# Recreating observed convection-generated gravity waves from weather radar observations via a neural network and a dynamical atmospheric model

# C. G. Kruse<sup>1\*</sup>, M. J. Alexander<sup>1</sup>, M. Bramberger<sup>1</sup>, A. Chattopadhyay<sup>2</sup>, P. Hassanzadeh<sup>2</sup>, B. Green<sup>3</sup>, A. Grimsdell<sup>1</sup>, L. Hoffmann<sup>4</sup>

6	<sup>1</sup> NorthWest Research Associates, Boulder, Colorado, USA <sup>2</sup> Bice University Houston Texas USA
7 8 9	<sup>3</sup> Stanford University, Palo Alto, California, USA <sup>4</sup> Forschungszentrum Jülich, Jülich, Germany

# Key Points:

1

2

3

4

5

10

11	• If realistic convective diabatic heating is supplied at the correct places and times,
12	GW-resolving models can reasonably reproduce CGWs.
13	• While location and type of convective storm do influence latent heating, these dif-
14	ference are of 2nd order importance for CGW forcing.
15	• Drag due to convection-generated GWs from a compact source region is spread
16	over $O(1000)$ km due to lateral propagation

<sup>\*3380</sup> Mitchell Lane, Boulder, Colorado

Corresponding author: Christopher G. Kruse, ckruse@nwra.com

# 17 Abstract

Convection-generated gravity waves (CGWs) transport momentum and energy, and this 18 momentum is a dominant driver of global features of Earth's atmosphere's general cir-19 culation (e.g. the quasi-biennial oscillation, the pole-to-pole mesospheric circulation). As 20 CGWs are not generally resolved by global weather and climate models, their effects on 21 the circulation need to be parameterized. However, quality observations of GWs are spa-22 tiotemporally sparse, limiting understanding and preventing constraints on parameter-23 izations. Convection-permitting or -resolving simulations do generate CGWs, but val-24 idation is not possible as these simulations cannot reproduce the CGW-forcing convec-25 tion at correct times, locations, and intensities. 26

Here, realistic convective diabatic heating, learned from full-physics convection-permitting 27 Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) simulations, is predicted from weather radar 28 observations using neural networks and a previously developed look-up table. These heat-29 ing rates are then used to force an idealized GW-resolving dynamical model. Simulated 30 CGWs forced in this way did closely resemble those observed by the Atmospheric InfraRed 31 Sounder in the upper stratosphere. CGW drag in these validated simulations extends 32 100s of kilometers away from the convective sources, highlighting errors in current grav-33 ity wave drag parameterizations due to the use of the ubiquitous single-column approx-34 imation. Such validatable simulations have significant potential to be used to further ba-35 sic understanding of CGWs, improve their parameterizations physically, and provide more 36 restrictive constraints on tuning with confidence. 37

# <sup>38</sup> Plain Language Summary

Thunderstorms generate waves in the atmosphere that can generate turbulence at 39 commercial aircraft cruising altitudes and further aloft. At these higher altitudes, they 40 eventually break, not only generating turbulence, but also exerting forces that affect the 41 large-scale flows in the middle atmosphere. While these waves have been known to be 42 important since at least the 1980s, they are difficult to observe. They can be simulated, 43 but weather models do not simulate thunderstorms in the correct locations at the right 44 times, meaning the simulated waves cannot be directly compared against observations. 45 Here, weather radar observations are used as input to a look-up table and a neural net-46 work to force realistic thunderstorm motions and waves within a simplified weather model. 47 This method was able to reproduce a satellite-observed case with notable skill. In one 48 of the first simulations of thunderstorm-generated waves comparable to satellite obser-49 vations, these waves travel 100s of kilometers away from the thunderstorms, conflicting 50 with assumptions made in weather and climate models. 51

## 52 1 Introduction

Atmospheric gravity waves (GWs), or buoyancy waves, are mesoscale phenomena 53  $(\approx 10 - 1000 \text{ km wavelength})$ , that transport momentum from lower to upper atmo-54 sphere layers and drive features in large-scale atmospheric circulation (Alexander et al., 55 2010). Convection is a primary source of atmospheric GWs, particularly in the tropics 56 (C. C. Stephan et al., 2019a; Corcos et al., 2021; Liu et al., 2022) and summer extrat-57 ropics (Hoffmann et al., 2013; Plougonven et al., 2015; C. Stephan et al., 2016; C. C. Stephan 58 et al., 2019b), but also in winter hemisphere subtropical regions (Holt et al., 2017). In 59 particular, convection-generated GWs (CGWs) are primary drivers of the stratospheric 60 quasi-biennial oscillation (QBO) (Baldwin et al., 2001; Holt et al., 2016; Bushell et al., 61 2022), which influences tropospheric predictability in the tropics (Yoo & Son, 2016; Mar-62 shall et al., 2017; Abhik & Hendon, 2019; Martin et al., 2021; Anstey et al., 2021) and 63 extra-tropics (Gray et al., 2018; Garfinkel et al., 2018). CGWs also play a role in the equator-64 to-pole Brewer-Dobson Circulation (Alexander & Rosenlof, 2003; C. Stephan et al., 2016), 65 which is a primary driver of ozone and water vapor concentrations in the stratosphere 66 (Hegglin & Shepherd, 2009). 67

Despite the importance of CGWs in climate and seasonal prediction, they remain 68 largely unresolved in global prediction models, and their forcings on large-scale circu-69 lations must be parameterized (Richter et al., 2020; Bushell et al., 2022). The sparsity 70 of quality observations of CGWs has prevented development of quantitative constraints 71 on parameterizations (Alexander et al., 2021; Lee et al., 2022). As a result, these param-72 eterizations are highly simplified using numerous idealizations and typically tuned to min-73 imize a handful of global error metrics depending on the application (Richter et al., 2022). 74 Instead of using observations to further fundamental understanding of CGWs and im-75 prove parameterizations, convection-permitting and -resolving simulations do internally 76 generate CGWs and could be used. However, such simulations cannot reproduce the tim-77 ings, locations, and intensities of actual convective sources, preventing validation of such 78 simulations against the few CGW observations that exist. Without validation of such 79 simulations, it is difficult to make progress in CGW research with confidence. 80

Here, a recently developed method is used to force an idealized GW-resolving model 81 with reasonably-realistic diabatic heating at the correct locations and times in order to 82 have a chance at simulating CGWs in a way that can be directly compared with obser-83 vations following Grimsdell et al. (2010); C. Stephan and Alexander (2015); C. C. Stephan 84 et al. (2016); Bramberger et al. (2020). This diabatic heating is predicted from weather 85 radar observations of actual cases. Two methods are used to predict diabatic heating: 86 the previously-developed look-up table (LT) method of Bramberger et al. (2020) and a 87 new simple neural network (NN) model. This radar-derived heating is then provided to 88 a GW-resolving idealized configuration of the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) 89 model, which responds dynamically to the diabatic forcing in all ways the non-linear dy-90 namical core and resolution allow. This method is tested against Atmospheric InfraRed 91 Sounder (AIRS) and Project Loon super-pressure balloon observations in two cases. These 92 two cases highlight the methods' abilities to reproduce observed CGWs. Previous work 93 suggested the gravity wave spectrum above convection in WRF simulations was only mod-94 estly sensitive to the choice of microphysics parameterization (C. Stephan & Alexan-95 der, 2015), while the depth and strength of the convective latent heating are key deter-96 minants of the gravity wave spectrum (Bramberger et al., 2020). Our study also addresses 97 how sensitive the CGW are to LT and NN methods based on specific locations/conditions. 98

The overall method to simulate actual cases of CGWs, the two tools used to predict convective diabatic heating, and the training data sets used for both tools are described in Section 2. The skill of the look-up table and NN models in predicting WRFsimulated diabatic heating profiles is presented in Section 3. Idealized model runs forced with the different diabatic heatings are then performed and compared to two cases of observed CGW: One observed by AIRS and one with Loon super-pressure balloon data in Section 4. Finally, Section 5 is a discussion of the results and conclusions. Details on
 the accessibility of data, NNs, WRF source codes, and analysis codes are given in Section 6.

### <sup>108</sup> 2 Methods and Models

### 2.1 Overall Summary of the Method

CGWs are simulated within an idealized WRF configuration solely forced by con-110 vective diabatic heating. This diabatic heating, Q, is derived from the Multi-Radar, Multi-111 Sensor (MRMS) dataset, which merges numerous radar-derived quantities from all weather 112 radars in the contiguous United States onto a single  $0.01^{\circ}$  latitude, longitude ( $\approx$  1-km 113 resolution) grid every two minutes (Zhang et al., 2016). Similar methods have been pre-114 viously used to force CGWs from other weather radar data sets over the mid-latitude. 115 Midwestern US (C. Stephan & Alexander, 2015; C. C. Stephan et al., 2016) and near 116 Darwin, Australia (Grimsdell et al., 2010; Bramberger et al., 2020). 117

118

119

109

### 2.2 Predicting Convective Diabatic Heating from Weather Radar

### 2.2.1 Training Data

Two methods are used to predict profiles of Q given radar-observed quantities: the 120 look-up table method of Bramberger et al. (2020) and a neural network (NN) method 121 developed here. While radar reflectivities provide observations of falling convective pre-122 cipitation, there are no observations of Q for training the methods. To work around this 123 issue, the two methods are trained on full-physics, realistic convection-permitting ( $\Delta x =$ 124 2-km,  $\Delta z < 500$ -m resolution) WRF simulations of observed convective events. Within 125 these simulations, the two methods are trained to predict simulated diabatic heating given 126 simulated radar-observable quantities. 127

Two sets of full-physics, realistic WRF simulations were used for training: simulations of a case of significant deep tropical convection used by Bramberger et al. (2020) over Darwin, Australia (hereafter the Darwin run) and a simulation of typical diurnal convection over Florida (hereafter the Florida run).

The Darwin run simulated a 48-hour period, beginning 11 Jan 2003 at 12 UTC. 132 The inner-most domain used a  $\Delta x = 2$ -km resolution, was 408 km by 408 km wide, and 133 was run three times with three slightly different model tops, effectively producing three 134 ensemble members of the same case. A 10-km-deep upper sponge layer was used to pre-135 vent GW reflection off the top of the domain. The tropical physics suite was used (https:// 136 www2.mmm.ucar.edu/wrf/users/physics/ncar\_tropical\_suite.php). Initial and bound-137 ary conditions were provided by the ERA-Interim reanalysis. All three "ensemble mem-138 bers" of this case were included in the training and are together referred to as the Dar-139 win run. The outer 20 km of the 2-km resolution domain were excluded from training, 140 as were the first 12 hours of the simulations while initial imbalances dissipate and con-141 vection becomes well-developed. For complete details, see Bramberger et al. (2020). 142

The Florida run was completed as part of this work using WRFv4.4. A single  $\Delta x =$ 143 2-km resolution domain was set up, with initial and boundary conditions from the ERA5 144 reanalysis (Hersbach et al., 2020). The domain was 1200 km by 1200 km wide, had a top 145 at 1 hPa ( $z \approx 45$  km), and 110 vertical levels resulting in a nearly constant resolution 146 of  $\Delta z \approx 500$  m above the tropopause. A 10-km-deep upper sponge layer was again spec-147 ified. The tropical physics suite was again used. The period simulated was 72 hours, be-148 ginning 14 June 2018 at 12 UTC. Given large difference in resolution between the forc-149 ing reanalysis used for boundary conditions ( $\Delta x \approx 31 \text{ km}$ ) and WRF ( $\Delta x = 2 \text{ km}$ ), 150 the outermost 200-km of the domain were excluded from training. The first 12 hours of 151 the simulation were also excluded. 152

To train the two methods described below, simulated radar quantities (i.e. inputs) and diabatic heating profiles (outputs) were paired at each grid point and time, but only for *convective* grid points. Grid points were deemed convective if the simulated rain rate exceeded 1 mm  $(10 \text{ min})^{-1}$ . In the Darwin and Florida runs, 1558031 and 180247 convective grid points were extracted, respectively.

### 2.2.2 Look-Up Table

158

165

The look-up table (LT) used here was the same as used by Bramberger et al. (2020). Briefly, to create their LT, convective grid points were binned by rain rate (RR) and echo top height (ET). Simulated diabatic heating profiles were then averaged within the simulated RR and ET bins. Then, given a RR and ET, a diabatic heating profile, Q(z), is predicted via 2-D linear interpolation. The LT used here was trained only on the Darwin run, referred to as "DALT" in the figures.

### 2.2.3 Neural Networks

The LT method likely introduces errors due to the averaging applied within RR 166 and ET bins, the dimensions of which are imposed. Additionally, it is not straightfor-167 ward to expand the look-up table to take advantage of additional radar-observable quan-168 tities. Neural network architectures, and machine-learning methods in general, can pro-169 vide a few advantages over a LT method. For example, NN training provides a flexible 170 framework to increase the number of input quantities and more fully make use of avail-171 able data. Additionally, averaging or compositing of heating profiles over RR and ET 172 is not imposed, which may allow NNs to be more sensitive to input variables and dis-173 tinguish between different diabatic heating regimes. Finally, the inherently non-linear 174 nature of using an NN for prediction has potential in to increase skill by being better 175 able to represent the complex structures of heating profiles. Here, five radar-observable 176 quantities were used to predict diabatic heating profiles at a given point: radar reflec-177 tivities at 0 C, -10 C, and -20 C isotherms in addition to RR and ET used by the LT 178 method. Prior to use with the NNs, all input variables and diabatic heatings were de-179 meaned and then normalized by their standard deviations. 180

Here, a 40-neuron-wide, 6-layer-deep fully-connected NN with a hyperbolic tangent 181 activation function was used to predict diabatic heating profiles gridpoint by gridpoint. 182 Given the two sets of simulations to train on, three NNs were trained to predict diabatic 183 heating: one trained on the Darwin run only, one trained on the Florida run only, and 184 one trained on both, represented by "DANN", "FLNN", and "DAFLNN", respectively. 185 The DANN was trained on all Darwin run convective grid points. The FLNN was trained 186 on 90% of the Florida run convective grid points. The DAFLNN was trained on convec-187 tive grid points from both simulations. Given the much smaller number of convective 188 grid points in the Florida run, the Florida run profiles were duplicated until the num-189 ber of Florida profiles was equal to the number of Darwin profiles to avoid data imbal-190 ance. A mean-squared error (MSE) loss function and a learning rate of 0.005 were used 191 for training. Weights were updated after every batch of 10000 input-output pairs. Train-192 ing continued until the epoch-accumulated MSE reduced by less 0.01%. These three NNs 193 trained on the two training sets allow some inference of how generally applicable a NN 194 trained on a single case of deep, tropical convection (e.g. the Darwin run) might be when 195 used, for example, on a case of subtropical convection over the southeast US. 196

Limited hyperparameter optimization was performed in this problem. An NN with double the neurons (80 neurons, 6 layers) and an NN with an extra two layers (40 neurons, 8 layers) were trained on Darwin run profiles to predict a subset of convective profiles, also from the Darwin run. Changes in validation profiles (similar to Fig. 2, not shown) were minute, so the 40 neuron wide, 6 layer deep NN architecture was chosen. Further hyperparameter optimization is left to future work.



Figure 1. Individual profiles of WRF-simulated (black) and predicted (colors) latent heating. Profiles were randomly chosen from within the five, 5-mm rain rate bins from the Florida run. The tropopause was near z = 15 km for this case.

### 3 Evaluations of Diabatic Heating Predictions

The four methods of predicting diabatic heating are tested against the 10% of the 204 Florida run profiles withheld from training. These withheld profiles were compiled by 205 first binning all of the Florida-run convective grid points into RR bins of 5 mm  $(10 \text{ min})^{-1}$ 206 and then withholding a randomly chosen 10% of the profiles in each RR bin for testing. 207 This process ensures the RR probability density function of the testing data is the same 208 as in the training data and also ensures that the rarest, but most important profiles with 209 the highest rain rates do not all end up being withheld from training. Rain rate is a good 210 proxy for the magnitude of the diabatic heating above, which forces CGWs. Note that 211 the two NNs that include profiles from the Florida run in training are being evaluated 212 against Florida run profiles withheld from the same simulation. 213

WRF-simulated diabatic heating profiles and predictions from the four methods 214 are shown for five randomly chosen profiles within the five RR bins in Fig. 1. By eye, 215 the NNs predict WRF-simulated Q similarly. The DALT predictions are somewhat dis-216 tinct, being more smooth in the vertical, which might be expected given the averaging 217 inherent in the LT method. Encouragingly, all of the NNs represented the negative heat-218 ings near the surface due to evaporative cooling in the smallest RR profiles (Fig. 1a), whereas 219 the DALT did not. While the DALT did not represent this feature here, look-up tables 220 can be constructed to represent it (Lang & Tao, 2018; Tao et al., 2019). 221

Profiles of mean absolute error (MAE) and bias (MAE =  $N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} |E_i|$ , bias = 222  $N^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^{N} E_i$ , where  $E_i = Q_{i,pred} - Q_{i,WRF}$  and Q is diabatic heating) validation statis-223 tics are presented in Fig. 2. Here, the bin-mean WRF-simulated diabatic heating pro-224 file is shown in black for reference, averaged over the number of profiles given in each 225 panel title. In the smallest RR bin, all methods perform the worst, with MAE signifi-226 cantly larger than the bin-mean diabatic heating. This lack of predictive skill may be 227 due insufficient information within the input quantities. Also, at these low RRs, not all 228 of the profiles might be convective in nature, leading to errors when trying to predict a 229 non-convective diabatic heating profile. At larger rain-rates (Fig. 2b-e), diabatic heat-230



Figure 2. Validation statistics plotted as a function of height for the three NNs and the LT. All methods are tested against Florida run convective profiles from WRF (e.g. Fig. 1) that were withheld from training. Mean-absolute errors (MAE) are plotted as solid, colored lines. Mean errors (i.e. biases) are dashed. The mean latent heating profiles within the 5 mm  $(10 \text{ min})^{-1}$  bins are plotted in solid black.

ings are much larger and all methods perform much better, with MAEs smaller than the mean heating rates.

Fig. 2 allows the predictive skill of the Darwin-trained LT and the Darwin-trained 233 NN to be compared. Across all larger RR bins with more of a signal to predict, the two 234 methods have very similar performance. Perhaps the DALT has slightly better skill than 235 the DANN, with incrementally higher MAE by the DANN near the diabatic heating max-236 ima apparently due to a weak bias in heating. However, the NNs perform notably bet-237 ter than the DALT for the smallest RRs, with smaller MAEs and biases in the lower half 238 of the troposphere. Perhaps this is a reflection of the NNs' abilities to better represent 239 more complex profiles of heatings, due to less averaging or compositing of the majority 240 of profiles at these smaller RRs used in training, or a result of more information about 241 the profile being used as input (i.e. reflectivities at 0C, -10C, and -20C used by the NNs 242 and not the DALT). 243

Comparison of the validation profiles for the DANN, FLNN, and DAFLNN allow 244 some inferences to be made on how generally applicable a NN trained on a single case 245 of deep, tropical convection might be. In all RR bins except the lowest, the FLNN out-246 performs the DANN, with MAE reduced by about 33% relative to DANN. This might 247 not be too surprising as the FLNN was trained on the same run from which these test-248 ing data were withheld. For all but the highest RR bin, including the Darwin-run pro-249 files in the NN training did not change the predictive skill much. However, at the high-250 est RRs, inclusion of the Darwin profiles in training did notably increase the predictive 251 skill of the NN. This is likely due to the fact that the Darwin run included much stronger 252  $(RRs 65+ mm (10 min)^{-1})$  and deeper (tropopause at z = 18 km near Darwin vs z =253 15 km over Florida) convection, having more convective grid points at these higher rain 254 rates from which to learn. 255

To summarize, convective diabatic heating exhibits significant point-to-point variability and is a challenge to predict skillfully given only a handful of radar-observable quantities. Both the LT and NN methods have similar predictive skill at larger RRs. The NNs appear to be better able to predict the complex heating profiles at the smallest RRs. More representative training data (e.g. from the Florida run) increases predictive skill. Finally, as largely expected, more training data (i.e. including both runs in the training) can further increase skill incrementally.

### 263

264

# 4 Evaluations of Simulated CGWs

## 4.1 Idealized WRF Configuration

The four tools described above were used to predict convective diabatic heating from 265 MRMS data. Then, these heatings were supplied to the same idealized configuration of 266 WRF used by C. Stephan and Alexander (2015) and Bramberger et al. (2020). Briefly, 267 the 3-D super cell idealized case within WRFv3.7 was the starting point. The initializa-268 tion code was modified to remove the default initial warm bubble. All physical param-269 eterizations were disabled. WRF's "open" boundary conditions were used, designed to 270 allow small-amplitude GWs to propagate out of the domain without affecting the inte-271 rior solution. The Coriolis parameters were constant across the domain and set using a 272 latitude of 28.5 degrees north. The namelist parameter "pert\_coriolis" was set to true 273 to only allow the Coriolis forces to be applied to the wind speed deviations from the ini-274 tial profiles. Initial profiles were taken from MERRA2 (Gelaro et al., 2017), averaged 275 between 25 and 34 degrees latitude, -77 and -84 degrees longitude at times closest to the 276 measurements of interest (see cases below). A key modification was made to the WRF 277 variable registry, which allowed WRF to read the internal diabatic heating variable, "h\_diabatic". 278 from a file via an auxiliary input stream. The modified WRF source code, along with 279 a diff relative to the original source code, are provided. See the Open Research section 280 below for details. 281

The four tools were used to create 3-D diabatic heating files readable by WRF on 282 the 2-km resolution WRF grid every two minutes. Heatings were only provided within 283 the dashed box in Fig. 3e, tapered from zero to the full amounts between the dashed and 284 solid boxes. Additionally, the small heatings produced by the NNs above the echo top 285 heights (e.g. Fig. 1) were set to zero. These heating files were read by WRF, updating 286 the constant diabatic heating used to force changes in temperature every two minutes. 287 As WRF integrates forward in time (a  $\Delta t = 10$  s was used), WRF's dynamical core re-288 sponds to this heating in every way the governing equations and resolution allow. Con-289 vective updrafts and compensating subsidence are forced. All mechanisms that gener-290 ate CGWs (i.e. diabatic heating, obstacle, mechanical oscillator) act to the extent pos-291 sible, as forced by the provided diabatic heating. 292

293

294

### 4.2 Evaluation against AIRS

#### 4.2.1 The Case of Interest

In order to evaluate the idealized WRF simulations, an attempt was made to re-295 produce CGWs observed by the Atmospheric InfraRed Sounder (AIRS) in the strato-296 sphere. Brightness temperature perturbations from AIRS radiance measurements aver-297 aged over 42 channels with wavelengths near 4  $\mu m$  and 2 channels with wavelengths near 298  $15 \ \mu m$  are shown in panel (a) of Figs. 3 and 4. For details on the brightness tempera-200 ture products, see Hoffmann et al. (2013, 2014, 2017). Vertical observational filter ker-300 nels, averaged over all channels included in each product, are shown in Fig. 5, which de-301 pict the relative importance of different altitudes in emitting radiation at the selected 302 wavelengths to the AIRS sensor. The  $4\,\mu$ m channel set is most sensitive to stratospheric 303 temperature perturbations at about  $30-40 \,\mathrm{km}$  of altitude. The 15  $\mu$ m channel set is most 304



Figure 3. Maps of observed (a) and WRF-simulated (b-e)  $T'_b$ . AIRS observations shaded in (a) were collected over 18:41 to 18:45 UTC on 22 July 2018. The WRF-simulated  $T'_b$  were computed using output at 18:50 UTC. Approximate vertical and horizontal AIRS observational filters were applied to WRF in (b-e). Diabatic heating, Q, supplied to WRF was limited to within the boxes in (e), with a cosine ramp transitioning predicted Q from zero to its full amount between the dashed and solid lines.

sensitive at about 40-45 km. Note the different vertical width and sensitivity of the two kernel functions.

In both of these products, small-scale perturbations within eastward-directed semi-307 circular GWs are apparent just north of the gulf coast and over northern Florida. These 308 observations are consistent with localized convective sources below, which was the case 309 as seen in the MRMS lowest reflectivity mosaic at 18 UTC (2 pm local) on 22 July 2018 310 in Fig. 6, valid about 40 minutes prior to the AIRS data being collected overhead. Ear-311 lier analyses of reflectivities indicate these two convective features initiated approximately 312 six hours earlier (8 am local) and so were rapidly developing up to the time of the AIRS 313 overpass. 314

To simulate this case, the idealized WRF model was configured with 110 evenly-315 spaced vertical levels extending up to  $z = 80 \text{ km} (\Delta z \approx 727 \text{ m})$ , with a 10-km deep 316 GW-absorbing sponge at the top. This depth was chosen in order to cover as much of 317 the AIRS observational kernels within a physically-interpretable portion of the domain 318 as possible. The idealized model was initialized 6 UTC, 22 July 2022 with the wind (Fig. 7) 319 and stability (not shown) profile from MERRA2 and integrated forward 30 hours in time. 320 Four simulations were completed, forced by diabatic heatings produced by the four tools 321 described above updated every two minutes. Variables were output every 10 minutes 322 323

324

### 4.2.2 Application of AIRS Observational Filters to WRF Output

In order to validate the four runs against AIRS data, both vertical and horizontal observational filters were applied to the WRF output to approximate the brightness temperature perturbations that would be seen by the AIRS sensor viewing through the simulated atmosphere. The vertical observational filter was applied first by taking the



Figure 4. As in Fig. 3, but for the 15  $\mu$ m product. Note the gray-shading range is twice that in Fig. 3.



Figure 5. Average vertical observational filters for the 4  $\mu$ m and 15  $\mu$ m brightness temperature perturbation products. The 4 (15)  $\mu$ m kernel plotted here is the average of kernels of 42 (2) individual channels (Hoffmann et al., 2013, 2014, 2017) to reduce noise. These kernels were computed assuming climatological midlatitude atmospheric conditions.



Figure 6. Multiple Radar, Multiple Sensor (MRMS) mosaic of lowest weather radar reflectivity valid 18 UTC on 22 July 2018, approximately 40 minutes prior to the AIRS observations in Figs. 3 and 4.



Figure 7. MERRA2 wind components area-averaged between 25N and 34N, 77W and 84W, valid 18 UTC on 22 July 2018. This wind (and stability, not shown) profile was used to initialize all idealized WRF simulations.

vertically-weighted average of WRF temperature perturbations (T') using the kernels in Fig. 5 as weights. Temperature perturbations were computed by first applying spatial high-pass filtering following Kruse and Smith (2015) to retain scales smaller than 500 km, similar to the high-pass filtering applied when removing background brightness temperature  $(T_b)$  from AIRS swaths (Hoffmann et al., 2013, 2014).

After application of the vertical observational filter, the simulated  $T'_{b}$  field is still 334 at 2-km horizontal resolution, containing small-scale, large amplitude  $T'_h$ . However, the 335 field of view of individual AIRS footprints is  $\approx 13.5$  km  $\times 13.5$  km at nadir, increas-336 ing to 41 km  $\times$  21.4 km at the edges of cross-track scans within an AIRS swath (Aumann 337 et al., 2003; Hoffmann et al., 2013). Cross-track scans are  $\approx 18$  km apart, leading to a 338 slight underlap of footprints in this direction. To roughly approximate the AIRS hor-339 izontal observational filters and scanning geometries, the 2-km resolution WRF-simulated 340  $T'_{h}$  were coarsened to 16-km resolution. 341

342

# 4.2.3 WRF Validation Against AIRS

The WRF-simulated  $T'_b$  approximately visible to the AIRS sensor are shown in panels (b-e) in Figs. 3 and 4. The model output time was 18:50 UTC,  $\approx$  7 minutes after the AIRS overpass over the region. Overall, the CGWs in WRF do resemble the CGWs emanating from the two regions of convection in the AIRS observations.

Small-scale  $T'_b$  features are apparent in both the observations and all WRF sim-347 ulations. The larger-scale eastward propagating GW to the east of the convective sources 348 also closely resembles those seen in the data. The minimum and maximum  $T'_{h}$  in WRF, 349 due to the small-scale perturbations right above convection, are very comparable to those 350 in the observations. Though, in the WRF output,  $T'_b$  minima and maxima were very sen-351 sitive to the degree to which WRF was coarsened. For example, coarsening to 20-km res-352 olution reduced the simulated extrema by about half, due to significant small-scale CGW 353 variability unresolved by AIRS. The amplitude of the CGW features to the east of the 354 convection is quite comparable to that seen in the observations and not sensitive to the 355 degree to which output was coarsened. 356

Several differences between the models and the observations can be noted as well, 357 however. Phase-lines of the CGWs southeast of the convection appear slightly rotated 358 clockwise relative to those in WRF. This might be due to latitudinal variations in the 359 background flow (e.g.  $\partial_u U$ ,  $\partial_u \partial_z U$ ) in reality that were unrepresented by the horizontally-360 homogeneous profiles used to initialize WRF (i.e. Fig. 7). Additionally, observed large-361 scale GWs with northeast-southwest-oriented phase lines in the northern part of the do-362 main are not present in the models. These GWs are likely due to sources outside of the 363 spatiotemporal domain represented by WRF or outside of the region where convective 364 forcing was supplied (Fig. 3e) and, hence, were not represented. Finally, the observations 365 include significant noise, particularly in the 15  $\mu m$  product (Fig. 4), where only two AIRS 366 channels were averaged. 367

Brightness temperature perturbations along 29N are shown in Fig. 8. East of 79W, 368 the CGW amplitudes and phases are very similar to the observations, at least in the 4 369  $\mu m T'_b$  (panel a). The comparison east of 79W is not as good in the 15  $\mu m$  product (panel 370 b), though, the significant noise in the observations ( $\sim 0.3$ K), potentially of similar am-371 plitude to the CGWs according to WRF, obscures the comparison. While the CGWs do 372 not obviously emerge from noise in such a transect, CGWs are visible through the noise 373 when plotted spatially in Fig. 4a. (Note noise in the 4  $\mu$ m channel is smaller ~ 0.1 K.) 374 The simulated CGWs (Fig. 4 (b-e)) do resemble those visible through the noise in the 375 observations. West of 79W, the high-amplitude, small-scale perturbations in WRF do 376 not match in phase with those observed (Fig. 8). Simulated perturbation amplitudes are 377 similar to the observations, being similar in the 4  $\mu m$  product and slightly smaller in the 378 15  $\mu m$  product. Perhaps the simulated amplitudes could be made more comparable with 379



**Figure 8.** Brightness temperature comparison along 29N over Florida and to the east. WRF output was coarsened to 16-km to approximate an average horizontal observational filter of AIRS.

the observations with a more realistic treatment of AIRS footprint geometries and sizes and/or the addition of noise to the WRF output, however, this was not performed here. Still, the exact locations and phases of these small-scale CGWs right above the sources are likely inherently unpredictable, meaning matching simulated phases with observations may not be realistic.

While the evaluations of diabatic heating predictions by the four tools could sug-385 gest one tool is better than the other (e.g. comparing MAE from the DANN versus the 386 DAFLNN in Fig. 2), the CGWs produced by all four diabatic heatings are quite simi-387 lar between the four runs (Figs. 3, 4, 8). It is unclear if the small differences in AIRS-388 visible simulated CGWs between the four simulations are significant, being attributable to differences in the diabatic heatings, or if these differences are essentially within an en-390 semble spread where only diabatic heatings were purturbed (i.e. indistinguishable). As 391 such, it is difficult to claim one tool is better than the other when validating the sim-392 ulated CGWs against the AIRS observations. However, the similarity of CGWs between 393 the four solutions, all resembling the observations quite well, allows the conclusion that 394 if a reasonable diabatic heating, in this case learned from a microphysics parameteriza-395 tion within a covection-permitting and not convection-resolving simulation, is supplied 396 to a GW-resolving model at correct locations and times, the CGWs resulting from this 397 forcing can be quite realistic. 398

#### 399

### 4.3 WRF Validation Against Loon Super-Pressure Balloons

For further evaluation, another case was simulated using the modified idealized WRF configuration. Here, a case of typical diurnal convection over Florida was simulated that



Figure 9. Horizontal cross-section of u' at z = 19400 m at 22 UTC on 16 June 2018. Here, the entire WRF domain is shown. The idealized WRF model was initialized 10 hours prior to the valid time. The two Loon super-pressure balloon tracks are shown during the 24 hour period beginning at 12 UTC, 16 June 2018. The circles indicate the positions of the super-pressure balloons at the valid time. The height was chosen to be an approximate average height of the balloons (c.f. Fig. 10).

happened to have two super-pressure balloons, flown by Project Loon (hereafter Loon), 402 advecting from east-to-west overhead near z = 19.4 km. Loon was a Google project, 403 and later an Alphabet subsidiary, that flew 2131 super-pressure balloons nearly globally 404 in order to provide wireless internet access to rural areas (Rhodes & Candido, 2021). Loon 405 balloons carried a payload with instruments measuring pressure, temperature, and hor-406 izontal velocities from GPS (Friedrich et al., 2017) at 1 Hz. These balloons also had the 407 capability of changing their density, allowing some altitude control and steering by catch-408 ing winds at different altitudes. A flag recorded when vertical maneuvering occurred. These 409 data have been used in a handful of studies up to this point (Friedrich et al., 2017; Schoe-410 berl et al., 2017; Conway et al., 2019; Lindgren et al., 2020). Only the 1 Hz location, height, 411 and horizontal wind observations are used here. 412

For this case, an 800 km  $\times$  800 km  $\times$  55 km domain was used at  $\Delta x = 2$ -km hor-413 izontal resolution and  $\Delta z = 500$  m vertical resolution via 110 evenly spaced vertical 414 levels. The idealized configuration was initialized at 12 UTC on 16 June 2018 and in-415 tegrated 24 hours in time. Diabatic heatings were again computed from MRMS data via 416 the same LT and three NNs and supplied to WRF every two minutes. Figure 9 shows 417 the zonal wind perturbations relative to the initial profile at z = 19.4 km, near the al-418 titude of two Loon super-pressure balloons (Fig. 10), valid at 22 UTC on 16 June 2018. 419 The tracks of the two super-pressure balloons, along with their locations at the output 420 valid time, are also depicted in Fig. 9. 421

The WRF output was then 4-D linearly interpolated to the time, altitude, latitude, 422 and longitude of the observations taken by both Loon flights during the 24 hours of the 423 four simulations. The Loon height, zonal wind perturbation, and meridional wind per-424 turbation time series for both flights are shown in Fig. 10. Initially, there are no pertur-425 bations occuring at the Loon locations, as the convective forcing did not begin imme-426 diately and when it did occur, it was some distance northwest. When the CGWs do reach 427 the Loon locations, as noted in the previous case, the differences in heatings provided 428 by the four tools do not seem to result in significant differences in the simulated CGWs 429 they force. 430



Figure 10. Time series of Loon super-pressure balloon GPS altitude, zonal wind perturbations, and meridional wind perturbations (black) for two Loon flights that happened to drift over Florida 16 June 2018. The four WRF runs were 4-D linearly interpolated (colors) to the latitudes, longitudes, heights, and times for comparison with the observations (obs). The portions of the observed time series (black) highlighted in red indicate periods where the super-pressure balloon was maneuvering vertically.

In this case, none of the idealized WRF simulations were able to well-reproduce the 431 observations. Here, simulated wind speed perturbations are relative to the initial wind 432 at the altitude of interest. The Loon perturbations are relative to the mean over the 24 433 hour period presented. The simulated  $u' = u(t) - u(t_{init})$  amplitudes were generally 434 notably higher than in the Loon observations. The simulated v' compared, perhaps, slightly 435 better to the observations. Likely the best point of comparison was in the arrival times 436 of the CGWs to the Loon locations. For example, about 8 hours after initialization, the 437 appearance of significant simulated CGW perturbations appear. This timing roughly cor-438 responds to when higher-frequency variability appears in Loon as well.

It is difficult to say whether or not the overall method of recreating CGWs did not 440 work in this case. While the time series comparisons are poor (Fig. 10) and wind speed 441 uncertainty is reported to be much smaller  $(0.23 \text{ m s}^{-1}, \text{Friedrich et al. } (2017))$  than the 442 observed variations, data in this case are limited to only two transects. Comparisons of 443 GWs along individual transects can be misleading, as small differences in the location 444 of interest relative to the GWs can lead to significant differences of the apparent GW 445 field sampled on a transect when, spatially, the GW fields are similar (c.f. Fig. 8, 4). Ad-446 ditionally, the data quality is somewhat questionable in this particular case. The por-447



Figure 11. Height- and time-integrated latent heating (Q) predicted by (a) the look-up table method and (b) the DAFLNN on the WRF domain for the AIRS case. Latent heating was zeroed outside of the dashed line in (d).

tions of the Loon time series highlighted in red indicate times when the super-pressure
balloon was vertically maneuvering by changing its density. It is unknown if this maneuvering was performed to steer the balloons or an automated response to oppose the influences of CGWs.

### <sup>452</sup> 5 GW Analysis of the AIRS Case

A primary motivation for the overall method of forcing an idealized model with weather-453 radar-derived diabatic heating was to produce validatable simulations of CGWs and then 454 use these validated simulations to study CGWs. Here, the CGWs within the AIRS-validated 455 case above are briefly analyzed. The objectives are to see how far laterally CGWs can 456 propagate in this case, to see where they dissipate, and how strong the drag decelera-457 tions are. All of these objectives are currently relevant to the development and improve-458 ment of GW parameterization in weather and climate models, which has not been well 459 constrained by observations or constrained by directly validated CGW-resolving simu-460 lations such as these. 461

Over the entire 30-hour AIRS-validated WRF simulation, the convective diabatic 462 forcings were fairly compact. The height- and time-integrated diabatic heating over the 463 entire simulation is shown from the DALT and DAFLNN predictions in Fig. 11. The cor-464 responding maps from the DANN and FLNN predictions were largely similar and so are 465 not shown. The most intense, prolonged heating resulted from the convective region over 466 northern Florida, with more localized and weaker forcings scattered within the domain 467 elsewhere. This localization of CGW forcing simplifies interpretation of GW analyses some-468 what, as the CGWs can largely be interpreted as being generated by a single localized 469 source. 470

GW amplitudes are illustrated in Fig. 12. Amplitudes were computed using the discrete Hilbert Transform following Eckermann et al. (2015) and Mercier et al. (2008), al-

lowing phase-averaged quantities to be produced in physical (e.g. x,y) space. These am-473 plitudes were then averaged over all output times during the 30-hour simulation, from 474 output every two minutes. At z = 40 km, CGWs are most apparent over and to the 475 east of the diabatic forcing (c.f. Figs. 12a, b, c, g and 11b). The prevalence of CGW ac-476 tivity to the east is largely expected, considering the strong easterly wind shear in the 477 ambient winds below this altitude (Fig. 7) forcing critical-level dissipation of the westward-478 propagating CGWs. The CGW activity is most spread out according to u' amplitudes 479 (Fig. 12a) and most localized according to w' amplitudes (Fig. 12b), with the spread of 480 vertical fluxes of horizontal momentum  $(MF_x = \overline{\rho} \, \hat{u'} \hat{w'}, MF_y = \overline{\rho} \, \hat{v'} \hat{w'}$  with hats here 481 indicating phase averaging via Hilbert transform) in between. In terms of momentum 482 flux, CGWs can clearly propagate O(1000) km away from their source, consistent with 483 the modeling study of Sun et al. (2023), observational study of Corcos et al. (2021) and 484 inconsistent with the conventional column-approximation in parameterizations. 485

Vertical fluxes of zonal (b-d) and meridional (f-h) momentum are shown at z =20 km, 40 km, and 60 km in Fig. 12 to give a sense for how CGWs both dissipate and spread with height. The color shading scales are reduced with height, implying CGW dissipation and momentum deposition. Alternatively, lateral spreading can result in spreading and reduction of fluxes, too (Eckermann et al., 2015). However, the spatial extents do not appear to change significantly with height, suggesting GW dissipation.

The meridional spread of these validated CGWs are shown in Fig. 13, where zonallyand temporally-averaged  $(\overline{(.)}^{xt} = L^{-1}T^{-1} \int \int (.) dt dx$ , where L and T are the lengths and periods over which the quantity is averaged) wave and convective quantities are shown as a function of latitude and height. The largest CGW amplitudes occur directly over the highest diabatic heating, but extend north and south of the peak heating with height (Fig. 13a, b). This spread is also seen in the contours of vertical flux of zonal and meridional momentum (Fig. 13c, d), though, this spread with height is more subtle in this variable.

The zonal and meridional CGW drag was quantified via

500

$$(GWD_x, GWD_y) = -\frac{1}{\overline{\rho}^{xt}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \overline{\rho}^{xt} \overline{u'w'}^{xt}, \overline{\rho}^{xt} \overline{v'w'}^{xt} \right)$$
(1)

and shown in panels (e-f). The influences of lateral divergences of lateral fluxes of hor-501 izontal momentum can be important (Sun et al., 2023), but were not investigated here. 502 The vertical profiles of zonal drag are largely consistent with linear GW theory. Westward-503 propagating GWs producing negative zonal momentum flux encountered critical levels 504 and dissipated in the region of strong negative zonal wind shear between z = 15 km 505 and 20 km (Fig. 7). This results in negative drags of  $\approx 1 \text{ m s}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$ , though, these 506 values of drag are somewhat subjective as they depend on the choices made in areas over 507 which fluxes were averaged. The eastward-propagating waves do not encounter critical 508 levels, but do grow with altitude and gradually reach overturning amplitudes and dis-509 sipate, indicated by the general increase in positive drag with height (Fig. 13e). How-510 ever, zonal drags rise sharply in the layers of positive shear above z = 35 km, as CGWs 511 propagating into these layers encounter shear that brings the environment a bit closer 512 to their phase speeds, forces GWs toward steepening and saturating (see Kruse et al. (2016) 513 for further discussion on this effect, but for orogaphic GWs). The growth in amplitudes 514 due to these local zonal wind maxima can be seen in Fig. 13a and b. Interestingly, the 515 zonal and meridional drags are fairly invariant in latitude despite localized forcing, ex-516 cept at the highest altitudes, highlighting the effects of lateral propagation on drag. 517

It should be noted that the idealized WRF configuration used no physical parameterizations and so did not use a turbulence parameterization. Also, the vertical resolution of  $\Delta z = 727$  m may be coarse relative to the scales of motions involved in CGW breakdown. Both simulation characteristics will likely affect some details of how and where these simulated CGWs break and deposit momentum. Testing how turbulence param-



Figure 12. Phase-averaged (via Hilbert transform,  $(\hat{.})$ ), time-averaged GW amplitudes of (a) u', (e) w', (b-d) vertical flux of zonal momentum, (f-h) and vertical flux of meridional momentum at selected levels indicated in the panel titles. These analyses are of the DAFLNN-forced WRF run. Comparison with Fig. 11d gives an indication of how different variables tend to spread laterally and how this spread varies with height. Note every panel has an individual color shading range.



Figure 13. Time- and zonal-mean (a) u' amplitude, (b) w' amplitude, (c) vertical flux of zonal momentum, (d) vertical flux of meridional momentum, (e) zonal GWD, and (f) meridional GWD. The entire 30-hour simulation was included in the time averaging. The outer 200 km of the domain were excluded. The vertical fluxes of horizontal momentum and zonal drags were smoothed along latitude with a 42-km moving average smoother. The thick black contours depict the time-, zonal-mean latent heating at 1, 6, and 12 K day<sup>-1</sup>.

eterizations and vertical resolution affect drag on the mesoscales is certainly warranted, but is left to future work.

<sup>525</sup> 6 Discussion and Conclusions

If reasonably realistic diabatic heating is supplied at the correct locations and times in a GW-resolving model, the CGWs generated within that model can resemble observed CGWs quite well. This overall method (i.e. forcing CGW-resolving simulations with observations of convection) shows significant promise in furthering CGW research and parameterization development *with confidence*, as it allows full 4-D fields of realistic CGWs to be generated and analyzed rigorously.

Here, diabatic heating was learned from full-physics,  $\Delta x = 2$ -km,  $\Delta z < 500$ -m resolution WRF simulations. These simulations were convection-permitting, but not convectionisson resolving (Jeevanjee, 2017; Jeevanjee & Zhou, 2022), and diabatic heatings are predicted by the WRF Single-Moment 6-class (WSM6) microphysics scheme (Hong & Lim, 2006). The good agreement between simulated and observed CGWs (Figs. 3, 4) suggests the convection permitted by these resolutions and the heatings predicted by this microphysics scheme are reasonably realistic, at least when it comes to CGW forcing.

The look-up table method and NNs had similar skill at predicting the WRF-simulated 539 diabatic heating profiles at larger rain rates, while the NNs showed promise at being bet-540 ter able to represent complexities in heating profiles (e.g. evaporative cooling layers) at 541 smaller rain rates. The vast majority of gridpoints deemed "convective" (i.e. having a 542 rain rate exceeding 1 mm  $(10 \text{ min})^{-1}$  had these smaller rain rates. This increased per-543 formance by NNs at smaller rain rates could be attributable to the inherent ability of 544 such an architecture to represent such profiles, potentially the increased information con-545 tained by the additional radar reflectivities used as input, or just a reflection the NNs 546 being trained mostly small-rain-rate profiles. Perhaps a proper hyperparameter optimiza-547 tion, a loss function used to emphasize skill of the larger-amplitude heating profiles, or 548 an architecture more appropriate for this application (e.g. one that uses spatial input 549 to account for the 3-D tilting of convection observations due to wind shear) could en-550 hance skill in this application over all rain rates. 551

While machine learning methods still have significant potential to further improve skill in predicting convective diabatic heating beyond conventional methods (e.g. lookup tables), variations in CGWs generated by the different heatings predicted here were small. It is unclear if better heatings will be significant when it comes to CGW forcing.

In the  $\Delta x = 2$ -km,  $\Delta z = 727$ -m resolution idealized WRF configuration used 556 here, larger-scale CGWs that apparently propagate more laterally validated the best against 557 AIRS observations, with both phases and amplitudes reproduced reasonably well quan-558 titatively. The WRF configuration was also able to reproduce the smaller-scale, more vertically-559 propagating CGWs above convective sources as well, at least in amplitudes. Still, these small-scale CGWs were highly sensitive to the details sampling a simulation as if AIRS 561 were viewing through it. A more accurate treatment of how AIRS might sample these 562 simulated CGWs that takes into account viewing geometries of individual footprints, vari-563 ations in horizontal observational filtering with viewing zenith angle, and perhaps even 564 radiative transfer would likely alter how a hypothetical AIRS sensor would see these CGWs. 565 This is particularly relevant as these small-scale CGWs right over the convection are re-566 sponsible for much of the momentum flux (Fig. 12). 567

Finally, CGWs are inherently non-stationary and propagate away from the convection. A spectrum of horizontal and vertical group velocities is generated. In the validated simulation presented here, it is clear CGWs propagate 100s of kilometers away from the convective sources. The most momentum fluxed and drag deposited does occur above the convective sources, but significant drags still occur 100s of kilometers away. These results provide more evidence for relaxing the commonly employed single-column approximation in GW parameterizations, which assumes GWs propagate only vertically.

# 575 7 Open Research

The MRMS reflectivity data were retrieved from an archive at Iowa State Univer-576 sity, accessible here: https://mtarchive.geol.iastate.edu/2018/06/16/mrms/ncep/ 577 SeamlessHSR/. Numerous other variables are derived from the MRMS dataset in real 578 time, but are not publicly archived. Chuntao Liu, at Texas A&M University - Corpus 579 Christi, has personally archived a handful of these additional variables, and the precip-580 itation rates, echo top heights, and reflecitivies at  $0^{\circ}$ C,  $-10^{\circ}$ C and  $-20^{\circ}$ C isotherms were 581 provided by him. The AIRS brightness temperature data products applied in this study 582 are available open access (Hoffmann, 2021). DAFLNN-forced WRF output at 10-minute 583 output frequency, the trained NNs, parsed training data, the Bramberger et al. (2020) 584 lookup-table, time-averaged DAFLNN-forced WRF output, and all Python scripts and 585 WRF source codes used are archived at the Stanford Digital Repository (https://doi.org/10.25740/kq456hs1417). 586

# 587 Acknowledgments

This work was supported by grants from the NSF OAC CSSI program (NSF Grants 2005123

and 2004512) and by the generosity of Eric and Wendy Schmidt by recommendation of

<sup>590</sup> the Schmidt Futures program. This work was largely performed with high-performance

<sup>591</sup> computing support from Cheyenne (Computational Information Systems Laboratory, 2019)

<sup>592</sup> provided by NCAR's Computational and Information Systems Laboratory, sponsored

<sup>593</sup> by the National Science Foundation. Finally, we would like to acknowledge physics suite

recommendations by Jimy Dudhia at NCAR and very helpful discussions on machine

<sup>595</sup> learning with Minah Yang at NYU.

# 596 References

597	Abhik, S., & Hendon, H. H. (2019). Influence of the qbo on the mjo during coupled
598	model multiweek forecasts. Geophysical Research Letters, 46(15), 9213-9221.
599	doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL083152
600	Alexander, M. J., Geller, M., McLandress, C., Polavarapu, S., Preusse, P., Sassi,
601	F., Watanabe, S. (2010). Recent developments in gravity-wave effects in
602	climate models and the global distribution of gravity-wave momentum flux
603	from observations and models. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological
604	Society, 136(650), 1103-1124. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.637
605	Alexander, M. J., Liu, C. C., Bacmeister, J., Bramberger, M., Hertzog, A., &
606	Richter, J. H. (2021). Observational validation of parameterized gravity waves
607	from tropical convection in the whole atmosphere community climate model.
608	Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 126(7), e2020JD033954.
609	$(e2020JD033954\ 2020JD033954)$ doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JD033954
610	Alexander, M. J., & Rosenlof, K. H. (2003). Gravity-wave forcing in the strato-
611	sphere: Observational constraints from the upper atmosphere research satellite
612	and implications for parameterization in global models. Journal of Geo-
613	physical Research: Atmospheres, 108(D19). doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/
614	2003JD003373
615	Anstey, J. A., Simpson, I. R., Richter, J. H., Naoe, H., Taguchi, M., Serva, F.,
616	Yukimoto, S. (2021). Teleconnections of the quasi-biennial oscillation in a
617	multi-model ensemble of QBO-resolving models. Quarterly Journal of the
618	Royal Meteorological Society, 1–25. doi: 10.1002/qj.4048
619	Aumann, H., Chahine, M., Gautier, C., Goldberg, M., Kalnay, E., McMillin,
620	L., Susskind, J. (2003). Airs/amsu/hsb on the aqua mission: de-
621	sign, science objectives, data products, and processing systems, IEEE

622	Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, $41(2)$ , 253-264. doi:
623	10.1109/TGRS.2002.808356
624	Baldwin, M. P., Gray, L. J., Dunkerton, T. J., Hamilton, K., Haynes, P. H., Randel,
625	W. J., Takahashi, M. (2001). The quasi-biennial oscillation. Reviews of
626	Geophysics, 39(2), 179-229. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/1999RG000073
627	Bramberger, M., Alexander, M. J., & Grimsdell, A. W. (2020). Realistic simulation
628	of tropical atmospheric gravity waves using radar-observed precipitation rate
629	and echo top height. Journal of Advances in Modelina Earth Sustems, 12(8).
630	e2019MS001949. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS001949
631	Bushell, A. C., Anstev, J. A., Butchart, N., Kawatani, Y., Osprev, S. M., Richter,
632	J. H Yukimoto, S. (2022). Evaluation of the guasi-biennial oscil-
633	lation in global climate models for the sparc obo-initiative. Quarterly
634	Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 1/8(744), 1459-1489, doi:
635	https://doi.org/10.1002/qi.3765
636	Computational Information Systems Laboratory (2019) Chevenne: HPE/SGL
637	ICE XA System (University Community Computing) [Supercomputer] Boul-
629	der CO: National Center for Atmospheric Research doi:10.5065/D6RX99HX
630	Conway I P Bodeker C E Waugh D W Murphy D I Cameron C &
640	Lewis I (2019) Using project loop superpressure balloop observations to
641	investigate the inertial peak in the intrinsic wind spectrum in the midlati-
642	tude stratosphere Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres 12/(15)
643	8594-8604, doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2018.ID030195
644	Corcos M Hertzog A Plougonven R & Podglaien A (2021) Observation
645	of gravity waves at the tropical tropopause using superpressure balloons.
646	Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 126(15), e2021JD035165.
647	(e2021JD035165 2021JD035165) doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JD035165
648	Eckermann, S. D., Ma, J., & Broutman, D. (2015). Effects of horizontal geometrical
649	spreading on the parameterization of orographic gravity wave drag. part i: Nu-
650	merical transform solutions. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 72(6), 2330
651	- 2347. doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-14-0147.1
652	Friedrich, L. S., McDonald, A. J., Bodeker, G. E., Cooper, K. E., Lewis, J., & Pater-
653	son, A. J. (2017). A comparison of loon balloon observations and stratospheric
654	reanalysis products. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 17(2), 855–866. doi:
655	10.5194/acp-17-855-2017
656	Garfinkel, C. I., Schwartz, C., Domeisen, D. I. V., Son, SW., Butler, A. H., &
657	White, I. P. (2018). Extratropical atmospheric predictability from the quasi-
658	biennial oscillation in subseasonal forecast models. Journal of Geophysical
659	Research: Atmospheres, 123(15), 7855-7866. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/
660	2018JD028724
661	Gelaro, R., McCarty, W., Suárez, M. J., Todling, R., Molod, A., Takacs, L.,
662	Zhao, B. (2017). The modern-era retrospective analysis for research and ap-
663	plications, version 2 (merra-2). Journal of Climate, 30(14), 5419 - 5454. doi:
664	10.1175/JCLI-D-16-0758.1
665	Gray, L. J., Anstey, J. A., Kawatani, Y., Lu, H., Osprey, S., & Schenzinger, V.
666	(2018). Surface impacts of the Quasi Biennial Oscillation. Atmos. Chem.
667	<i>Phys.</i> , $18(11)$ , $8227-8247$ . doi: $10.5194/acp-18-8227-2018$
668	Grimsdell, A. W., Alexander, M. J., May, P. T., & Hoffmann, L. (2010). Model
669	study of waves generated by convection with direct validation via satel-
670	lite. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 67(5), 1617 - 1631. doi:
671	10.1175/2009JAS3197.1
672	Hegglin, M. I., & Shepherd, T. G. (2009). Large climate-induced changes in ul-
673	traviolet index and stratosphere-to-troposphere ozone flux. Nature Geoscience,
674	2(10),  687-691.
675	Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater,

J., ... Thépaut, J.-N. (2020). The era5 global reanalysis. Quarterly Jour-

677	nal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 146(730), 1999-2049. Retrieved from
678	https://rmets.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/qj.3803 doi:
679	https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803
680	Hoffmann, L. (2021). AIRS/Aqua Observations of Gravity Waves [Dataset]. (Jülich
681	DATA, V1) doi: https://doi.org/10.26165/JUELICH-DATA/LQAAJA
682	Hoffmann, L., Alexander, M. J., Clerbaux, C., Grimsdell, A. W., Meyer, C. I.,
683	Rößler, T., & Tournier, B. (2014). Intercomparison of stratospheric gravity
684	wave observations with airs and iasi. Atmospheric Measurement Techniques,
685	$\gamma(12), 4517-4537.$ doi: 10.5194/amt-7-4517-2014
686	Hoffmann, L., Spang, R., Orr, A., Alexander, M. J., Holt, L. A., & Stein, O. (2017).
687	A decadal satellite record of gravity wave activity in the lower stratosphere
688	to study polar stratospheric cloud formation. Atmospheric Chemistry and $P_{i}$ is $10^{\circ}$ (4) 2001 2000 h is 10.5104/m 17.2001 2017
689	Physics, 17(4), 2901-2920. doi: 10.5194/acp-17-2901-2017
690	Hoffmann, L., Xue, X., & Alexander, M. J. (2013). A global view of stratospheric
691	tions - Low and of Comparison Research, Atmospheric Infrared Sounder Observa-
692	tions. Journal of Geophysical Research: Almospheres, $118(2)$ , 410-454. doi: https://doi.org/10.1020/2012 ID018658
693	Holt I. A. Alexander, M. I. Coy, I. Liu, C. Moled, A. Putman, W. & Paw
694	son S (2017) An evaluation of gravity waves and gravity wave sources
696	in the southern hemisphere in a 7 km global climate simulation.
697	terly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 143(707), 2481-2495. doi:
698	https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3101
699	Holt, L. A., Alexander, M. J., Coy, L., Molod, A., Putman, W., & Pawson, S.
700	(2016). Tropical waves and the quasi-biennial oscillation in a 7-km global
701	climate simulation. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 73(9), 3771 - 3783.
702	doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-15-0350.1
703	Hong, SY., & Lim, JO. J. (2006). The wrf single-moment 6-class microphysics
704	scheme (wsm6). Asia-Pacific Journal of Atmospheric Sciences, $42(2)$ , 129–
705	151.
706	Jeevanjee, N. (2017). Vertical velocity in the gray zone. Journal of Advances
707	in Modeling Earth Systems, $9(6)$ , 2304-2316. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/
708	2017MS001059
709	Jeevanjee, N., & Zhou, L. (2022). On the resolution-dependence of anvil cloud frac-
710	tion and precipitation efficiency in radiative-convective equilibrium. Journal of $A_{ij}$ is $M_{ij}$ by $M_{ij}$ is $M_{ij}$ by $M_{ij}$
711	Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 14 (3), e2021MS002759. (e2021MS002759)
712	2021M5002759 doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2021M5002759
713	in mesoscolo fields Lowroal of the Atmospheric Sciences 79(11) 4372 4302
714	$d_{0i}$ : 10 1175/IAS-D-15-0070 1
715	Kruse C. C. Smith B. B. & Eckermann S. D. (2016) The midlatitude lower-
710	stratospheric mountain wave "valve laver" Journal of the Atmospheric Sci-
718	ences, 73(12), 5081 - 5100, doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-16-0173.1
719	Lang, S. E., & Tao, WK. (2018). The next-generation goddard convec-
720	tive-stratiform heating algorithm: New tropical and warm-season retrievals
721	for gpm. Journal of Climate, 31(15), 5997 - 6026. doi: https://doi.org/
722	10.1175/JCLI-D-17-0224.1
723	Lee, HK., Kang, MJ., Chun, HY., Kim, D., & Shin, DB. (2022). Characteris-
724	tics of latent heating rate from gpm and convective gravity wave momentum
725	flux calculated using the gpm data. J. Geophys. Res.: Atmospheres, 127(17),
726	e2022JD037003. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2022JD037003
727	Lindgren, E. A., Sheshadri, A., Podglajen, A., & Carver, R. W. (2020). Sea-
728	sonal and latitudinal variability of the gravity wave spectrum in the lower
729	stratosphere. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 125(18),
730	e2020JD032850. (e2020JD032850 10.1029/2020JD032850) doi: https://
731	doi.org/10.1029/2020JD032850

732	Liu, C., Alexander, J., Richter, J., & Bacmeister, J. (2022). Using trmm latent
733	heat as a source to estimate convection induced gravity wave momentum
734	flux in the lower stratosphere. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmo-
735	spheres, $127(1)$ , $e2021JD035785$ . ( $e2021JD035785$ $2021JD035785$ ) doi:
736	https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JD035785
737	Marshall, A. G., Hendon, H. H., Son, SW., & Lim, Y. (2017). Impact of the quasi-
738	biennial oscillation on predictability of the madden–julian oscillation. Climate
739	Dynamics, 49(4), 1365-1377.
740	Martin, Z., Son, SW., Butler, A., Hendon, H., Kim, H., Sobel, A., Zhang, C.
741	(2021). The influence of the quasi-biennial oscillation on the madden–julian
742	oscillation. Nature Reviews Earth & Environment, 2(7), 477–489.
743	Mercier, M. J., Garnier, N. B., & Dauxois, T. (2008). Reflection and diffraction of
744	internal waves analyzed with the hilbert transform. <i>Physics of Fluids</i> , $20(8)$ ,
745	086601. doi: 10.1063/1.2963136
746	Plougonven, R., Hertzog, A., & Alexander, M. J. (2015). Case studies of nonoro-
747	graphic gravity waves over the southern ocean emphasize the role of mois-
748	ture. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 120(4), 1278-1299. doi:
749	https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JD022332
750	Rhodes, B., & Candido, S. (2021). Loon stratospheric sensor data. Zenodo.
751	Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5119968 doi: 10.5281/
752	zenodo.5119968
753	Richter, J. H., Anstev, J. A., Butchart, N., Kawatani, Y., Meehl, G. A., Osprev,
754	S., & Simpson, I. R. (2020). Progress in simulating the quasi-biennial os-
755	cillation in cmip models. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres,
756	125(8), e2019JD032362. (e2019JD032362 10.1029/2019JD032362) doi:
757	https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JD032362
758	Richter, J. H., Butchart, N., Kawatani, Y., Bushell, A. C., Holt, L., Serva, F.,
759	Yukimoto, S. (2022). Response of the quasi-biennial oscillation to a warming
760	climate in global climate models. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological
761	Society, 148(744), 1490-1518. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3749
762	Schoeberl, M. R., Jensen, E., Podglajen, A., Coy, L., Lodha, C., Candido, S., &
763	Carver, R. (2017). Gravity wave spectra in the lower stratosphere diagnosed
764	from project loon balloon trajectories. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmo-
765	spheres, 122(16), 8517-8524. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JD026471
766	Stephan, C., & Alexander, M. J. (2015). Realistic simulations of atmospheric grav-
767	ity waves over the continental u.s. using precipitation radar data. Journal of
768	Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 7(2), 823-835. doi: https://doi.org/10
769	.1002/2014 MS000396
770	Stephan, C., Alexander, M. J., & Richter, J. H. (2016). Characteristics of gravity
771	waves from convection and implications for their parameterization in global
772	circulation models. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 73(7), 2729 - 2742.
773	doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-15-0303.1
774	Stephan, C. C., Alexander, M. J., Hedlin, M., de Groot-Hedlin, C. D., & Hoffmann,
775	L. (2016). A case study on the far-field properties of propagating tropo-
776	spheric gravity waves. Monthly Weather Review, 144(8), 2947 - 2961. doi:
777	10.1175/MWR-D-16-0054.1
778	Stephan, C. C., Strube, C., Klocke, D., Ern, M., Hoffmann, L., Preusse, P., &
779	Schmidt, H. (2019a). Gravity waves in global high-resolution simulations
780	with explicit and parameterized convection. Journal of Geophysical Research:
781	Atmospheres, 124(8), 4446-4459. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JD030073
782	Stephan, C. C., Strube, C., Klocke, D., Ern, M., Hoffmann, L., Preusse, P., &
783	Schmidt, H. (2019b). Intercomparison of gravity waves in global convection-
784	permitting models. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 76(9), 2739 - 2759.
785	doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-19-0040.1
786	Sun, Y. Q., Hassanzadeh, P., Alexander, M. J., & Kruse, C. G. (2023). Quantifying

- 3d gravity wave drag in a library of tropical convection-permitting simulations 787 for data-driven parameterizations. Authorea Preprints. 788 Tao, W.-K., Iguchi, T., & Lang, S. (2019). Expanding the goddard csh algorithm for 789 gpm: New extratropical retrievals. Journal of Applied Meteorology and Clima-790 tology, 58(5), 921 - 946. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/JAMC-D-18-0215.1 791 Yoo, C., & Son, S.-W. (2016). Modulation of the boreal wintertime Madden-Julian 792 oscillation by the stratospheric quasi-biennial oscillation. Geophysical Research 793 Letters, 43(3), 1392-1398. doi: 10.1002/2016GL067762 794 Zhang, J., Howard, K., Langston, C., Kaney, B., Qi, Y., Tang, L., ... Kitzmiller, D. 795 (2016). Multi-radar multi-sensor (mrms) quantitative precipitation estimation: 796 Initial operating capabilities. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society,
- 797 798
  - 97(4), 621 638. doi: 10.1175/BAMS-D-14-00174.1