia, have been studied 502 because of their relevance for the formation of polar stratospheric clouds and Arctic ozone 503 loss [Carslaw et al., 1998; Dörnbrack et al., 1999, 2002]. Even the early satellite-based 504 study of *Eckermann and Preusse* [1999] identified the extended mountain ranges in and 505 around central Eurasia as a major source of stratospheric mountain wave activity. In 506 a dedicated study Jiang et al. [2004] analyzed data from the Microwave Limb Sounder 507 (MLS) aboard the Upper Atmosphere Research Satellite (UARS) during the northern 508 hemisphere winters from 1994 to 1997. The hotspots over Europe, North America, and 509 Asia identified from the UARS MLS radiance variances agree well with those found in this 510 study. Recent studies based on GPS radio occultation data have also isolated mountain 511 wave climatological enhancements over Greenland, Scandinavia, and central Asia [Alexan-512 der et al., 2009b]. However, it should be noted that our study provides results at higher 513 horizontal resolution and covers a longer time period than earlier studies based on satellite 514 limb and occultation data. 515

5.5. Asia

The largest hotspots in Asia were found from March to August over the Bay of Bengal and north to the Himalayas (index 46, 51, 62) and over the Indochina Peninsula (index 63). Peak event frequencies become as large as 12%. Occurrence frequencies for deep convection up to 3.4% and rank-order correlation coefficients up to 0.26 indicate that convection is the major source mechanism for both regions. Deep convection in tropical regions is known to cause strong gravity wave activity in the stratosphere and is responsible for important localized forcings in the mesosphere [*McLandress et al.*, 2000].

DRAFT

October 30, 2012, 9:00am

In boreal winter, orographic hotspots of gravity waves are observed at the Abakanski 523 Khrebet Mountains, Russia (index 3 and 14), the northern Lake Baikal, Russia (index 524 9), mountain ranges in South Armenia (index 22), and the Pamir Mountains (index 20). 525 Studies of Avdiushin et al. [1994] and Kazimirovsky and Danilov [1997] relate observed 526 variations in total ozone content to strong vertical winds and internal gravity waves gener-527 ated by interaction of horizontal airflow with high mountain ridges. They present observa-528 tions at the Pamir Mountains as an example. The Himalayas, in particular the mountain 529 range separating the South Asian subcontinent from the Tibetan Plateau, are not iden-530 tified as a hotspot of gravity wave peak event frequencies. Like in the case of the Rocky 531 Mountains (section 5.2), low zonal wind (-10 to -20 m/s according to the NCAR/NCEP)532 climatology) do not favor the propagation of gravity waves with long vertical wavelengths. 533 A daytime hotspot of gravity wave peak event frequencies is found from March to Octo-534 ber in a mountain and desert area in eastern Iran (index 34, 56, 82). Peak event frequencies 535 reach values of 12%. Additional hotspots are found over the Musandam Peninsula, Per-536 sian Gulf (index 40 and 80), near Kuwait (index 85), and near Gujarat, India (index 39). 537 Based on satellite observations in the Thar Desert, India, Das et al. [2011] show that 538 lower atmospheric heating caused by dust storms can trigger gravity waves in the middle 539 atmosphere. 540

5.6. Africa

Two strong daytime hotspots of gravity wave peak event frequencies in Africa are found over the Red Sea. The hotspot over the northern Red Sea (index 30 and 76) shows peak event frequencies up to 14% and 17% in boreal spring and autumn, respectively. It splits into two smaller and weaker hotspots (index 58 and 59) in boreal summer. In boreal

DRAFT

X - 28 HOFFMANN ET AL.: HOTSPOTS OF STRATOSPHERIC GRAVITY WAVES

winter this hotspot is not present. A second hotspot is observed over the southern Red 545 Sea and the Danakil Desert, East Africa (index 8, 28, 53, 75). It is present throughout 546 the year with maximum peak event frequencies up to 25%. For the southern hotspot 547 we find a correlation with convection in boreal summer and autumn, but not in boreal 548 winter and spring. For the northern hotspot correlations with convection are not found. 549 Magalhaes et al. [2011] recently pointed out that the Red Sea is an undocumented hotspot 550 of atmospheric gravity waves. However, their study focuses on trapped waves in the 551 troposphere. 552

In March and April daytime we find two strong hotspots of gravity wave peak event 553 frequencies on the west coast of Africa, in particular near the Namib Desert at 16 to 554 27° S (index 32) with 23% peak event frequency and at 13 to 21° N (index 33) with 13%555 peak event frequency. The Namib hotspot is also observed in September and October 556 (index 84), but it is much weaker (6% peak event frequency). Minor daytime hotspots 557 in different seasons are found near Lake Chad (index 42), South Sudan (index 7 and 36), 558 Lake Turkana in Kenya and Ethiopia (index 10 and 81), and Lake Natron in Tanzania 559 (index 83). We found no observational or theoretical studies of gravity waves for these 560 regions in the literature. 561

5.7. Antarctica

The most prominent hotspot (index 27, 45, 50, 64, 72, 88) of gravity wave peak event frequencies in Antarctica is found over the Antarctic Peninsula during austral autumn, winter, and spring. It is an orographic feature, related to the polar jet. It is consistently present during both day- and nighttime. The maximum peak event frequencies increase from 9% in autumn to 24% in austral spring. A second orographic hotspot (index 52, 65,

DRAFT

⁵⁶⁷ 73, 89) is found at the Transantarctic Mountains in austral winter and spring with peak ⁵⁶⁸ event frequencies up to 12%.

These well-known orographic features have been analyzed in a large number of obser-569 vational and modelling studies [Bacmeister et al., 1990; Wu and Jianq, 2002; Jiang et al., 570 2005, 2006; Wu et al., 2006; Alexander and Teitelbaum, 2007; Baumgaertner and McDon-571 ald, 2007; Vincent et al., 2007; Hertzog et al., 2008; Alexander et al., 2009b; de la Torre 572 et al., 2012]. In particular, Plougonven et al. [2008] report occurrence frequency estimates 573 of gravity wave events over the Antarctic Peninsula that compare well with those reported 574 here. Mountain waves generated by the Antarctic Peninsula influence the formation of 575 polar stratospheric clouds and polar ozone loss [Eckermann et al., 2009; McDonald et al., 576 2009; Lambert et al., 2012]. 577

Finally, islands in the southern oceans can also generate mountain waves [$Wu \ et \ al.$, 2006; Alexander et al., 2009a]. We identify South Georgia (index 55, 67, 78, 90) and the Kerguelen Islands (index 37, 47, 54, 66) as strong hotspots in austral autumn, winter, and spring with maximum peak event frequencies up to 17%. The high horizontal resolution of the AIRS observations allows us to distinguish the Heard Island close to the Kerguelen Islands as a separate hotspot (index 69) in austral winter.

5.8. Australia

⁵⁸⁴ No hotspots of gravity wave peak event frequencies were located in Australia. However, ⁵⁸⁵ the maximum peak event frequencies are close to the 5% threshold both in northern ⁵⁸⁶ Australia in the austral summer, and near New Zealand in the austral winter. Based on ⁵⁸⁷ satellite climatologies and field campaigns, both regions are well-known for regular gravity ⁵⁸⁸ wave activity. In our analysis these regions show up less prominently, which is partly due

DRAFT

to the specific stratospheric wind filtering and the limits of the AIRS observations, but is mainly because of the specific way in which hotspots are identified. In particular, the analysis focuses on the detection of strong, intermittent peak events rather than regular, albeit significant gravity wave activity. In no case should it be concluded that certain regions of the globe which do not show up as hotspots in this study are therefore less interesting for gravity wave studies.

6. Conclusions and outlook

The major accomplishment of this study is a comprehensive overview of hotspots of 595 stratospheric gravity wave peak event frequencies for different seasons during day- and 596 nighttime. The analysis is based on radiance measurements obtained from the Atmo-597 spheric Infrared Sounder (AIRS) aboard NASA's Aqua satellite during the years 2003 to 598 2011. Radiance spectra for nearly 9.6×10^9 satellite footprints are taken into account 599 in the analysis. For each geolocation the analysis is based on local AIRS measurements. 600 Gravity waves generated by stationary sources are therefore preferentially found to be re-601 lated to hotspots. The hotspots are classified according to source mechanisms for gravity 602 waves, in particular with respect to orographic and convective generation. 603

For this study we refined existing detection methods for gravity waves and convection in infrared nadir spectra and made them applicable on a global scale. In order to detect gravity wave peak events, we introduced $4.3 \,\mu$ m brightness temperature variance thresholds which depend on latitude, month, and time of day. For the detection of convection a monthly mean zonal mean tropopause temperature climatology is used. By applying our optimized detection methods, we inferred seasonal statistics of peak event frequencies for gravity waves and occurrence frequencies for convection. A correlation analysis of daily

DRAFT

time series of gravity waves and convection provides an additional piece of information for source classification. Terrain slopes are used as a simple proxy to infer if orographic generation could be relevant as a source mechanism.

On a global scale, we find between 4 and 18 hotspots of stratospheric gravity wave 614 peak event frequencies for each season and time of day. The analysis reproduces well-615 known hotspots of gravity wave activity, e.g., the Andes in Patagonia and the Antarctic 616 Peninsula, which are orographic hotspots, and the North American Great Plains during 617 the summer thunderstorm season, which is a convective feature. However, the most 618 interesting result of this study is the identification of numerous mesoscale hotspots of 619 gravity wave peak event frequencies which have been made visible only by means of the 620 high horizontal resolution and long record of the AIRS data. These mesoscale hotspots 621 are mostly found near prominent orographic features like mountain ranges, coasts, lakes, 622 deserts, or isolated islands. 623

Table 9 presents a global summary of the hotspots. Most of the hotspots are related 624 to orographic features. In terms of total surface area the orographic hotspots outweigh 625 the convective hotspots by a factor ~ 2 . However, from November to February at day-626 and nighttime and from May to August at daytime larger fractions of area are covered by 627 convective hotspots. Typically, less than 1-2% of the hotspot area remain unclassified. 628 A single exception is found at March and April daytime, when 5.5% of the area are not 629 classified. At this time the largest unclassified hotspot occurs at the west coast of the 630 Sahara Desert and is likely related to land-sea heating contrasts. 631

We apply detection thresholds which are optimized for peak events. Regular gravity wave activity is excluded from detection by means of increased variance thresholds. Con-

DRAFT

X - 32 HOFFMANN ET AL.: HOTSPOTS OF STRATOSPHERIC GRAVITY WAVES

cerning the interpretation of the data presented here, it should be noted that specific val-634 ues of the peak event frequencies are generally smaller than occurrence frequencies, which 635 take all detectable gravity wave events into account. A significant fraction of the gravity 636 waves contributing to momentum flux may also be excluded. The magnitudes of bright-637 ness temperature variances at the hotspots may not scale with momentum fluxes. This 638 is most important for regions where the raw brightness temperature variances peak, i.e., 639 for winter mid latitudes and summer subtropical latitudes. Nevertheless, the hotspots we 640 identified indicate potentially important source regions for gravity waves, many of which 641 have not previously been noted. 642

Furthermore, we would like to note that the analysis presented here is limited by obser-643 vational constraints of the AIRS instrument. The detection of gravity waves is restricted 644 to long vertical wavelengths ($\sim 15 \,\mathrm{km}$ or more), because of the broad vertical weighting 645 functions of the infrared nadir sounding technique. The exact limit for the detectable 646 vertical wavelength depends on the individual brightness temperature variance threshold. 647 Gravity waves with long vertical wavelengths require strong zonal background winds in 648 order to propagate into the stratosphere. An additional restriction arises from the limited 649 number of overpasses and fixed local times of the satellite observations. 650

⁶⁵¹ Despite some remaining limitations due to observational constraints of the AIRS in-⁶⁵² strument, this comprehensive analysis can guide the selection of interesting regions and ⁶⁵³ seasons for future case studies of stratospheric gravity waves. The statistical analyses pre-⁶⁵⁴ sented here can be used to validate the gravity wave parametrization schemes in general ⁶⁵⁵ circulation models, in particular to validate source distributions.

DRAFT

Acknowledgments. X. Xue is supported by the Chinese Academy of Sciences 656 (projects KJCX2-EW-J01, KZCX2-EW-QN509), the National Natural Science Founda-657 tion of China (41174132, 40804035), and a foundation for the Author of National Excellent 658 Doctoral Dissertation of PR China (201025). M. J. Alexander was supported by The Sci-659 ence of Terra and Aqua NASA contract #NNH11CD34C. AIRS data are distributed by 660 the NASA Goddard Earth Sciences Data Information and Services Center. NCAR/NCEP 661 reanalysis derived data are provided by the NOAA/OAR/ESRL PSD, Boulder, Colorado, 662 USA (from their web site at http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/). We thank Alison Grimsdell, 663 Boulder, Colorado for constructive comments and language corrections. 664

References

666

⁶⁶⁵ Alexander, M. J., and C. D. Barnet (2007), Using satellite observations to constrain

gravity wave parameterizations for global models, J. Atmos. Sci., 64(5), 1652–1665.

⁶⁶⁷ Alexander, M. J., and L. Pfister (1995), Gravity wave momentum flux in the lower strato-

sphere over convection, Geophys. Res. Lett., 22(15), 2029–2032.

- ⁶⁶⁹ Alexander, M. J., and H. Teitelbaum (2007), Observation and analysis of a large amplitude
 ⁶⁷⁰ mountain wave event over the Antarctic Peninsula, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112(D21103), doi:
 ⁶⁷¹ 10.1029/2006JD008368.
- ⁶⁷² Alexander, M. J., and H. Teitelbaum (2011), Three-dimensional properties of Andes
- mountain waves observed by satellite: A case study, J. Geophys. Res., 116 (D23110),
 doi:10.1029/2011JD016151.
- ⁶⁷⁵ Alexander, M. J., et al. (2008), Global estimates of gravity wave momentum flux from
 ⁶⁷⁶ High Resolution Dynamics Limb Sounder (HIRDLS) observations, J. Geophys. Res.,

DRAFT

- X 34 HOFFMANN ET AL.: HOTSPOTS OF STRATOSPHERIC GRAVITY WAVES
- 113(D15S18), doi:10.1029/2007JD008807. 677
- Alexander, M. J., S. D. Eckermann, D. Broutman, and J. Ma (2009a), Momentum flux 678
- estimates for South Georgia Island mountain waves in the stratosphere observed via 679 satellite, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36(12). 680
- Alexander, S. P., A. R. Klekociuk, and T. Tsuda (2009b), Gravity wave and oro-681 graphic wave activity observed around the Antarctic and Arctic stratospheric vortices 682 by the COSMIC GPS-RO satellite constellation, J. Geophys. Res., 114 (D17103), doi: 683 10.1029/2009JD011851.
- Amante, C., and B. W. Eakins (2009), ETOPO1 1 arc-minute global relief model: Proce-685

dures, data sources and analysis, NOAA Technical Memorandum NESDIS NGDC-24, 686 http://www.ngdc.noaa.gov/mgg/global/global.html. 687

- Aumann, H. H., D. T. Gregorich, S. L. Gaiser, D. F. Hagan, T. S. Pagano, L. L. Strow, and 688 D. Ting (2000), AIRS Level 1B Algorithm Thoretical Basis Document (ATBD) Part 1 689 (IR), Tech. rep., NASA, http://eospso.gsfc.nasa.gov/eos_homepage/for_scientists/atbd. 690 Aumann, H. H., et al. (2003), AIRS/AMSU/HSB on the Aqua mission: Design, science 691 objective, data products, and processing systems, in IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens., 692
- vol. 41, pp. 253–264. 693

684

- Aumann, H. H., D. Gregorich, and S. M. DeSouza-Machado (2006), AIRS observations 694 of deep convective clouds, in SPIE Photonics Conference, 6301-20, San Diego. 695
- Aumann, H. H., D. T. Gregorich, S. E. Broberg, and D. A. Elliott (2007), Seasonal 696 correlations of SST, water vapor, and convective activity in tropical oceans: A new 697 hyperspectral data set for climate model testing, Geophys. Res. Lett., 34 (L15813), doi: 698 10.1029/2006GL029191. 699

DRAFT

- Aumann, H. H., A. Ruzmaikin, and J. Teixeira (2008), Frequency of severe storms and global warming, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 35(L19805), doi:10.1029/2008GL034562.
- Aumann, H. H., S. G. DeSouza-Machado, and A. Behrangi (2011), Deep convective clouds
 at the tropopause, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11(3), 1167–1176.
- Avdiushin, S. I., A. Danilov, and A. A. Starovatov (1994), Variations of the total ozone above the central Asian mountains, *Adv. Space Res.*, *14*(9), 169–176.
- ⁷⁰⁶ Bacmeister, J. T., M. R. Schoeberl, L. R. Lait, P. A. Newman, and B. Gary (1990), ER-2
- mountain wave encounter over Antarctica: Evidence for blocking, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*,
 17(1), 81–84.
- Baumgaertner, A. J. G., and A. J. McDonald (2007), A gravity wave climatology
 for Antarctica compiled from Challenging Minisatellite Payload/Global Positioning
 System (CHAMP/GPS) radio occultations, J. Geophys. Res., 112(D05103), doi:
 10.1029/2006JD007504.
- Becker, E., and C. McLandress (2009), Consistent scale interaction of gravity waves in
 the doppler spread parameterization, J. Atmos. Sci., 66, 1434–1449.
- ⁷¹⁵ Bruintjes, R. T., T. L. Clark, and W. D. Hall (1994), Interactions between topographic
 ⁷¹⁶ airflow and cloud/precipitation development during the passage of a winter storm in
 ⁷¹⁷ Arizona, J. Atmos. Sci., 51(1), 48–67.
- ⁷¹⁸ Carslaw, K. S., et al. (1998), Increased stratospheric ozone depletion due to mountain-⁷¹⁹ induced atmospheric waves, *Nature*, *391*, 675–678.
- Das, S. K., A. Taori, and A. Jayaraman (2011), On the role of dust storms in triggering
 atmospheric gravity waves observed in the middle atmosphere, *Annales Geophysicae*,
 29(9), 1647–1654.

X - 36 HOFFMANN ET AL.: HOTSPOTS OF STRATOSPHERIC GRAVITY WAVES

de la Torre, A., P. Alexander, P. Llamedo, C. Menéndez, T. Schmidt, and J. Wickert (2006), Gravity waves above the Andes detected from GPS radio occultation temperature profiles: Jet mechanism?, J. Geophys. Res., 33(L24810), doi:
10.1029/2006GL027343.

⁷²⁷ de la Torre, A., P. Alexander, R. Hierro, P. Llamedo, A. Rolla, T. Schmidt, and
⁷²⁸ J. Wickert (2012), Large-amplitude gravity waves above the southern Andes, the
⁷²⁹ Drake Passage, and the Antarctic Peninsula, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 117(D02106), doi:
⁷³⁰ 10.1029/2011JD016377.

- ⁷³¹ Dörnbrack, A., M. Leutbecher, R. Kivi, and E. Kyrö (1999), Mountain-wave-induced
 ⁷³² record low stratospheric temperatures above northern Scandinavia, *Tellus, Series A:* ⁷³³ Dynamic Meteorology and Oceanography, 51(5), 951–963.
- Dörnbrack, A., T. Birner, A. Fix, H. Flentje, A. Meister, H. Schmid, E. V. Browell, and
 M. J. Mahoney (2002), Evidence for inertia gravity waves forming polar stratospheric
 clouds over Scandinavia, J. Geophys. Res., D20, doi:10.1029/2001JD000452.
- ⁷³⁷ Doyle, J. D., and M. A. Shapiro (1999), Flow response to large-scale topography: The ⁷³⁸ Greenland tip jet, *Tellus*, 51(5), 728–748.
- ⁷³⁹ Durran, D. R., and J. B. Klemp (1987), Another look at downslope winds. Part II: nonlin-
- ear amplification beneath wave-overturning layers, J. Atmos. Sci., 44(22), 3402-3412.
- Eckermann, S. D., and P. Preusse (1999), Global measurements of stratospheric mountain
 waves from space, *Science*, 286 (5444), 1534–1537.
- ⁷⁴³ Eckermann, S. D., et al. (2006), Imaging gravity waves in lower stratospheric AMSU-A
- radiances, part 2: Validation case study, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6(11), 3343–3362.

DRAFT

745	Eckermann, S. D., J. Ma, D. L. Wu, and D. Broutman (2007), A three-dimensional
746	mountain wave imaged in satellite radiance throughout the stratosphere: Evidence of
747	the effects of directional wind shear, Quart. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc., 133, 1959–1975.
748	Eckermann, S. D., L. Hoffmann, M. Höpfner, D. L. Wu, and M. J. Alexander (2009),
749	Antarctic NAT PSC belt of June 2003: Observational validation of the mountain wave
750	seeding hypothesis, Geophys. Res. Lett., $36(L02807)$, doi:10.1029/2008GL036629.
751	Ern, M., P. Preusse, M. J. Alexander, and C. D. Warner (2004), Absolute values of gravity
752	wave momentum flux derived from satellite data, J. Geophys. Res., $109(D20103)$, doi:
753	10.1029/2004JD004752.
754	Ern, M., P. Preusse, J. C. Gille, C. L. Hepplewhite, M. G. Mlynczak, J. M. Russell III,
755	and M. Riese (2011), Implications for atmospheric dynamics derived from global obser-
756	vations of gravity wave momentum flux in stratosphere and mesosphere, J. Geophys.
757	Res., 116 (D19107), doi:10.1029/2011JD015821.
758	Frey, H. U., S. B. Mende, J. F. Arens, P. R. McCullough, and G. R. Swenson (2000),
759	Atmospheric gravity wave signatures in the infrared hydroxyl OH airglow, Geophys.
760	Res. Lett., $27(1)$, 41–44.
761	Fritts, D. C., and M. J. Alexander (2003), Gravity wave dynamics and effects in the
762	middle atmosphere, Rev. Geophys., $41(1)$, doi:10.1029/2001RG000106.
763	Gong, J., D. L. Wu, and S. D. Eckermann (2012), Gravity wave variances and propagation
764	derived from AIRS radiances, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12(4), 1701–1720.
765	Grimsdell, A. W., M. J. Alexander, P. T. May, and L. Hoffmann (2010), Model study
766	of waves generated by convection with direct validation via satellite, $J.$ Atmos. Sci.,
767	67(5), 1617 - 1631.

- X 38 HOFFMANN ET AL.: HOTSPOTS OF STRATOSPHERIC GRAVITY WAVES
- Hecht, J. H., et al. (2009), Imaging of atmospheric gravity waves in the stratosphere and
 upper mesosphere using satellite and ground-based observations over Australia during
 the TWPICE campaign, J. Geophys. Res., 114 (D18123), doi:10.1029/2008JD011259.
- Hertzog, A., G. Boccara, R. A. Vincent, F. Vial, and P. Cocquerez (2008), Estimation
 of gravity wave momentum flux and phase speeds from quasi-Lagrangian stratospheric
 balloon flights. Part II: Results from the Vorcore campaign in Antarctica, J. Atmos.
 Sci., 65, 3056–3070.
- Hoffmann, L., and M. J. Alexander (2009), Retrieval of stratospheric temperatures from
 Atmospheric Infrared Sounder radiance measurements for gravity wave studies, J. Geo-*phys. Res.*, 114 (D07105), doi:10.1029/2008JD011241.
- Hoffmann, L., and M. J. Alexander (2010), Occurrence frequency of convective gravity
 waves during the North American thunderstorm season, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 115 (D20111),
 doi:10.1029/2010JD014401.
- ⁷⁸¹ Holton, J. R. (1982), The role of gravity wave induced drag and diffusion on the momen-⁷⁸² tum budget of the mesosphere, J. Atmos. Sci., 39(4), 791–799.
- ⁷⁸³ Holton, J. R. (1983), The influence of gravity wave breaking on the general circulation of ⁷⁸⁴ the middle atmosphere, J. Atmos. Sci., 40(10), 2497–2507.
- Jiang, J. H., D. L. Wu, and S. D. Eckermann (2002), Upper Atmosphere Research Satellite (UARS) MLS observation of mountain waves over the Andes, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107(D20), doi:10.1029/2002JD002091.
- Jiang, J. H., B. Wang, K. Goya, K. Hocke, S. D. Eckermann, J. Ma, D. L. Wu, and W. J.
- ⁷⁸⁹ Read (2004), Geographical distribution and interseasonal variability of tropical deep
- ⁷⁹⁰ convection: UARS MLS observations and analyses, J. Geophys. Res., 109(D03111),

October 30, 2012, 9:00am

- ⁷⁹¹ doi:10.1029/2003JD003756.
- Jiang, J. H., S. D. Eckermann, D. L. Wu, K. Hocke, B. Wang, J. Ma, and Y. Zhang (2005),
- Seasonal variation of gravity wave sources from satellite observation, Adv. Space Res.,
 35(11), 1925–1932.
- Jiang, J. H., S. D. Eckermann, D. L. Wu, and D. Y. Wang (2006), Inter-annual variation
 of gravity waves in the Arctic and Antarctic winter middle atmosphere, *Adv. Space Res.*,
 38(11), 2418–2423.
- Kalnay, E., et al. (1996), The NCEP/NCAR 40-year reanalysis project, Bulletin of the
 American Meteorological Society, 77(3), 437–471.
- Kazimirovsky, E. S., and A. D. Danilov (1997), The total ozone content and orography,
 Adv. Space Res., 20(6), 1265–1268.
- Kim, S., H. Chun, and D. L. Wu (2009), A study on stratospheric gravity waves generated
- ⁸⁰³ by Typhoon Ewiniar: Numerical simulations and satellite observations, J. Geophys.
 ⁸⁰⁴ Res., 114 (D22104), doi:10.1029/2009JD011971.
- ⁸⁰⁵ Kuester, M. A., M. J. Alexander, and E. A. Ray (2008), A model study of gravity waves ⁸⁰⁶ over hurricane Humberto (2001), J. Atmos. Sci., 65(10), 3231–3246.
- Lambert, A., M. L. Santee, D. L. Wu, and J. H. Chae (2012), A-train CALIOP and MLS
- observations of early winter Antarctic polar stratospheric clouds and nitric acid in 2008,
 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12(6), 2899–2931, doi:10.5194/acp-12-2899-2012.
- Limpasuvan, V., D. L. Wu, M. J. Alexander, M. Xue, M. Hu, S. Pawson, and J. R. Perkins
- (2007), Stratospheric gravity wave simulation over Greenland during 24 January 2005,
- J. Geophys. Res., 112(D10115), doi:10.1029/2006JD007823.

- X 40 HOFFMANN ET AL.: HOTSPOTS OF STRATOSPHERIC GRAVITY WAVES
- Lindzen, R. S. (1981), Turbulence and stress due to gravity wave and tidal breakdown, J. *Geophys. Res.*, 86, 9707–9714.
- Llamedo, P., A. de la Torre, P. Alexander, D. Luna, T. Schmidt, and J. Wickert (2009), A gravity wave analysis near to the Andes Range from GPS radio occultation data and mesoscale numerical simulations: Two case studies, *Adv. Space Res.*, 44(4), 494–500.
- Magalhaes, J. M., I. B. Araújo, J. C. B. da Silva, R. H. J. Grimshaw, K. Davis, and
 J. Pineda (2011), Atmospheric gravity waves in the Red Sea: a new hotspot, Nonlin. *Proc. Geophys.*, 18(1), 71–79.
- McDonald, A. J., S. E. George, and R. M. Woollands (2009), Can gravity waves significantly impact PSC occurrence in the Antarctic?, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9(22), 8825– 8840.
- McLandress, C., M. J. Alexander, and D. L. Wu (2000), Microwave Limb Sounder observations of gravity waves in the stratosphere: A climatology and interpretation, J. *Geophys. Res.*, 105(D9), 11,947–11,967.
- ⁸²⁷ Nastrom, G. D., and D. C. Fritts (1992), Sources of mesoscale variability of gravity waves.
- Part I: topographic excitation, J. Atmos. Sci., 49(2), 101–110.
- Parkinson, C. L. (2003), Aqua: an Earth-observing satellite mission to examine water and
 other climate variables, in *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, vol. 41, pp. 173–183.
- Pfister, L., W. Starr, R. Craig, M. Loewenstein, and M. Legg (1986), Small-scale motions
 observed by aircraft in the tropical lower stratosphere: Evidence for mixing and its
 relationship to large-scale flows, J. Atmos. Sci., 43(24), 3210–3225.
- Plougonven, R., A. Hertzog, and H. Teitelbaum (2008), Observations and simulations of
 a large-amplitude mountain wave breaking over the Antarctic Peninsula, J. Geophys.

- Res., 113 (D16113), doi:10.1029/2007JD009739.
- Press, W. H., S. A. Teukolsky, W. T. Vetterling, and B. P. Flannery (2002), Numerical
- Recipes in C, The Art of Scientific Computing, vol. 1, 2. ed., Cambridge University Press.
- Preusse, P., S. D. Eckermann, and M. Ern (2008), Transparency of the atmosphere
 to short horizontal wavelength gravity waves, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 113(D24), doi:
 10.1029/2007JD009682.
- Preusse, P., S. Schroeder, L. Hoffmann, M. Ern, F. Friedl-Vallon, J. Ungermann, H. Oelhaf, H. Fischer, and M. Riese (2009), New perspectives on gravity wave remote sensing
 by spaceborne infrared limb imaging, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 2, 299–311.
- Romps, D. M., and Z. Kuang (2009), Overshooting convection in tropical cyclones, *Geo- phys. Res. Lett.*, 36(9), doi:10.1020/2009GL037396.
- Sassen, K., Z. Wang, and D. Liu (2009), Cirrus clouds and deep convection in the
 tropics: Insights from CALIPSO and CloudSat, J. Geophys. Res., 114 (D00H06), doi:
 10.1029/2009JD011916.
- ⁸⁵¹ Smith, R. B. (1985), On severe downslope winds., J. Atmos. Sci., 42(23), 2597–2603.
- ⁸⁵² Tsuda, T., Y. Murayama, H. Wiryosumarto, S. W. B. Harijono, and S. Kato (1994),
- Radiosonde observations of equatorial atmosphere dynamics over Indonesia. 2. characteristics of gravity waves, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 99(D5), 10,507–10,516.
- Vadas, S. L., D. C. Fritts, and M. J. Alexander (2003), Mechanism for the generation of
 secondary waves in wave breaking regions, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 60, 194–214.
- ⁸⁵⁷ Vadas, S. L., et al. (2009), Convection: the likely source of the medium-scale gravity ⁸⁵⁸ waves observed in the OH airglow layer near Brasilia, Brazil, during the SpreadFEx

October 30, 2012, 9:00am

- X 42 HOFFMANN ET AL.: HOTSPOTS OF STRATOSPHERIC GRAVITY WAVES campaign, Annales Geophysicae, 27, 231–259.
- Vadas, S. L., J. Yue, and T. Nakamura (2012), Mesospheric concentric gravity waves gen-
- erated by multiple convective storms over the North American Great Plain, *J. Geophys. Res.*, doi:10.1029/2011JD017025, in press.
- ⁸⁶³ Vincent, R. A., and M. J. Alexander (2000), Gravity waves in the tropical lower strato⁸⁶⁴ sphere: An observational study of seasonal and interannual variability, *J. Geophys. Res.*,
 ⁸⁶⁵ 105(D14), 17,971–17,982.
- ⁸⁶⁶ Vincent, R. A., A. Hertzog, G. Boccara, and F. Vial (2007), Quasi-Lagrangian superpres⁸⁶⁷ sure balloon measurements of gravity-wave momentum fluxes in the polar stratosphere
 ⁸⁶⁸ of both hemispheres, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *34* (L19804), doi:10.1029/2007GL031072.
- ⁸⁶⁹ Wu, D. L. (2004), Mesoscale gravity wave variances from AMSU-A radiances, *Geophys.*⁸⁷⁰ Res. Lett., 31(L12114), doi:10.1029/2004GL019562.
- Wu, D. L., and J. H. Jiang (2002), MLS observations of atmospheric gravity waves over
 Antarctica, J. Geophys. Res., 107(4773), doi:10.1029/2002JD002390.
- ⁸⁷³ Wu, D. L., and F. Zhang (2004), A study of mesoscale gravity waves over the North At⁸⁷⁴ lantic with satellite observations and a mesoscale model, J. Geophys. Res., 109(D22104),
 ⁸⁷⁵ doi:10.1029/2004JD005090.
- ⁸⁷⁶ Wu, D. L., P. Preusse, S. D. Eckermann, J. H. Jiang, M. de la Torre Juarez, L. Coy,
 ⁸⁷⁷ B. Lawrence, and D. Y. Wang (2006), Remote sounding of atmospheric gravity waves
 ⁸⁷⁸ with satellite limb and nadir techniques, *Adv. Space Res.*, *37*, 2269–2277.
- Yue, J., et al. (2009), Concentric gravity waves in the mesosphere generated by deep convective plumes in the lower atmosphere near Fort Collins, Colorado, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 114 (D06104), doi:10.1029/2008JD011244.

859



Figure 1. AIRS orbit data for 1 January 2003. Shown are a) data coverage and b) local time versus latitude for the nadir measurement track (track 45), the outermost scans of the swath (track 1 and 90), and all tracks. The satellite orbit is actively maintained to control its repeat cycle, i. e., data shown here are representative for the years 2003 to 2011.



Figure 2. Examples of clear air and cloudy radiance spectra measured by AIRS on 12 January 2003, 16:45 UTC near 128.9°E, 19.4°S and 130.6°E, 12.2°S, respectively. Gray shaded areas at $4.3 \,\mu\text{m}$ (2323 to 2367 cm⁻¹) and $8.1 \,\mu\text{m}$ (1231 cm⁻¹) indicate spectral regions used to detect gravity waves and convection.



Figure 3. Radiance variances versus latitude for three scan angles (nadir, black dots; outermost tracks, green and blue dots). Panels a,b) show ascending orbits and panels c,d) descending orbits. September 2003 is shown in the left column and December 2003 in the right. Black stars represent the variance thresholds for each data set. Red triangles represent variance thresholds calculated from five years of variance data.



Figure 4. Zonal mean zonal winds at 10 hPa from NCAR/NCEP reanalysis for different months. Averages are computed based on five years of data (2003 to 2007).



Figure 5. The final variance thresholds that are used in this study to detect gravity wave peak events. March, June, September, and December are shown for a) ascending and b) descending orbits separately.



Figure 6. Seasonal peak event frequencies of gravity waves at daytime as obtained from AIRS observations during the years 2003 to 2011. The maps combine data for a) November to February, b) March and April, c) May to August, and d) September and October.



Figure 7. Same as Fig. 6, but for nighttime.



Figure 8. Zonal mean tropopause temperatures from NCAR/NCEP reanalysis for different months.



Figure 9. Monthly mean 8.1 μ m brightness temperatures in January 2003 from AIRS nighttime measurements.



Figure 10. Seasonal occurrence frequencies of convection at daytime as obtained from AIRS observations during the years 2003 to 2011. The maps combine data for a) November to February,b) March and April, c) May to August, and d) September and October. White patches indicate areas where detection is not possible because of low surface temperatures.



Figure 11. Same as Fig. 10, but for nighttime.



Figure 12. Daily time series of occurrence frequencies for gravity waves (red) and convective events (blue) from AIRS observations during the years 2003 to 2011. Data are shown for $5^{\circ} \times 5^{\circ}$ grid boxes in a) North America and b) South America (see plot titles for center location). Time series for convection are shown with an offset of -40%.



Figure 13. Map of rank-order correlation coefficients between local time series of gravity waves and convective events (compare Fig. 12 for examples).



Figure 14. Seasonal hotspots of gravity wave peak event frequencies at daytime. Red dots indicate regions where peak event frequencies of gravity waves exceed the 5% level. The gray contour surface illustrates the zonal terrain slope.



Figure 15. Same as Fig. 14, but for nighttime.

index	location	area	$f_{GW,max}$	$f_{DC,max}$	ρ_{DC}	dz_{max}	class
		$[\mathrm{km}^2]$	[%]	[%]		[m/km]	
1	$(19.5^{\circ}S, 50.2^{\circ}W)$	691,300	6.83	0.94	0.16	31	с
2	$(54.7^{\circ}N, 2.8^{\circ}W)$	$205,\!200$	7.83	0.61	0.06	27	0
3	$(50.9^{\circ}N, 90.8^{\circ}E)$	169,200	7.20	0.98	0.03	83	0
4	$(60.0^{\circ}N, 9.0^{\circ}E)$	$103,\!300$	6.63	0.39	0.02	79	0
5	$(62.1^{\circ}N, 60.1^{\circ}E)$	80,900	7.41	0.64	0.04	58	О
6	$(62.2^{\circ}N, 43.4^{\circ}W)$	40,300	6.62	0.61	0.02	68	0
7	$(7.2^{\circ}N, 31.5^{\circ}E)$	12,200	9.21	0.00	-	2	-
8	$(13.6^{\circ}N, 42.1^{\circ}E)$	9,000	9.78	0.00	-	30	0
9	$(55.1^{\circ}N, 109.2^{\circ}E)$	$7,\!100$	5.45	0.14	0.07	59	0
10	$(3.0^{\circ}N, 36.2^{\circ}E)$	6,200	5.62	0.00	-	4	-

Table 1. Seasonal hotspots (NDJF) of gravity wave peak event frequencies at daytime

Table 2. Seasonal hotspots (NDJF) of gravity wave peak event frequencies at nighttime

index	location	area	$f_{GW,max}$	$f_{DC,max}$	$ ho_{DC}$	dz_{max}	class
		$[\mathrm{km}^2]$	[%]	[%]		[m/km]	
11	$(24.1^{\circ}S, 53.5^{\circ}W)$	1,888,300	8.32	2.44	0.28	38	с
12	$(54.7^{\circ}N, 3.2^{\circ}W)$	$281,\!300$	10.04	0.43	0.06	27	0
13	$(60.4^{\circ}N, 9.4^{\circ}E)$	$127,\!900$	7.27	0.49	0.02	79	Ο
14	$(50.4^{\circ}N, 90.9^{\circ}E)$	$108,\!000$	6.91	1.23	0.03	83	0
15	$(48.2^{\circ}N, 8.6^{\circ}E)$	45,300	6.02	0.32	0.03	105	О
16	$(62.2^{\circ}N, 60.1^{\circ}E)$	44,600	6.43	1.33	0.04	23	О
17	$(38.9^{\circ}N, 22.6^{\circ}E)$	$33,\!600$	5.52	0.18	0.05	66	О
18	$(62.4^{\circ}N, 43.3^{\circ}W)$	20,000	5.43	1.48	0.02	68	О
19	$(44.9^{\circ}N, 3.8^{\circ}E)$	19,700	5.41	0.20	0.02	37	О
20	$(38.0^{\circ}N, 75.5^{\circ}E)$	9,700	5.60	0.00	-	55	О
21	$(51.5^{\circ}N, 56.0^{\circ}W)$	7,700	5.31	0.17	0.00	10	-
22	$(39.6^{\circ}N, 46.1^{\circ}E)$	7,100	5.10	0.10	0.01	64	О
23	$(43.6^{\circ}N, 72.9^{\circ}W)$	6,700	5.34	0.06	0.10	25	О
24	$(46.2^{\circ}N, 26.8^{\circ}E)$	2,100	5.21	0.44	0.07	21	О
25	$(85.2^{\circ}\text{S}, 135.8^{\circ}\text{W})$	300	7.92	0.00	-	13	-

index	location	area	$f_{GW,max}$	$f_{DC,max}$	ρ_{DC}	dz_{max}	class
		$[\mathrm{km}^2]$	[%]	[%]		[m/km]	
26	$(49.2^{\circ}\text{S}, 70.0^{\circ}\text{W})$	1,202,100	22.06	1.80	0.05	148	0
27	$(63.3^{\circ}S, 59.9^{\circ}W)$	269,900	8.75	3.62	0.07	112	0
28	$(12.8^{\circ}N, 40.7^{\circ}E)$	$135,\!400$	25.06	0.03	0.14	67	0
29	$(27.5^{\circ}N, 112.3^{\circ}W)$	$131,\!300$	17.40	0.00	-	44	0
30	$(24.2^{\circ}N, 36.6^{\circ}E)$	$106,\!900$	13.83	0.00	-	33	О
31	$(20.4^{\circ}S, 69.9^{\circ}W)$	$75,\!200$	20.63	0.00	-	67	0
32	$(22.6^{\circ}\text{S}, 14.0^{\circ}\text{E})$	$71,\!100$	22.91	0.00	-	31	О
33	$(17.0^{\circ}N, 16.3^{\circ}W)$	$61,\!900$	12.59	0.00	-	1	-
34	$(30.0^{\circ}N, 59.1^{\circ}E)$	48,100	11.50	0.03	0.02	84	0
35	$(14.5^{\circ}S, 75.5^{\circ}W)$	41,800	17.37	0.00	-	67	0
36	$(7.6^{\circ}N, 30.9^{\circ}E)$	24,500	8.92	1.11	0.06	0	-
37	$(49.2^{\circ}\text{S}, 69.9^{\circ}\text{E})$	18,100	6.21	0.37	0.00	34	0
38	$(5.7^{\circ}S, 81.0^{\circ}W)$	$15,\!400$	9.61	0.00	-	4	-
39	$(21.6^{\circ}N, 71.5^{\circ}E)$	14,400	10.33	0.00	-	4	-
40	$(25.2^{\circ}N, 56.2^{\circ}E)$	14,000	12.73	0.00	-	23	О
41	$(9.0^{\circ}N, 34.2^{\circ}E)$	6,100	6.47	0.03	0.06	23	О
42	$(13.0^{\circ}N, 13.8^{\circ}E)$	6,000	6.64	0.00	-	0	-
43	$(62.2^{\circ}N, 44.2^{\circ}W)$	$1,\!400$	5.16	0.00	-	10	-

Table 3. Seasonal hotspots (MA) of gravity wave peak event frequencies at daytime

Table 4. Seasonal hotspots (MA) of gravity wave peak event frequencies at nighttime

index	location	area	$f_{GW,max}$	$f_{DC,max}$	$ ho_{DC}$	dz_{max}	class
		$[\mathrm{km}^2]$	[%]	[%]		[m/km]	
44	$(49.3^{\circ}S, 69.9^{\circ}W)$	1,176,800	19.52	1.92	0.10	148	0
45	$(64.3^{\circ}S, 62.1^{\circ}W)$	$165,\!600$	8.71	2.94	0.11	112	с
46	$(25.8^{\circ}N, 91.4^{\circ}E)$	$105,\!600$	9.10	0.95	0.26	79	c
47	$(49.2^{\circ}S, 69.5^{\circ}E)$	4,000	5.36	0.28	0.00	34	О

	-	() 0	v	1	-	v	
index	location	area	$f_{GW,max}$	$f_{DC,max}$	$ ho_{DC}$	dz_{max}	class
			[70]	[70]			
48	$(45.2^{\circ}\text{S}, 69.0^{\circ}\text{W})$	$1,\!997,\!300$	27.79	1.94	0.10	148	0
49	$(43.0^{\circ}N, 81.9^{\circ}W)$	$1,\!243,\!400$	8.27	1.00	0.22	30	с
50	$(65.9^{\circ}S, 61.6^{\circ}W)$	490,400	17.31	2.66	0.07	122	0
51	$(18.6^{\circ}N, 90.3^{\circ}E)$	324,100	6.60	2.49	0.29	43	с
52	$(73.4^{\circ}\text{S}, 162.7^{\circ}\text{E})$	144,100	9.92	0.00	-	236	0
53	$(13.9^{\circ}N, 41.4^{\circ}E)$	$65,\!900$	10.68	0.61	0.14	67	с
54	$(49.1^{\circ}\text{S}, 69.7^{\circ}\text{E})$	40,400	11.14	0.29	0.00	34	0
55	$(55.0^{\circ}S, 35.5^{\circ}W)$	30,100	7.75	0.35	0.00	67	0
56	(29.8°N, 58.0°E)	10,700	7.73	0.00	-	84	О
57	$(5.2^{\circ}S, 81.2^{\circ}W)$	$3,\!100$	5.52	0.00	-	1	-
58	(21.8°N, 39.2°E)	2,900	5.33	0.00	-	3	-
59	(24.2°N, 35.2°E)	$2,\!800$	6.09	0.00	-	23	0

Table 5. Seasonal hotspots (MJJA) of gravity wave peak event frequencies at daytime

Table 6. Seasonal hotspots (MJJA) of gravity wave peak event frequencies at nighttime

index	location	area	$f_{GW,max}$	$f_{DC,max}$	ρ_{DC}	dz_{max}	class
		$[\mathrm{km}^2]$	[%]	[%]		[m/km]	
60	$(42.6^{\circ}N, 91.0^{\circ}W)$	2,759,800	15.98	4.84	0.38	30	с
61	$(46.7^{\circ}S, 67.2^{\circ}W)$	$2,\!547,\!900$	31.21	2.11	0.10	148	0
62	$(23.2^{\circ}N, 90.8^{\circ}E)$	$790,\!600$	11.91	3.44	0.26	112	с
63	$(17.5^{\circ}N, 104.8^{\circ}E)$	444,200	9.39	2.50	0.20	57	с
64	$(66.3^{\circ}S, 63.5^{\circ}W)$	339,500	15.39	1.13	0.07	112	0
65	$(73.1^{\circ}S, 162.5^{\circ}E)$	$134,\!200$	8.63	0.00	-	236	0
66	$(49.2^{\circ}S, 69.8^{\circ}E)$	74,500	16.91	0.46	0.00	34	0
67	$(55.1^{\circ}S, 35.4^{\circ}W)$	49,500	9.82	0.43	0.00	67	0
68	$(31.8^{\circ}N, 77.1^{\circ}W)$	18,400	5.58	0.38	0.12	0	с
69	$(53.0^{\circ}\text{S}, 74.5^{\circ}\text{E})$	7,400	5.48	0.36	0.02	0	-
70	$(25.2^{\circ}N, 107.8^{\circ}E)$	$2,\!800$	5.00	1.33	0.22	10	с

Х	-	61

index	location	area	$f_{GW,max}$	$f_{DC,max}$	$ ho_{DC}$	dz_{max}	class
		$[\mathrm{km}^2]$	[%]	[%]		[m/km]	
71	$(47.3^{\circ}\text{S}, 68.8^{\circ}\text{W})$	1,962,100	28.87	3.55	0.10	148	0
72	$(66.2^{\circ}S, 60.4^{\circ}W)$	$649,\!800$	24.32	2.54	0.07	122	0
73	$(76.7^{\circ}S, 161.0^{\circ}E)$	$307,\!200$	12.30	0.00	-	338	0
74	$(16.9^{\circ}S, 72.2^{\circ}W)$	$277,\!300$	32.36	0.00	-	104	0
75	$(13.2^{\circ}N, 41.4^{\circ}E)$	$141,\!200$	25.05	0.91	0.14	67	с
76	$(24.8^{\circ}N, 36.2^{\circ}E)$	126,000	17.04	0.00	-	38	О
77	$(29.1^{\circ}N, 114.0^{\circ}W)$	$75,\!500$	10.46	0.06	0.00	44	О
78	$(54.8^{\circ}S, 35.5^{\circ}W)$	$51,\!600$	10.72	0.26	0.00	67	0
79	$(5.2^{\circ}S, 80.6^{\circ}W)$	21,500	8.85	0.00	-	47	0
80	$(25.2^{\circ}N, 56.2^{\circ}E)$	14,000	14.90	0.00	-	23	0
81	$(2.9^{\circ}N, 35.9^{\circ}E)$	9,200	5.72	0.00	-	53	О
82	$(29.6^{\circ}N, 58.1^{\circ}E)$	8,100	8.77	0.00	-	66	0
83	$(2.0^{\circ}S, 35.8^{\circ}E)$	6,200	6.19	0.00	-	27	0
84	$(24.5^{\circ}S, 14.8^{\circ}E)$	$5,\!600$	6.28	0.00	-	13	-
85	$(28.8^{\circ}N, 48.2^{\circ}E)$	2,700	5.16	0.00	-	3	-
86	$(35.2^{\circ}N, 111.2^{\circ}W)$	2,500	5.84	0.00	-	31	О

Table 7. Seasonal hotspots (SO) of gravity wave peak event frequencies at daytime

Table 8. Seasonal hotspots (SO) of gravity wave peak event frequencies at nighttime

index	location	area	$f_{GW,max}$	$f_{DC,max}$	$ ho_{DC}$	dz_{max}	class
		$[\mathrm{km}^2]$	[%]	[%]		[m/km]	
87	$(46.7^{\circ}S, 68.7^{\circ}W)$	2,109,600	31.11	2.98	0.10	148	0
88	$(66.1^{\circ}S, 60.7^{\circ}W)$	$704,\!900$	22.12	1.80	0.07	122	0
89	$(76.8^{\circ}\text{S}, 161.6^{\circ}\text{E})$	$305,\!900$	10.89	0.00	-	294	О
90	$(54.8^{\circ}S, 35.5^{\circ}W)$	$56,\!900$	13.22	0.55	0.00	67	О
91	$(85.2^{\circ}\text{S}, 177.8^{\circ}\text{E})$	300	5.00	0.00	-	143	О
92	$(85.2^{\circ}S, 157.2^{\circ}E)$	300	5.00	0.00	-	6	-

Table 9. Global statistics of gravity wave hotspots. The table provides the total surface area (A_{tot}) and the fraction of the orographic (A_o) , convective (A_c) , or not classified (A_{nc}) hotspots.

season	Atot	A_{o}/A_{tot}	A_a/A_{tot}	Ang/Atot
	$[\mathrm{km}^2]$	[%]	[%]	[%]
NDJF, day	1,324,700	46.4	52.2	1.4
NDJF, night	$2,\!602,\!300$	27.1	72.6	0.3
MA, day	2,243,600	94.5	0.0	5.5
MA, night	$1,\!452,\!000$	81.3	18.7	0.0
MJJA, day	4,355,200	62.4	37.5	0.1
MJJA, night	$7,\!168,\!800$	43.9	56.0	0.1
SO, day	3,660,500	95.9	3.9	0.2
SO, night	$3,\!177,\!900$	100.0	0.0	0.0